Preserving American Jewish History

MS-603: Rabbi Marc H. Tanenbaum Collection, 1945-1992.

Series A: Writings and Addresses. 1947-1991

Box 1, Folder 46, "The Future of Jewish-Christian Relations", December 1966.

AMERICAN JEWISH ARCHIVES REPRINTED FROM

THE BASILIAN TEACHER

THE FUTURE OF JEWISH-CHRISTIAN RELATIONS

Rabbi Marc II. Tanenbaum

DECEMBER 1966

95 St. Joseph St., Toronto 5, Canada Single issues-\$.50. Reprints-\$.25 each Yearly subscription-\$3.50

Future of The **Jewish-Christian** Relations

This article was one of four radio talks on Jewish-Christian relations sponsored by the National Council of Catholic Men in the U. S. Rabbi Tanenbaum, who is the Director of the Inter-

religious Affairs Department, The American Jewish Committee, New York City, analyzes the problem of Jewish-Christian relations as one of faulty communication and suggests joint dislogue and study in the areas of history, biblical and theological studies, and textbooks as the beginnings of a solution.

RABBI MARC H. TANENBAUM

I should like to begin my remarks with an expression of my heartfelt and deep appreciation to the National Council of Catholic Men for their gracious invitation to take part in this series of four programs on the future of Catholic-Jewish relations. Through this series, as well as through their "Grass-Roots" Ecumenism program, which they have inaugurated jointly with the National Council of Catholic Women, they have made a pioneer and landmark contribution to interreligious understanding and have placed all of us, Christian and Jew alike, in their lasting debt.

My talk on "A Design for the Future of Jewish-Christian Relations" is based on two texts: One derives from the recently promulgated Vatican Council Declaration on Non-Christian Religions, which asserted, among other things, "Since the spiritual patrimony common to Christians and Jews is thus so great, this sacred synod wants to foster and recommend that mutual understanding and respect which is the fruit above all of biblical and theological studies as well as of fraternal dialogues." My second text is derived from one of the basic works of Jewish tradition-"The Sayings of the Fathers" (of the Synagogue):

"The world is founded upon three pillars," declared the rabbis, "upon Torah, Avodah and Gemilas Chasadim." "The very foundations of the earth," said the rabbis, "are reared on Torah, which in its broader meaning, is study or learning; on Avodah, which technically means worship, but can mean as well, service of the heart or service of the total person, and on Gemilas Chasadim, on righteous deeds, acts and works of charity."

The Fathers of the Synagogue, it seems to me, have suggested some fundamental approaches which might be considered appropriate for the advancement of objectives which the Fathers of the Catholic Church have proposed-the objectives of mutual knowledge and respect which all men of good will, living in the pluralism of America and a growing interdependent world, must certainly share. In speaking of the tradition of Torah, of understanding, of knowledge, of information, indeed, of scholarship in this context of advancing Jewish-Christian relations, I should like to suggest something which has not been done before, at least to my knowledge, but which sooner or later should certainly be done.

Before the proposal, the problem. One of the great problems between Christians and Jews has been the breakdown in communication. We have been trained in virtually different universes of discourse and nowhere is this more clearly evident than in the ways, for example, in which we portray each other, in our history books, not just in the elementary and secondary textbooks but in the college and university and seminary levels as well. A Christian historian, for example, Father Philip Hughes, writing in his excellent study, Popular History of the Catholic Church, describes the Crusades of the eleventh and twelfth centuries as "holy wars" to free the Holy Land in Jerusalem from the infidels. "Never before had Europe known such a vast and successful propaganda as the preaching of the First Crusade and its success is a most eloquent proof of the reality of the new reform Papacy's hold on the average man and of its popularity with him." In his Popular History edition, Father Hughes did not refer to the place of the Jews in the Crusades, not even once.

To Jewish historians writing about the same Crusades,

scholars such as Heinrich Graetz, Marx and Margolis, Sollomon Grayzel, the Crusades are described, in the language of one of these historians, as, "A gory story of pillaging Jewish settlements, killing Jewish people, looting Jewish wealth. Such serious restrictive legislation as the humiliating garb, ritual murder charges, host desecration libels and confinement of the ghetto, were not the heritage of the Dark Ages but the heritage of the crusades." As Father Flannery, author of The Anguish of the Jews, has written: "Most Christians have torn out of their history books the pages the Jews have memorized." For the Christian who is raised on the tradition of history contained in Father Hughes' book, the Crusades will be forever seen as a noble, heroic and by and large holy undertaking. But no Christian who is raised on that version of the Crusades will ever understand the mind-set of his Jewish brother who has been instructed by his reading of the Jewish version of that period.

