MS-831: Jack, Joseph and Morton Mandel Foundation Records, 1980–2008.

Series C: Council for Initiatives in Jewish Education (CIJE). 1988–2003. Subseries 3: Lead Communities, 1988–1997.

Box Folder 36 2

Presentation to Board of Directors. Selection process of Lead Communities, August 1992.

For more information on this collection, please see the finding aid on the American Jewish Archives website.

LEAD COMMUNITIES SELECTION PROCESS

Council for Initiatives in Jewish Education

Presentation to the Board of Directors

August 25, 1992

THE STEPS IN THE SELECTION PROCESS:

	57	communities received	program guidelines	January 31
-	- .		, p	

 34 communities in national satellite teleconference 	ruary 24
---	----------

- 23 preliminary proposals submitted
 March 31
- 9 finalists selected June 7
- Lead Communities to be invited August 25
- Project to be launched
 September

PRELIMINARY PROPOSALS CAME FROM COMMUNITIES -- LARGE AND SMALL -- FROM EVERY PART OF NORTH AMERICA

	Northeast/ Mid-Atlantic	Southeast	West/ Southwest	Midwest	Canada
Largest Communities [90,000 to 200,000]	Boston Washington MetroWest Suffolk (NY) Baltimore	S. Palm Beach	I V E S		Toronto Montreal
Large Communities [60,000 to 76,000]		Palm Beach Atlanta	San Diego East Bay		
Medium-size Communities [26,000 to 45,000]	Hartford	The state of the s	Denver Dallas	Milwaukee	
Small Communities [15,000 to 24,000]	Rochester Rhode Island			Kansas City Columbus	Vancouver Winnipeg Ottawa

THE PRELIMINARY REVIEW PROCESS RELIED ON:

- A structured rating process
- Multiple reviews
- Explicit criteria
- Expert judgment

THE STRUCTURED RATING PROCESS INVOLVED A:

- Review of each proposal by two 4-person review panels
- Rating score assigned by each individual panelist
- Composite score produced by each panel
- Selection of finalists by the Lead Communities Selection Committee

THE TWO OVERALL CRITERIA USED IN THE REVIEW PROCESS WERE:

- Preparedness to become a lead community
- Commitment to Jewish education

REVIEW PANELS INCLUDED EXPERTS IN FORMAL AND INFORMAL JEWISH EDUCATION; NATIONAL AS WELL AS LOCAL COMMUNAL PERSPECTIVES:

Dr. Robert Abramson,	Director, Education Department,	United Synagogue of America
Mark Berger,	West Coast Regional Director,	Council of Jewish Federations
David Dubin,	Executive Director,	JCC of Palisades
Sylvia Ettenberg,	Dean Emeritus, CAN JEWISH	Jewish Theological Seminary
Dr. Peter Geffen,	Consultant,	CRB Foundation
Mark Gurvis,	Director of Budget and Planning,	Jewish Federation of Cleveland
Richard Joel,	Executive Director,	B'nai B'rith Hillel Foundation
Sara Lee,	Director,	Rhea Hirsch School of Education,
Leonard Rubin,	Assistant Executive Director,	Hebrew Union College JCC Association
Dr. Alvin Schiff,	Distinguished Professor of Education,	Yeshiva University
Dr. Elliot Spack,	Executive Director,	CAJE
Dr. Jonathan Woocher,	Executive Vice President,	JESNA

THE LEAD COMMUNITIES SELECTION COMMITTEE CONSISTS OF:

Mr. Charles Ratner, Chairman

Mr. Charles Bronfman

Mr. Thomas Hausdorff

Mr. David Hirschhorn

Mr. Mark Lainer

Mr. Melvin Merians

Mr. Lester Pollack

FINALIST COMMUNITIES ARE:

	COMMUNITY	REGION	JEWISH POPULATION
1	Atlanta	Southeast	70,000
2	Baltimore	Northeast	92,000
3	Boston	Northeast	200,000
4	Columbus	Midwest	15,000
5	East Bay	West	60,000
6	MetroWest	Northeast	120,000
7	Milwaukee	Midwest	28,000
8	Ottawa	Canada	15,000
9	Palm Beach County	Southeast	65,000

THE FINAL SELECTION OF LEAD COMMUNITIES RELIED ON:

- Site visits
- Answers to community-specific questions
- Detailed data about rates of participation in Jewish education
- Campaign results and spending on Jewish education

