

MS-831: Jack, Joseph and Morton Mandel Foundation Records, 1980–2008. Series B: Commission on Jewish Education in North America (CJENA). 1980–1993. Subseries 3: General Files, 1980–1993.

Box	
15	

Folder 11

Senior Policy Advisors meeting and Consultation on Council for Initiatives in Jewish Education. 16 September 1990. Minutes, September 1990.

For more information on this collection, please see the finding aid on the American Jewish Archives website.

3101 Clifton Ave, Cincinnati, Ohio 45220 513.487.3000 AmericanJewishArchives.org

COUNCIL ON INITIATIVES FOR JEWISH EDUCATION

Consultation on CIJE

AGENDA

Sunday, September 16, 1990 LaGuardia Mariott 2:00 - 4:00 p.m.

Ι.	Welcome	MLM
	A. Introduction	
	B. Operating Format	
II.	Review of CIJE Action Plan	SHH
	A. Document	
	B. Office space	
III.	Briefing on CIJE Funding	SHH -
IV.	Briefing on CIJE Personnel Search	SHH
v.	CIJE Early Contacts	SHH
	A. Ramaz School	
	B. Yeshiva University	
VI.	Preliminary Discussion	SHH
	A. Fellows identification	
	B. Foundation contacts	
	C. Senior Policy Group Membership	

SHH:gc Bl:06A

CIJE CONSULTATION

Expected:

15 4

- M. L. Mandel
- S. H. Hoffman
- J. Bieler
- A. Rotman
- A. I. Schiff
- E. Spack
- J. Woocher

Invited, but not available:

- J. Elkin
- B. Shrage
- S. Solender

- D. S. Ariel
 - D. P. Kleinman
 - V. F. Levi
- J. Reimer

MINUTES:	Consultation on Council for Initiatives in Jewish Education
DATE OF MEETING:	September 16, 1990
DATE MINUTES ISSUED:	September 19, 1990
PRESENT:	Morton L. Mandel (Chair), David Ariel, Jack Bieler, Stephen Hoffman, David Kleinman, Joseph Reimer, Art Rotman, Alvin Schiff, Eliot Spack, Herman Stein, Jonathan Woocher, Virginia Levi (Sec'y)
COPY TO:	Joshua Elkin, Seymour Fox, Annette Hochstein, Barry Shrage, Stephen Solender

I. Introduction

The chair introduced participants and noted that this meeting was to serve as a consultation on the Council for Initiatives in Jewish Education (CIJE). When the CIJE board is in place, it will decide whether to establish a senior policy advisors group and, if so, the makeup of that group. The purpose of today's meeting is to help shape the beginnings of CIJE.

II. Review of CIJE Action Plan

A. Mission

It was noted that the Action Plan was drafted to share with potential funders and future CIJE constituents, including prospective board members. It was noted further that CIJE will work closely with national and local agencies to accomplish its goals.

It was suggested that the language of this document aim high, but that it not set unrealistic goals. It was further suggested that examples be included for clarification.

It was argued that a mission statement should not be too specific.

It was suggested that the document reflect involvement not only of lay leadership, but of educators at all levels.

The section on research was considered by some to be too ambitious. It was suggested that the document indicate that CIJE will develop a plan and seek to implement it. CIJE will encourage the development of a multi-year research agenda, using the best minds we can find. It will disseminate findings and consider implications. It was suggested that a mission statement should be more of a vision, with much of the specific detail left for methodology.

The following specific suggestions were offered:

- <u>Advocacy</u> Include reference to professional leaders in Jewish education.
- <u>Initiatives</u> Refer to all levels of personnel, supervisory and instructional. Clarify the meaning of Lead Communities.
- <u>Connections</u> Clarify that "those with proposals" include foundations, communities and institutions.
- <u>Research</u> Facilitate the development of a variegated research agenda: short-term, mid-range, and long-range.
- <u>Synergism</u> Consider incorporating the first part of this section in the introduction to the document. Consider integrating with the section on connections.
- <u>Energizing</u> This section might focus on developing a talent bank and a support mechanism.
- B. Method of Operation

It was agreed that CIJE should be an organization whose goal is to build the capacity of others to do the work.