Two completely different mentalities are developing side by side. The Jew responds to this understanding of history in the Christian West with a feeling of some vast, inchoate sense of his victimization and he responds, humanly, with resentment. The Christian who knows nothing about this side of the history of the Jew in the West-the Crusades. the Inquisitions, the pogroms, the expulsions, the ghettos, the yellow badges of shame-often concludes that Jews who seem to get quickly nervous over outbreaks of anti-Semitism are strangely hypersensitive, even paranoid. And many Jews find it difficult to believe that Christians do not know anything about Jewish suffering of this magnitude in the past and conclude that Christians are being hard-hearted and insensitive-and the cycle of misunderstuding thereby proceeds apace.

And so I should like to propose that we face squarely into this issue as one of the major obligations posed by Vatican Council II. As one way of coming to grips with this problem, I should like to see Catholic, Protestant and Jewish historians sit down together and write a joint history, if not of the entire encounter between Christians and Jews across two thousand years, then of sections of it, perhaps as monographs, research papers, or background documents to be used in college and seminary courses-objective, im-

The Basilian Teacher / 407

partial treatment of the Crusades, of the Spanish Inquisition period, of the portrayal of the Jew and Judaism in patristic literature, of the role and the place of the Jew in the Middle Ages in his relations with a Christan society, of what a trauma the French Revolution meant for the Church while for the Jew it meant the civic and political salvation of the whole Jewish people. The very process of creating such a body of literature will be greatly instuctive for all who will be exposed to study, research and writing in this field. Such work, carried out by seminarians together, teachers, scholarly clergy, educated laymen, would help immeasurably to overcome the misconceptions and the misrepresentations that have accumulated across the centuries and which have contributed so much to the distortion of our relations even to this very day. The very least that we might hope for is that we will overcome our ignorances about each other's history books and what they contain.

Another area of study involves that of biblical and theological studies and is suggested by the Vatican Council declaration quite explicitly. The Council Fathers called for accurate interpretation in precise historical and theological terms of the role of the Jewish people in the crucifixion. The declaration declared, in these words: "What happened in His Passion cannot be charged against all the Jews without distinction then alive, nor against the Jews of today." It added: "The Jews should not be presented as rejected or accursed by God as if this followed from the Holy Scriptures."

A St. Louis University study of religious textbooks used in parochial schools, conducted by Sister Rose Albert under the direction of Father Trafford Maher, has disclosed that, in a substantial number of textbooks and teacher manuals, and this has been true as well of sermons, liturgical commentaries and other forms of catechetical materials, the enemies of Jesus have frequently been identified as, quote "the Jews," while his friends and followers, who were also Jews, are not referred to in those terms. Thus, in some of the textbooks that were studied, we find the following is written: "It was on the day that Jesus raised Lazarus from the tomb that the Jews decided to kill him. Nevertheless they were afraid of the people." But who were the people

that the Jews were afraid of? Martians? They were Jews, other Jews. The historic truth is that Jesus scarcely ever spoke a word to a non-Jew. His whole milieu, the people with whom he lived, with whom he had his daily encounters, were all, friend and foe alike, Jews. And yet this tradition of selective interpretation of Scripture continues to this very day.

Now let me be very clear about one point. No informed Jew is asking Christians to revise the New Testament for the sake of good Jewish-Christian relations. Those who have any understanding of Scriptures are not more prepared to ask Christians to re-write the Gospels than Jews would be prepared to accept any suggestions from non-lews that the Torah or the Talmud be revised for reasons of good will. However, since present day Jews are living descendents of the Jews who are referred to repeatedly in the Gospels, and in light of centuries of persecutions of Jews by people who called themselves Christians and who exploited some of these teachings to cover up their bigotry, what many Jews do raise as a question before the conscience of their Christian neighbors, especially biblical and theological scholars and students, is whether there are not resources in biblical exegesis and related scholarship that would enable Christian teachers, priests and the average Catholic parent to interpret in proper context and in its spiritual meaning those passages of the New Testament which are most easily open to distortion.

I make bold to raise this issue in this form because I am greatly encouraged by the leadership already given in this direction by eminent Catholic scripture scholars and theologians. A very good case in point is the essay on "The Gospels and Anti-Semitism" by Father Dominic Crossan, that appeared recently in Theological Studies, the journal published by the Jesuit seminary at Woodstock College. Father Crossan wrote, and I quote, "The often repeated statement that the Jews rejected Jesus and had Him crucified is historically untenable and must therefore be removed completely from our thinking and our writing, our teaching, preaching and liturgy."

One is heartened to find this point of view clearly and concretely reflected in such new textbooks and teacher

The Basilian Teacher / 409

manuals as the "To live is Christ" series by Brother Frederick and Brother Albert, for Catholic high schools. The authors write in the teacher's manual: "We must follow the example of our Lord upon the cross, who did not apply the term God-killers to the Roman powers, nor to those (Judas included) who brought Him before the Romans, and certainly did not apply any blanket condemnation upon any group or nation because of the actions of a minority.

"Rather we should think of the significance the Crucifixion has for us Christians: We should think of the love of God shown for us in this action and the promise of resurrection in union with that of Jesus. We should consider that, when we sin, we are turning our backs on the life work of Christ, and making His death useless in our regard: It is we who condemn Him to martyrdom, then, in our own hearts."

