## Preserving American Jewish History

MS-603: Rabbi Marc H. Tanenbaum Collection, 1945-1992.

Series A: Writings and Addresses. 1947-1991

Box 1, Folder 12, "On Proselytes", 17 July 1961.

## ON PROSELYTES

## by Marc H. Tanenbaum

The just-concluded visit to the United States of a British Roman Cathelia presst who converted to Judaism has pointed up once again the conflicted and unresolved attitudes of Jewry toward preselytisation.

In a number of efficial U. S. Jevish religious quarters, a sense of relief prevails now that the three-month visit of Abraham Carmel, formerly Father Kenneth Cox of first Stirling, Sectland, has ended without incident. In other circles of Julaism here, there is a feeling of regret over the Jevish community's not having exploited Mr. Carmel's tour for greater procelytisation purposes.

Mr. Carnel, whose spiritual odyssey has been reported in the met Lender Jevish Chronicle, has/inchisusmunquishnung mak with considerable success in the States, as success in this sort of thing is measured by American standards. Large audiences have attended his lectures given mostly at synagogues and at Badassah and ix other Jewish organizational meetings. The New York Board of Rabbis, which means represents seen 700 Conservative, Orthodox, and Referm rabbis, witnessed the largest turnout in recent history for its executive board meeting when the lecture by Mr. Carnel was announced. Repowtedly, many samplements suspicious rabbis came to mock and left intrigued.

Interestingly, Mr. Carmel received a better press in the general secular periodicals than in the Anglo-Jevish press. George Cormell, the religion editor of the Associated Press, wrote a sensitive and sympathetic interview which was published in sixty daily newspapers in the major cities of America. Newsweek magasine printed a similarly thoughtful story under the headline, "The Anglican-Roman-Jew". to this publicity The only megative reaction from the Christian community/that has come to this writer's attention is an article by a Roman Cathelia priest in a Mid-Wes

Cathelie diecesan newspaper in which Carmel was labeled "a Judas".

The pre-preselytisation and the anti-proselytisation camps in the Jewish community both adduce the Carnel experience as another argument for their respective positions. The "pros" are persuaded that Carnel's success, both withintimendation amount in terms of the lift in merule that his presence has given to Jews and of the absence of a more negative reaction on the part of Christians, is a baremeter that the climate is increasingly confertable for an organized Jewish preselytisation companies mong mon-Jews. They make clear, somewhat defensively, that their target would be the seventy million "unchurched" or "unaffiliated " Americans, and met the believing and practicing Christian community.

The "entis" believe that a Jewish missionary effort, no matter how skilfully implemented, will give offense to the Christian majority and may ultimately jeconfile the harmony that prevails among Catholics; Protestants, and Jews in America. They term the "Judas" reference to Carmel as a straw in the wind.

Despite the felt but unorganized opposition to "missionizing," the "press" have been moving forward quietly but determinedly/ in organizing their missionary programs. These Sensitive to Jetish and Marketin Christian criticism, the presclyters have used suphemisms in maning their secieties; thus, the New York Board of Mabbis have exceted an "Information and Consultation Center" to answer questions about Jews and Judaism. In 1959, an independent "Jewish Information Society" was established in Chicage by a group of Orthodex, Conservative, and Reform laymon and rabbis "to unite all the people of the world in a consistent to the One Universal God and the Brotherhood of Man." The Society has since opened another office in Lös Angeles.

Prior thus to the erganization of these bodies, the major swerd-carrier in the cause has been the United Israel World Union, an affiliate of the M Israel-based World Union for the Prepagation of Judaism. The Marketinian appears to be the pet hebby of a fine Anglo-Jewish journalist, David Rorewitz, who has gone in for eccasional dramatic conversions of Chile-balt Christians to Judaism and for semeshat majodramatic

Mesters

cheervance of Passever on the/mountaintops efficients by communities of garia.

The two mest active prepagandists for Jevish proselyting in the United States have been a Reform rabbi, David Max Richbern, and a leading Conservative rabbi-scholar, Robert Cordis. Both have argued, in videly-publicised articles and in public addresses, that Judaism was a missionising religion throughout the Reman Rapire (Cordis has cited the historian Locky to the effect that at one point in history some two million Roman citisens were converts to Judaism), and that only the threatened death penalty for conversion imposed by Constantine and by subsequent Rymantime codes forced Judaism to abandon its mission. The open and pluralist secrety of America, and the fact that some 2,000 Christians convert annually induce to Judaism vithout any kind of organized presclytisation, family these men with a fervor that has begun to attract many of their colleagues to their sides.

The Reform movement has been particularly attracted to this effort.

Quided by the support of this idea first given by Isaac Mayer Wise in 1889, the
founder of American Reform Judaism, and by the saintly Dr. Lee Basek who, in 1949,
urged the World Union for Progessive Judaism to recepture the "mission of Judaism",
the majority of
Reform rabbis have advocated a stepped-up missionary effort mann antional hander
agencies. Thus, in 1950, the Gentral Conference of American Rabbis set up a "Committee
on the Unaffiliated to study practical means of extending the influence and acceptance
of the Jovish religion". The Committee has prepared and distributed tract literature;
presented Judaism on radio and television; and organized congregational preceding
missions "to'enlighten both Jew and non-Jew".

The New York Federation of Reform Synagogues has conducted a "Course for the Preparation of Prespective Converts to Judaism". In 1955, for example, Rabbi Daniel Davis, federation director, reported that in one year more than 145 men and women were enrolled in the course and

The fact that Pretestant evangelists, nost netably among the Lutherans and Presbyterians, have announced in almost brusen terms of challenge conserted compagns to convert Jove has apparently only served to strengthen the determination of Jovish missionisers to meet Christians on their own playing fields.