THE KEY CRITERION FOR SELECTING LEAD COMMUNITIES IS THE PROBABLE CAPACITY OF THE COMMUNITY IN THE AREAS OF:

- Leadership
- Financial resources
- Institutional and human resources
- Program
- Planning

THE SELECTION PROCESS ITSELF HAS HELPED TO:

■ INCREASE UNDERSTANDING AND ENTHUSIASM
FOR THE LEAD COMMUNITIES PROJECT

ARCHIVES

ADVANCE LOCAL INITIATIVES FOR EXCELLENCE
 IN JEWISH EDUCATION

JSRARIA

PER CAPITA FEDERATION ALLOCATIONS FOR JEWISH EDUCATION BASED ON TOTAL JEWISH POPULATION¹

AMERICAN JEWISH ARCHIVES

	HIGH	LOW	AVERAGE
15 Large Cities ²	\$58	\$6	\$19
32 Large/Intermediate/Small Cities	\$57	\$1	\$13

¹Based on Federations reporting to CJF.

²Excluding N.Y.C. and L.A.

LEAD COMMUNITIES PROJECT NOT READY FOR SMALLEST AND LARGEST COMMUNITIES IN FIRST ROUND

- Under 15,000: too small to illustrate complexity
 - Lack depth of resources and supports
 - Lack breadth and variety of programs
 - Coordination of programs and resources is comparatively straight forward
- Over 300,000: too large and complex
 - Contain many Jewish communities
 - Single, unified community focus is unrealistic
 - Communities are unique: hard to derive lessons

+

LEAD COMMUNITIES PROJECT NOT READY FOR COMPLEX DEFINITIONS OF COMMUNITY IN FIRST ROUND

- Examples of other definitions of "community"
 - Affiliated groups or movements;
 - University campuses;
 - Regional clusters of small cities; or
 - Clusters of like institutions (e.g. day high schools).
- Hard to interpret and replicate successes

Draft

K STREET MOUTING

LEAD COMMUNITIES SELECTION PROCESS

AMERICAN JEWISH

Council for Initiatives in Jewish Education

Presentation to the Board of Directors

August 25, 1992

THE STEPS IN THE SELECTION PROCESS:

	57 communities received program guidelines	January 31
	34 communities in national satellite teleconference	February 24
	23 preliminary proposals submitted	March 31
•	9 finalists selected	June 7
•	Lead Communities to be chosen	August 25
	Project to be launched	September

Schung mae Title MailioTion

Review Panels Included Experts in Formal and Informal Jewish Education and National as well as Local Communal Perspectives:

Dr. Robert Abramson, Director, Department of Education, United Synagogue of America Mark Berger, West Coast Regional Director, Council of Jewish Federations David Dubin, Executive Director, JCC of Palisades Sylvia Ettenberg, Dean Emeritus, Jewish Theological Seminary Dr. Peter Geffen, Consultant, CRB Foundation; Founder, A.J. Heschel School Mark Gurvis, Director of Budge and Planning, Jewish Federation of Cleveland Richard Joel, Executive Director, B'nai B'rith Hillel Foundation Sara Lee, Director, Rhea Hirsch School of Education, Hebrew Union College Leonard Rubin, Assistant Executive Director, JCC Association Dr. Alvin Schiff, Former Executive Vice President,

Bureau of Jewish Education, NY;
Distinguished Professor of Education, Yeshiva University
Dr. Elliot Spack, Executive Director,
Coalition for Advancement of Jewish Education
Dr. Jonathan Woocher, Executive Vice President,
JESNA

THE SELECTION PROCESS ITSELF

HAS HELPED INCREASE UNDERSTANDING AND ENTHUSIASM
FOR THE LEAD COMMUNITIES PROJECT
AS A JOINT CONTINENTAL-LOCAL COLLABORATION FOR
EXCELLENCE IN JEWISH EDUCATION

Alternative:

Sepectran provets itself has helped

The to advance local catalogue aparties introduces

for examine in True Medication

PRELIMINARY PROPOSALS CAME FROM COMMUNITIES -- LARGE AND SMALL -- FROM EVERY PART OF NORTH AMERICA

	Northeast	Southeast	West/Southwest	Midwest	Canada
Largest Communities [90,000 to 200,000]	Boston Washington Metrowest Suffolk (NY) Baltimore	S. Palm Beach	JEWISH A LIVES		Toronto Montreal
Large Communities [6 5 ,000 to 7 6 ,000]		Atlanta Palm Beach	San Diego EAST BAY		
Medium-size Communities [22,000 to 45,000]	Hartford Rochester	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Denver Dallas East Bay	Milwaukee	
Small Communities [15,000 to 20,000]	Pore Isua			Kansas City Columbus	Vancouver Winnipeg Ottawa