Another dimension of this area of biblical and theological concern is that related to the conventional practice of making unjust and inaccurate comparisons between the Jewish faith and Christianity. Occasionally, gratuitous slurs against Judaism are introduced to heighten the contrast to Christianity. In consequence, Judaism emerges as a legalistic religion, concerned solely with external observances, ritual, legalistic piety, devoid of love, mercy and compassion. For example, as one of the textbooks cited in the St. Louis study says: "The Jews believe that one should hate an enemy, but Christ taught the opposite." It might be noted that St. Paul's injunction, "If your enemy is hungry, feed him," contained in Romans 12:20, is a direct quotation from Proverbs 25:21, with which the Jews has something to do.

Also, in this style of teaching, the Pharisees, who for the most part, were saintly, devout and courageous men and on whose scholarly and moral interpretations modern Judaism rests today, are frequently described as inhuman, without true religious motivation. As the distinguished Jewish biblical scholar, Dr. Robert Gordis, has declared: "Every competent scholar knows that the Old Testament conceived of God in terms of love as well as of justice, just as Jesus' God manifested Himself in justice as well as in love, for justice without love is cruelty and love without justice is caprice." If anything has brought this lesson home to us, my friends, it is the race struggle which is presently convulsing our

nation, which is based on a demand for justice as much as on brotherly love.

The last aspect of biblical and theological concerns that I would like to touch on deals with the problems of omission. Very often, a form of distortion appears in teaching or for that matter, in everyday discussion, either intentionally or under the influence of unconscious prejudice. For example, because of the general omission of the Jewish background of Christianity, many Catholics and other Christians are unaware of Christianity's deep roots in Judaism and in the Jewish people. Some passages in textbooks, for example, give the impression that the Bible did not exist prior to the Catholic Church. Here is a verbatim quote from one of the textbooks cited in the St. Louis study: "He inspired men whom He chose to write the smaller books which comprise it. There can be no doubt that the world must thank the Church for the Bible."

In such material and in history books, in books that are used in all ranges of education, there are few references to Judaism as a religion in its present form. After the birth of Christianity, Jewish religious practices, holy days, are described mainly in the context of the ancient past. The Catholic student, or the Christian student generally, is given the impression that Judaism as a faith ceased to exist with the founding of Christianity or with the destruction of the Temple. The Jews of the later ages thus appear, by implication, as an irreligious people or as some weird phenomenon. What are Jews doing here, since in this view of the Old Testament, it has been fulfilled and the Jews have been superceded. The extreme secularized version of this attitude was expressed by Arnold Toynbee, who thought the Jews were a fossil of an ancient, Syriac civilization. Well, if we want to be rational people, we need to face the evidence of our senses. There are few Jews around who are fossilized and even fewer whom I know who regard themselves as Syriac vestiges.

If one wishes to understand the Jews as they understand themselves today, the People of Israel means, the actual living Jewish people who became a people through the covenant made with God on Mount Sinai and have been giving living witness to the word of God through centuries of de-

The Basilian Teacher / 411

votion and piety and service, as well as through tragic suffering and martyrdom. This covenant has transformed the Jews into an eternal and permanent people, as the psalmist declared:

My mercy will I not break off from him, nor will I be false to my faithfulness. My covenant will I not profane, nor alter that which has gone out of my lips. Once have I sworn by my holiness, surely I will not be false unto David. His seed shall endure forever and his throne as the sun before me. It shall be established forever as the moon and be steadfast as the witness in the sky.

Perhaps this is what St. Paul meant when he declared in the book of Romans that "the calls and promises of God to the people of Israel are irrevocable."

As Father Henry Renckens has written in his recent book, The Religion of Israel: "Christianity would be unthinkable without Judaism" and "The old Israel is a work of the Holy Spirit, as is the new." "If we take the Church and Holy Scripture seriously," Father Renckens adds, "then we are bound to take Judaism and its literary activity down to this day serious." Msgr John Oesterreicher has recently stated it another way: "It is simply not true that because the synagogue did not accept Jesus as the giver of a new life, she is a dead tree carrying dead leaves. God's grace is at work in the synagogue. The worshipping community of Israel is alive to Him."

The challenge of Jewish-Christian relations is then for the Jew to come to grips with that which God, must have intended in the emergence of Christianity out of the soil of the Holy Land, to come to grips with the holiness and sanctity that is found in the lives of so many of the new Christians with whom we have our being today. For Christians, it is to come to know Jews and Judaism in their full, living, present-day reality as a living, worshipping community, striving to be ever the banner of God's fidelity, a kingdom of priests and a holy nation. Martin Buber has written that all real living is meeting. We cannot enter into full communication without living, human encounter. It is for this reason that the dialogue movement has taken on such importance in our lifetime today.

Jewish-Christian Relations / 412