C. Structure

In discussing the board, a question was raised regarding representation of the denominations. It was agreed that Jon Woocher will consult with Alvin Schiff and Joe Reimer on this. It was agreed further that board members should serve ad personum.

It was suggested that the proposed structure may be overly complex for such a small organization. Perhaps it is unnecessary to create a specific group of fellows or scholars in the beginning. It may be preferable to let this group emerge.

III. Office and Personnel

A. Office Space

It was reported that CIJE will be located in New York City. It may be located in CJF office space, contiguous with JESNA, possibly sharing space with the group working on a Crown project.

B. Personnel

The chief professional officer will be a senior person with substantial experience. The chief education officer should be someone currently substantively involved in Jewish education. It is hoped that this person can be identified by early 1991, to begin by the end of the current academic year. The planner may be a younger person whose initial primary goal will be to design specifications for Lead Communities.

The goal is to have all staff in place no later than 1/1/92.

Consultants were asked to forward suggestions of candidates for these positions, especially that of chief education officer, to Steve Hoffman.

IV. Funding

It was reported that several foundations are prepared now to support CIJE and others are currently being approached. It is anticipated that by the end of the year commitments will have been made totaling \$500,000 a year for three years.

V. <u>CIJE Early Contacts</u>

It was reported that we are already being approached with requests for funding of projects related to CIJE. It is premature to respond to most of these, but it is expected that CIJE will eventually help grantseekers to find support and funders to find people to undertake projects on their behalf.

PREMIER INDUSTRIAL CORPORAT	ION
-----------------------------	-----

□ ASSIGNMENTS □ ACTIVE PROJECTS

FUNCTION

	RAW	MATERIAL
_		

SUBJECT/OBJECTIVE ASSIGNMENTS

CIJE

73890 (REV. 10/86) PRINTED IN U.S.A.

□ FUNCTIONAL SCHEDULE

		ORIGINATOR			DATE 9/19/90			
NO.	DESCRIPTION		PRIORITY	ASSIGNED TO (INITIALS)	DATE ASSIGNED STARTED	DUE DATE	COMPLI OR REM DAT	
1.	Consult with Alvin Schiff a Reimer regarding representa the denominations on the Co	ation of		WL	9/19/90	10/31/90		
			i WISH					
3			V E S					
		Y	V					
			3					
						2		
					-			

COMMISSION ON JEWISH EDUCATION IN NORTH AMERICA

Senior Policy Advisors

Agenda

Sunday, September 16, 1990 La Guardia Marriott 10:00 am - 1:30 pm

			<u>Assignment</u>
I.	Disc	cussion of final report	MLM
II.		ns for report distribution and contact with missioners	SHH
III.	CIJE	2 plans	SHH
IV.	Repo	ort on status of funding	SHH
٧.	Prep	parations for 11/8	MLM
	Α.	Proposed invitation list	
	В.	Schedule for the day (10:00 am - 2:30 pm)	
		1. 10:30 - Present and discuss final report	
		2. 11:30 - Present and discuss plans for Cou	ncil
		3. 12:30 - 2:30 - Luncheon	
		a. Thank yous	
		b. Remarks by Hammer	
		c. Comments from foundations on funding p for the next five years	lans
		d. Good and welfare	

SHH

C. PR coverage and follow-up

MINUTES:	Commission Senior Policy Advisors
DATE OF MEETING:	September 16, 1990 LaGuardia Marriott, 10 A.M 1:30 P.M.
DATE MINUTES ISSUED:	September 19, 1990
PRESENT:	Morton L. Mandel (Chair), David Ariel, Stephen Hoffman, David Kleinman, Joseph Reimer, Arthur Rotman, Herman Stein, Jonathan Woocher, Virginia Levi (Sec'y)
BY TELECONFERENCE	David Finn Seymour Fox Annette Hochstein

BY TELECONFERENCE: David Finn, Seymour Fox, Annette Hochstein

I. Discussion of Final Report

It was agreed that policy advisors would give their editorial comments to VFL. This meeting was for the purpose of more substantive discussion.

