

MS-831: Jack, Joseph and Morton Mandel Foundation Records, 1980–2008.

Series B: Commission on Jewish Education in North America (CJENA). 1980–1993. Subseries 2: Commissioner and Consultant Biographical Files, 1987–1993.

Box	
5	

Folder 22

Field, Irwin S., 1989.

For more information on this collection, please see the finding aid on the American Jewish Archives website.

3101 Clifton Ave, Cincinnati, Ohio 45220 513.487.3000 AmericanJewishArchives.org

COMMISSIONER CONTACT SHEET

*

Name	Irwin S. Field	Assigned to
Mailing	Liberty Vegetable Oil Company	Off. phone213-921-3567
Address	P. O. Box 351 Norwalk, CA 90650	Home phone
Fax		Telex
Comments		

ate 📃	Nature of Contact/Status	Next Steps/Action Needed
- I		1
1		1
1		
Í		1
i		1
ĺ		i
i		İ
i		İ
i		i
ĺ		i
1		i
•		
1 		
I.		
L 1		
1		
1		
1		
1		
1		
		1
1		1
		1
		1
1		1
1		
ļ		
[
1		1
l		1

J: Reimer

Interview with Irwin Field (5/1/89) 1 hour in his office

1. As I was explaining the direction of our work since December, Hr. Field stopped me to express a different point of view. He felt the focus on implementation is premature and the critical issue now is the product. If you have the right product, the implementation will follow.

2. Mr. Field disagrees with those who say there is no shortage of good ideas, only of good people. He thinks the right "good idea" is crucial to change. I cites the example of the havura - a good idea at the right time. As the right product at the right time, it spread rapidly without an implementation plan. The Jewish world looks for such ideas and tends to pick them up. (He did add that with Project Ponewal, on which he worked at the UJA, it did take some pushing hefore anyone would try it out - But once word got our that it use right, it spread quickly - through with modification from community to community).

3. Mr. Field also cautioned against starting another organization, even if we call it on implementation mechanism. He feels our mental energy ought to go into product not "building". Let that follow as the need arises from the spread of the product.

4. Mr. Field thought that at this point the commission should still be concerned about whether it is asking the right questions. Maybe there are questions we've yet to ask that would point our work in different directions. As on example, he thought we have yet to explore the question of expectation: can we expect more of the family than is asked in general education? Can we i better than the general milieu or do we have to operate within certain other expectations? In his mind, if we could ask more and make the family take more responsible for their children's education, we'd begin to solve the problem of leadership. Responsible parents would provide better leadership and also expect more of the personnel.

5. Mr. Field does see a role for a non-rocal presence in partnership with a local community. The implementation team could help to assemble an appropriat group of people to debate the issues, and generate the right questions and con up with the right ideas. Also, ideas from local places could be evaluated and enriched and the pr disseminated. He believes good ideas would be quickly picked up, but stresses the need for searching for the right questions which will lead to attaining the right products.

6. Mr. Field's not planning on attending the 6/14 meeting.

IRWIN S. FIELD _

June 14, 1990

Mr. Morton Mandel PREMIER INDUSTRIAL CORPORATION 4500 Euclid Avenue Cleveland, Ohio 44103

Dear Morter

The meeting of the Commission was interesting and seemingly productive. I was pleased to be in attendance.

Regarding the report: It will surely be read by educators and those related to the field. No matter its length, form or detail. The real question is how it will be received by the lay community, which is the audience we should be addressing. They, after all, are the ones we want to get involved. It is towards this audience that we must direct the contents of the report. It must, therefore, be readable and understandable.

Alfred Gottschalk's idea may be the answer. Begin with our vision of what can be, our conclusions of action needed and then go on to justify our reasoning, as well as our credentials.

Perhaps all 23 or so "sub-ideas" should be appended to the report to permit educators and interested parties to get a more complete picture of the deliberations.

I look forward to reviewing the final draft.

Cordially,

Irwin S. Field

ISF:slp

cc: Seymour Fox

MORTON L. MANDEL

4500 EUCLID AVENUE · CLEVELAND, OHIO 44103

NFO

HLZY MGJ

SHE

June 22, 1990

Dear Irwin:

Many thanks for your thoughtful letter of June 14 about the Commission Meeting, and I'm very pleased that you felt the meeting was worthwhile.

I passed your suggestions to our Advisory Committee, and we will "put them into the hopper" for careful review.

Warmest personal regards.

Sincerely,

MORTON L. MANDEL

Mr. Irwin S. Field P.O. Box 4236 Cerritos, CA 90703-4236