26,00

24,00

- The two overall criteria used in the review process were:
 - Preparedness to become a lead community
 - Commitment to Jewish education

The Lead Communities Selection Committee Consists of:

Mr. Charles Ratner, Chairman

Mr. Charles Bronfman

Mr. Thomas Hausdorff

Mr. David Hirschhorn

Mr. Mark Lainer

Mr. Melvin Merians

Mr. Lester Pollack

Remember to worken Vule of Enternam .h Lina! The preliminary review process relied on:

- A structured rating process
- Multiple reviews
- Explicit criteria
- Expert judgment

The structured rating process involved a:

- Review of each proposal by two 4-person review panels
- Rating score assigned by each individual panelist
- Composite score produced by each panel
- Selection of finalists by the Lead Communities Selection Committee

Finalist Communities Are:

	COMMUNITY	REGION	JEWISH POPULATION
1	Altanta	Southeast	67,000
2	Baltimore	Northeast	94,500
3	Boston	Northeast	200,000
4	Columbus	Midwest	15,000
5	East Bay	West	35,000
6	Metro West	Northeast	121,000
7	Milwaukee	Midwest	28,000
8	Ottawa	Canada	15,00 -13,500
9	Palm Beach County	Southeast	65,000

14,800



The Selection of Lead Communities Relied on:

- Site visits
- Answers to community-specific questions
- Detailed data about rates of participation in Jewish education
- Campaign results and spending on Jewish education

The Key Criterion for Selecting Lead Communities Is the Probable <u>Capacity</u> of the Community in the Areas of:

- Leadership
- Financial resources
- Institutional and human resources
- Program
- Planning

JBU notes: DON't INCLUDE

9. Site Visits (July 7 - August 6)

Team

- CIJE Board Member
- Professional participant
- Shulamith Elster, Chief Education Officer

Format

- Pre-meeting with key lay and professional leadership
- Large meeting with lay leadership
- Meeting with educators

Tour of Jewish education program



11. Summary of Finalist Selection

Finalists notified, with guidelines

Site visits

Materials submitted

Debriefing

CIJE lay leader

Professional visitor

Lead Communities Committee recommendations

CIJE Board selections

June 6

July 7 - August 6

July 23

August 24

August 25

The Odeling Review Process

10 3. Preliminary Review Process

Dead Pagel his reviewers each

Three panels of 4 reviewers each 3. Preliminary Review Process 12 review panelists [evaluated proposals] ■ [Each panelist] assigned numerical rating [to each proposal] Panelists discussed proposals revised scores

Lead Communities Committee selected finalists Judget of Parely

COMMUNITIES -- LARGE AND SMALL -- FROM EVERY REGION OF NORTH

AMERICA SUBMITTED PROPOSALS

Applicant Communities by population size and region

	STATE	COMMUNITY	REGION	JEWISH POPULATION
1	GA	Altanta	Southeast	67,000
2	MD	Baltimore	Northeast	94,500
3	MA	Boston	Northeast	200,000
4	CO	Colorado	Southwest	46,000
5	ОН	Columbus	Midwest	15,000
6	TX	Dallas	Southwest	36,900
7	CA	East Bay	West	35,000
8	CT	Hartford	Northeast	26,000
9	МО	Kansas City	Midwest	19,100
10	NJ	Metro West	Northeast	121,000

11	WI	Milwaukee	Midwest	28,000
12	PQ	Montreal	Canada	95,000
13	NY	New York/Suffolk	Northeast	98,000
14	ONT	Ottawa	Canada	18,500
15	FL	Palm Beach County	Southeast	65,000
16	RI	Rhode Island	Northeast	17,500
17	NY	Rochester A R	Northeast	25,000
18	CA	San Diego	West	42,000
19	FL	South Palm Beach	Southeast	52,000
20	ONT	Toronto	Canada	135,000
21	BC	Vancouver	Canada	20,000
22	DC	Washington	Northeast	165,000
23	MAN	Winnipeg	Canada	14,800