A. General Comments

Policy advisors were complimentary of the rewrite. It was described as eloquent, substantive, crisp, and readable. Concerns were raised about an emphasis on formal education, on whether certain sections are dated by specifics, and on whether the document implies that we are establishing a permanent organization in CIJE.

B. <u>Review of the Document</u>

The report was reviewed in detail. Senior policy advisors commented on each chapter. Specific suggestions for possible revisions have since been relayed to the drafters. Following is a summary of that discussion.

1. Chapter 1 - Facing the Crisis

It was suggested that some consideration be given to toning down statements of negative trends to reflect our awareness that this is balanced by hopeful signs.

In describing a system under strain, it was suggested that references be included to Yiddishkeit and the holocaust. It was further suggested that a description of the core of Jewish education be expanded beyond the intellectual to include behavioral and communal dimensions.

It was suggested that the impact of Israel and identity with the Jewish people and culture be emphasized.

It was suggested that the use of words such as "crisis" and "alarming" paints a bleak, one-sided picture which could be balanced with positive signs. This was countered with the argument that for the report to be compelling, strong words must be used to emphasize our concerns.

It was noted that while there is a sense of crisis, there is also the belief that this is an appropriate time to act because concern for Jewish education within the community is rising. The Commission is pulling together threads which have been developing since the '70s.

It was suggested that the primary reason for the significant rise of intermarriage in the United States is not a desire to escape from Judaism but a reflection of the acceptance of Jews in American society.

2. Chapter 2 - The Realities of Jewish Education Today

It was suggested that informal education be more heavily emphasized in this chapter.

It was suggested that current service delivery providers be identified. JW agreed to draft language for this purpose by 9/18/90. Another option might be a glossary of agencies.

It was suggested that figures regarding salaries of Jewish educators clearly indicate when they refer to full-time or part-time staff. It was further suggested that specific conclusions regarding statistical matters be attributed.

3. Chapter 3 - Establishing Priorities and Developing a Plan

It was suggested that the document implies that the Council for Initiatives in Jewish Education may become a permanent institution. Following discussion, it was agreed not to try to clarify this in the report, but for the Council's board to handle this issue.

4. Chapter 4 - A Blueprint for the Future

It was suggested that the Council for Initiatives in Jewish Education be referred to as "the Council" rather than CIJE. It was noted, however, that CJF is referred to as "the Council" and was agreed that CIJE will remain, for now.

Assignment

It was suggested that the use of the final report be clarified to indicate that it should serve as a starting point for communities rather than as a dictum.

It was suggested that the sections on recruitment and personnel be worded more conditionally, noting that the board of CIJE should be in a position to decide what action it will undertake.

It was noted that the evolution of a Lead Community is described as though it will be the same everywhere. It was suggested that the section be rewritten as an illustration of how a Lead Community might function.

It was noted that the comments of senior policy advisors were to be taken as recommendations, and that the drafters are free to make final decisions on what works best in the report.

II. Report Distribution and Contact with Commissioners

A. <u>Timetable</u>

Minor corrections will be made in the final report on 9/17 and it will be sent by overnight mail to Cleveland for distribution on 9/18. SF will draft a cover letter, referring to specific changes which have already been suggested and outlining the schedule for commissioner review of the document. DPK and VFL will fax suggested bullet points for inclusion in the letter on 9/17.