SUMMARY OF PANELIST RATINGS OF LEAD COMMUNITY APPLICANTS

Region/size	East	South E @ 51	Midwest	West Sorry	Canada
Small (15,000- 24,000)	Rhode I. (11-5/5)		Columbus (5/2) Kansas City (8/8)		Ottawa (8.5/1) Vancouver (11.5/1) Winnipeg (14/2)
Medium (25,000-80,000)	Hartford (10/2)	Atlanta (3.5/1) Palm Beach (2.5/1) S. Palm (8.5/9)	Milwaukee (6.5/6)	Dallas (11/8) Oakland (11.5/5) San Diego (11.5/7) Denver (13.5/1)	6
Large (91,000 or more)	Boston (1/-) Baltimore (1.5/1)	than	I JEWIS		Toronto (8/2) Montreal (8.5/3)
20,00	-Washington (3/4) -Metrowest (3.5/1) NY/Suffolk	1,500	IVE		
Sub-totals	(13.5/3)	3	3	4	5
Growth/Emergi ng	NY/Suffolk (13.5/3)	Atlanta (3.5/1) Palm Beach (2.5/1) S. Palm (8.5/9)		San Diego (11,5/7)	Ottawa (8.5/1) Vancouver (11.5/1)

Notes:

- First number in parenthesis represents average rank assigned by the two reviewing panels; second number represents variance between the two panel ratings.
- Cities above the line (in any cell) emerged as strongest. Blank space between clusters of cities represent clear break points. Cities below the line were consistently rated as weaker. Bold represents initial recommendations of JM only. Cities not in bold above broken line represent the major focus of discussion (maybes).



LIST OF LEAD COMMUNITY APPLICANTS

	City	RC	Jewish Pop
1	East Bay	4	35,000
2	San Diego	4	75,000
3	Denver	4	45,000
4	Hartford	1	26,000
5	Washington	1	175,000
6	Palm Beach County	2	76,125
7	South Palm Beach County	2	98,000
8	Atlanta	2	67,500
9	Boston	1	200,000
10	Baltimore	1	94,500
11	Kansas City	3	19,100
12	Metro West	1	121,000
13	New York/Suffolk	1	98,000
14	Rochester	1	23,000
15	Columbus	3	17,000
16	Rhode Island	- 1	22,000
17	Dallas	4	38,000
18	Milwaukee	3	28,000
19	Vancouver	5	20,000
20	Winnipeg	5	15,350
21	Ottawa*	5	15,000
22	Toronto	5	140,000
23	Montreal	5	90,000

Regional Code: 1 = Northeast

- 2 = Southeast
- 3 = Midwest
- 4 = West/Southwest
- 5 = Canada

LEAD COMMUNITIES FINALISTS

	City	Region	Jewish Pop	Site Visit Team
	Atlanta	Southeast	67,500	C. Ratner S. Elster J. Meier
	Baltimore	Northeast	94,500	C. Bronfman A. Rotman S. Elster
3	Boston	Northeast	200,000	M. Mandel A. Rotman S. Elster J. Ukeles
1	Columbus	Midwest	17,000	C. Ratner S. Elster J. Woocher
5	East Bay	West	35,000	M. Lainer S. Elster S. Lee
5	Metro West	Northeast	121,000	D. Hirschhorn S. Elster S. Ettenberg
,	Milwaukee	Midwest	28,000	J. Colman A. Rotman
3	Ottawa	Canada	14,000	T. Hausdorff S. Elster L. Rubin
)	Palm Beach County	Southeast	65,000	L. Pollack S. Elster R. Abramson

9. Site Visits (July 7 - August 6)

- Team
 - CIJE Board Member
 - Professional participant
 - Shulamith Elster, Chief Education Officer
- Format
 - Pre-meeting with key lay and professional leadership
 - Large meeting with lay leadership
 - Meeting with educators
 - Tour of Jewish education program

10. Criteria for Finalist Review

Evidence of CAPACITY in:

- Leadership
- Financial resources
- Institutional and human resources
- Program
- Planning

Draft 8/24

LEAD COMMUNITIES SELECTION PROCESS

Council for Initiatives in Jewish Education

Presentation to the Board of Directors

25 August 21, 1992 Pochser Dis Transfer Tral

THE STEPS IN THE SELECTION PROCESS:

Project to be launched

57 communities received program guidelines
 34 communities in national satellite teleconference
 23 preliminary proposals submitted
 9 finalists selected
 Lead Communities to be chosen
 January 31
 March 31
 August 25