B. Commissioner Contact

Commissioners should receive the draft report by Wednesday, September 19. Senior policy advisors are to contact their assigned commissioners for comments and submit their reactions to VFL (by mail or fax) no later than October 3. Following is the list of assigned commissioners, as revised.

Sr. Policy Advisor/Staff

Commissioner

Seymour Fox

Assignment

Assignment

Mona Ackerman Eli Evans David Hirschhorn Seymour Martin Lipset

Charles Bronfman Alfred Gottschalk Sara Lee Isadore Twersky

Annette Hochstein

David Arnow Haskel Lookstein Morton Mandel Florence Melton Ismar Schorsch Norman Lamm Robert Loup Matthew Maryles Esther Leah Ritz

Sr. Policy Advisors/Staff

Commissioner

Stephen Hoffman

Ronald Appleby Lester Crown Max Fisher Ludwig Jesselson

Jesselson

Jack Bieler Arthur Green Henry Koschitzky Alvin Schiff Josh Elkin Carol Ingall Mark Lainer Peggy Tishman

John Colman

Irwin Field

Robert Hiller

Arthur Rotman

Joseph Reimer

Stuart Eizenstat Lester Pollack Donald Mintz Daniel Shapiro

Jonathan Woocher

Mandell Berman David Dubin Harriet Rosenthal Maurice Corson Irving Greenberg Bennett Yanowitz

C. Distribution of Final Report

We will plan to print enough copies of the final report to distribute to commissioners and the leadership of the organizations which have an interest in Jewish education. It was suggested that we work with University Press to publish and distribute the report, for a fee, to others. This will require further discussion.

III. CIJE Update

It was reported that an outline has been drafted which sets forth the mission, structure, and operating principles of the Council for Initiatives in Jewish Education. In addition, a draft budget has been developed.

Current activities are focused on fundraising, identification of personnel, the location of space, and identification of a fiscal agent.

It was reported that the concept of the CIJE has been presented to and endorsed by the JESNA board.

IV. Status Report on Funding

It was reported that negotiations are under way with a number of foundations for the funding of CIJE for an initial three year period. It is anticipated that funding will be in place by the end of 1990.

V. Preparations for Meeting of November 8

The proposed schedule for the November 8 final event was reviewed and approved. SF will speak with Minister Hammer by 9/26 about his availability to participate.

Further consideration will be given to the invitation of commissioners to comment on foundation funding plans.

Assignment

It was suggested that the UJA has the best PR connections. MLM will call Stanley Horowitz about the possibility of UJA assistance.

PREMIER INDUSTRIAL CORPORATION

□ ASSIGNMENTS

SEE MANAGEMENT MANUAL POLICY NO. 8.5 For guidelines on the completion of this form for a functional schedule

□ ACTIVE PROJECTS FUNCTION COMMISSION SENIOR POLICY ADVISORS RAW MATERIAL SUBJECT/OBJECTIVE □ FUNCTIONAL SCHEDULE ASSIGNMENTS 73890 (REV. 10/86) PRINTED IN U.S.A. ORIGINATOR DATE 9/19/90 VFL DATE ASSIGNED STARTED ASSIGNED COMPLETED OR REMOVED DATE NO. PRIORITY DESCRIPTION TO (INITIALS) DUE DATE JW 9/16/90 9/18/90 Draft language to identify current 1. service delivery providers. 9/16/90 9/17/90 Write a cover letter to be sent to SF 2. commissioners with draft final report. 9/16/90 9/17/90 Fax to SF suggested bullet points to DPK/ 3. VFL be included in cover letter for draft final report. 9/16/90 9/30/90 Call Stanley Horowitz about UJA MLM 4. assistance with PR for 11/8 meeting.

MINUTES:	Commission Senior Policy Advisors
DATE OF MEETING:	September 16, 1990 LaGuardia Marriott, 10 A.M 1:30 P.M.
DATE MINUTES ISSUED:	September 19, 1990
PRESENT:	Morton L. Mandel (Chair), David Ariel, Stephen Hoffman, David Kleinman, Joseph Reimer, Arthur Rotman, Herman Stein, Jonathan Woocher, Virginia Levi (Sec'y)
BY TELECONFERENCE:	David Finn, Seymour Fox, Annette Hochstein
COPY TO:	Henry L. Zucker

I. Discussion of Final Report

It was agreed that policy advisors would give their editorial comments to VFL. This meeting was for the purpose of more substantive discussion.

A. General Comments

Policy advisors were complimentary of the rewrite. It was described as eloquent, substantive, crisp, and readable. Concerns were raised about an emphasis on formal education, on whether certain sections are dated by specifics, and on whether the document implies that we are establishing a permanent organization in CIJE.

B. Review of the Document

The report was reviewed in detail. Senior policy advisors commented on each chapter. Specific suggestions for possible revisions have since been relayed to the drafters. Following is a summary of that discussion.

1. Chapter 1 - Facing the Crisis

It was suggested that some consideration be given to toning down statements of negative trends to reflect our awareness that this is balanced by hopeful signs.

In describing a system under strain, it was suggested that references be included to Yiddishkeit and the holocaust. It was further suggested that a description of the core of Jewish education be expanded beyond the intellectual to include behavioral and communal dimensions.

It was suggested that the impact of Israel and identity with the Jewish people and culture be emphasized.

It was suggested that the use of words such as "crisis" and "alarming" paints a bleak, one-sided picture which could be balanced with positive signs. This was countered with the argument that for the report to be compelling, strong words must be used to emphasize our concerns.

It was noted that while there is a sense of crisis, there is also the belief that this is an appropriate time to act because concern for Jewish education within the community is rising. The Commission is pulling together threads which have been developing since the '70s.

It was suggested that the primary reason for the significant rise of intermarriage in the United States is not a desire to escape from Judaism but a reflection of the acceptance of Jews in American society.

2. Chapter 2 - The Realities of Jewish Education Today

It was suggested that informal education be more heavily emphasized in this chapter.

It was suggested that current service delivery providers be identified. JW agreed to draft language for this purpose by 9/18/90. Another option might be a glossary of agencies.

It was suggested that figures regarding salaries of Jewish educators clearly indicate when they refer to full-time or part-time staff. It was further suggested that specific conclusions regarding statistical matters be attributed.

3. Chapter 3 - Establishing Priorities and Developing a Plan

It was suggested that the document implies that the Council for Initiatives in Jewish Education may become a permanent institution. Following discussion, it was agreed not to try to clarify this in the report, but for the Council's board to handle this issue.

4. Chapter 4 - A Blueprint for the Future

It was suggested that the Council for Initiatives in Jewish Education be referred to as "the Council" rather than CIJE. It was noted, however, that CJF is referred to as "the Council" and was agreed that CIJE will remain, for now.

Assignment

> It was suggested that the use of the final report be clarified to indicate that it should serve as a starting point for communities rather than as a dictum.

It was suggested that the sections on recruitment and personnel be worded more conditionally, noting that the board of CIJE should be in a position to decide what action it will undertake.

It was noted that the evolution of a Lead Community is described as though it will be the same everywhere. It was suggested that the section be rewritten as an illustration of how a Lead Community might function.

It was noted that the comments of senior policy advisors were to be taken as recommendations, and that the drafters are free to make final decisions on what works best in the report.

II. Report Distribution and Contact with Commissioners

A. <u>Timetable</u>

Assignment

Assignment

Minor corrections will be made in the final report on 9/17 and it will be sent by overnight mail to Cleveland for distribution on 9/18. SF will draft a cover letter, referring to specific changes which have already been suggested and outlining the schedule for commissioner review of the document. DPK and VFL will fax suggested bullet points for inclusion in the letter on 9/17.