September

PRELIMINARY PROPOSALS CAME FROM COMMUNITIES -- LARGE AND SMALL -- FROM EVERY PART OF NORTH AMERICA

	Northeast	Southeast	West/Southwe,	Midwest	Canada
Largest Communities [90,000 to 200,000]	Boston Washington Metrowest Suffolk (NY) Baltimore	S. Palm Beach	JEWISH 1 V E S		Toronto Montreal
Large Communities [6 5 ,000 to 7 5 ,000]		Palm Beach Atlanta	San Diego East Bay		
Medium-size Communities [2 5 ,000 to 45,000]	Hartford	1 27	Denver Dallas	Milwaukee	
Small Communities [15,000 to 25,000]	Rochester Rhode Island			Kansas City Columbus	Vancouver Winnipeg Ottawa

THE PRELIMINARY REVIEW PROCESS RELIED ON:

- A structured rating process
- Multiple reviews
- Explicit criteria
- Expert judgment

AMERICAN JEWISH ARCHIVES

THE STRUCTURED RATING PROCESS INVOLVED A:

- Review of each proposal by two 4-person review panels
- Rating score assigned by each individual panelist
- Composite score produced by each panel
- Selection of finalists by the Lead Communities Selection Committee

THE TWO OVERALL CRITERIA USED IN THE REVIEW PROCESS WERE:

- Preparedness to become a lead community
- Commitment to Jewish education

REVIEW PANELS INCLUDED EXPERTS IN FORMAL AND INFORMAL JEWISH EDUCATION; NATIONAL AS WELL AS LOCAL COMMUNAL PERSPECTIVES:

Dr. F	Robert	Abramson,
-------	--------	-----------

Director, Education Department

Mark Berger,

West Coast Regional Director,

David Dubin,

Executive Director,

Sylvia Ettenberg,

Dean Emeritus,

Dr. Peter Geffen,

Consultant,

Mark Gurvis,

Director of Budget and Planning,

Richard Joel,

Executive Director,

Sara Lee,

Director,

Leonard Rubin,

Assistant Executive Director,

Dr. Alvin Schiff,

Distinguished Professor of Education,

Dr. Elliot Spack,

Executive Director,

Dr. Jonathan Woocher,

Executive Vice President,

United Synagogue of America

Council of Jewish Federations

JCC of Palisades

Jewish Theological Seminary

CRB Foundation

Jewish Federation of Cleveland

B'nai B'rith Hillel Foundation

Rhea Hirsch School of Education,

Hebrew Union College

JCC Association

Yeshiva University

CAJE

JESNA

THE LEAD COMMUNITIES SELECTION COMMITTEE CONSISTS OF:

Mr. Charles Ratner, Chairman

Mr. Charles Bronfman

Mr. Thomas Hausdorff

Mr. David Hirschhorn

Mr. Mark Lainer

Mr. Melvin Merians

Mr. Lester Pollack

FINALIST COMMUNITIES ARE:

	COMMUNITY	REGION	JEWISH POPULATION
1	Atlanta	Southeast	70,000
2	Baltimore	Northeast	92,000
3	Boston	Northeast	200,000
4	Columbus	Midwest	15,000
5	East Bay	West	60,000
6	Metro West	Northeast	120,000
7	Milwaukee	Midwest	28,000
8	Ottawa	Canada	15,000
9	Palm Beach County	Southeast	65,000

THE SELECTION OF LEAD COMMUNITIES RELIED ON:

- Site visits
- Answers to community-specific questions
- Detailed data about rates of participation in Jewish education
- Campaign results and spending on Jewish education

THE KEY CRITERION FOR SELECTING LEAD COMMUNITIES IS THE PROBABLE CAPACITY OF THE COMMUNITY IN THE AREAS OF:

- Leadership
- Financial resources
- Institutional and human resources
- Program
- Planning

THE SELECTION PROCESS ITSELF HAS HELPED TO:

- INCREASE UNDERSTANDING AND ENTHUSIASM
 FOR THE LEAD COMMUNITIES PROJECT
- ADVANCE LOCAL INITIATIVES FOR EXCELLENCE
 IN JEWISH EDUCATION

JBU notes: DON't INCLUDE

9. Site Visits (July 7 - August 6)

Team

- CIJE Board Member
- Professional participant
- Shulamith Elster, Chief Education Officer

Format

- Pre-meeting with key lay and professional leadership
- Large meeting with lay leadership
- Meeting with educators
- Tour of Jewish education program

11. Summary of Finalist Selection

- Finalists notified, with guidelines
- Site visits
- Materials submitted
- Debriefing
- CIJE lay leader
- Professional visitor
- Lead Communities Committee recommendations August 24
- CIJE Board selections

June 6

July 7 - August 6

July 23

August 25