B. Commissioner Contact

Commissioners should receive the draft report by Wednesday, September 19. Senior policy advisors are to contact their assigned commissioners for comments and submit their reactions to VFL (by mail or fax) no later than October 3. Following is the list of assigned commissioners, as revised.

Sr. Policy Advisor/Staff

Commissioner

Seymour Fox

Mona Ackerman Eli Evans David Hirschhorn Seymour Martin Lipset Isadore Twersky

Charles Bronfman Alfred Gottschalk Sara Lee

Annette Hochstein

David Arnow Haskel Lookstein Morton Mandel Florence Melton Ismar Schorsch

Norman Lamm Robert Loup Matthew Maryles Esther Leah Ritz

Sr. Policy Advisors/Staff

Stephen Hoffman

Commissioner

Ronald Appleby Lester Crown Max Fisher Ludwig Jesselson

Joseph Reimer

Jack Bieler Arthur Green Henry Koschitzky Alvin Schiff Josh Elkin Carol Ingall Mark Lainer

Peggy Tishman

John Colman

Irwin Field Robert Hiller

Arthur Rotman

Stuart Eizenstat Lester Pollack Donald Mintz Daniel Shapiro

Jonathan Woocher

Mandell Berman David Dubin Harriet Rosenthal Maurice Corson Irving Greenberg Bennett Yanowitz

C. Distribution of Final Report

We will plan to print enough copies of the final report to distribute to commissioners and the leadership of the organizations which have an interest in Jewish education. It was suggested that we work with University Press to publish and distribute the report, for a fee, to others. This will require further discussion.

III. <u>CIJE Update</u>

It was reported that an outline has been drafted which sets forth the mission, structure, and operating principles of the Council for Initiatives in Jewish Education. In addition, a draft budget has been developed.

Current activities are focused on fundraising, identification of personnel, the location of space, and identification of a fiscal agent.

It was reported that the concept of the CIJE has been presented to and endorsed by the JESNA board.

IV. Status Report on Funding

It was reported that negotiations are under way with a number of foundations for the funding of CIJE for an initial three year period. It is anticipated that funding will be in place by the end of 1990.

V. Preparations for Meeting of November 8

The proposed schedule for the November 8 final event was reviewed and approved. SF will speak with Minister Hammer by 9/26 about his availability to participate.

Further consideration will be given to the invitation of commissioners to comment on foundation funding plans.

Assignment

It was suggested that the UJA has the best PR connections. MLM will call Stanley Horowitz about the possibility of UJA assistance.

PREMIER INDUSTRIAL CORPORATION

□ ASSIGNMENTS

RAW MATERIAL

۰.

□ ACTIVE PROJECTS

FUNCTION

SEE MANAGEMENT MANUAL POLICY NO. 8.5 FOR GUIDELINES ON THE COMPLETION OF THIS FORM FOR A FUNCTIONAL SCHEDULE

COMMISSION SENIOR POLICY ADVISORS SUBJECT/OBJECTIVE

	UNCTIONAL SCHEDULE 8890 (REV. 10/86) PRINTED IN U.S.A.		ASSIG	NMENTS	-		-
		ORIGINATOR			DATE 9/19/90		
NO.	DESCRIPTION		PRIORITY	ASSIGNED TO (INITIALS)	DATE ASSIGNED STARTED	DUE DATE	COMPLETE OR REMOVE DATE
			19 mar - 10				
1.	Draft language to identif service delivery provider		12.5	JW	9/16/90	9/18/90	
2.	Write a cover letter to b commissioners with draft			SF	9/16/90	9/17/90	
3.	Fax to SF suggested bullet points to be included in cover letter for draft final report.			DPK/ VFL	9/16/90	9/17/90	
4.	Call Stanley Horowitz abor assistance with PR for 11		EWISH	MLM	9/16/90	9/30/90	
		CCHI	N E S				
2.5							
-			123				
-			1.1	1			
1			1	press			
			1.3				
					R.C.	1	
				3.7		1	
					1		