



THE JACOB RADER MARCUS CENTER OF THE
AMERICAN JEWISH ARCHIVES
A DIVISION OF HEBREW UNION COLLEGE – JEWISH INSTITUTE OF RELIGION

MS-630: Rabbi Alexander M. Schindler Digital Collection, 1961-1996.

Series A: Union of American Hebrew Congregations, 1961-1996.

Box
13

Folder
6a

Research Task Force, 1979-1988.

For more information on this collection, please see the finding aid on the
American Jewish Archives website.

MEMORANDUM

From Terry Bobrow

Date 12/9/88

To Rabbi Alexander M. Schindler, Mr. Allan B. Goldman, Rabbi Sanford Seltzer

Copies

Subject Letters Thanking Members of the Research Task Force.


The attached letter (with the exception of the last paragraph) was sent to the members of the Research Task Force.

The last paragraph was amended for those who did not actively particulate.



Union of American Hebrew Congregations

838 FIFTH AVENUE, NEW YORK, N.Y. 10021 (212) 249-0100



אחדות
ליהדות
מתקרמת
באמריקה

Union of American Hebrew Congregations

PATRON OF HEBREW UNION COLLEGE—JEWISH INSTITUTE OF RELIGION
838 FIFTH AVENUE, NEW YORK, N.Y. 10021 (212) 249-0100 CABLES: UNIONUHC

December 5, 1988

Dr. Gerald Bubis
Hebrew Union College
3077 University Avenue
Los Angeles, CA 90007

Dear Gerald:

Since its formation after the 1983 Houston Biennial, the Research Task Force has rendered a vital service to our Reform Movement, not only by its emphasis upon our need to assess contemporary religious trends, but also by its outstanding contributions, performance and results. The survey of the delegates to the 1985 UAHC-NFTS Biennial Conventions in Los Angeles and the ensuing publication of the volume, "Leaders of Reform Judaism," have been and continue to be sources of significant information and direction for future programming. The conference sponsored by the Task Force in October of this year on "The Shape and Future of Reform Judaism in the 21st Century" provided additional insights.


Mindful as all of us are of the importance of research (continued demographic research was one of the major topics covered by the report of the Long Range Planning Committee that I chaired) and of the achievements of the Task Force, but also mindful of the difficulties that have been encountered in funding the desired projects and activities from sources outside of the operating budgets of our national institutions, we have decided that the time is appropriate to bring to a close the work of the Task Force. Rabbi Schindler (President of the UAHC), Rabbi Eugene Lipman (President of the CCAR), and Dr. Alfred Gottschalk (President of HUC-JIR) all concur in this mutual decision.

On behalf of the Board of Trustees of the Union of American Hebrew Congregations, I want to thank you for a job well done and for the quality of the contribution that you made to this important and valuable project.

Shalom,

Allan B. Goldman

Chairman
Allan B. Goldman
President
Rabbi Alexander M. Schindler
Honorary Chairmen
Earl Morse
Matthew H. Ross
Donald S. Day
Immediate Past Chairman
Charles J. Rothschild, Jr.
Vice Chairpersons
Iris Franco
John A. Geller
Harris Gilbert
Norma Levitt
Joyce Ottenheimer
E. M. Rosenthal
Jocelyn Rudner
B. J. Tanenbaum, Jr.
Geraldine Voit
Paul Uhlmann, Jr.
Honorary Vice Chairman
Hyman J. Bylan
Gilbert Tilles
Vice Presidents
Rabbi Daniel B. Syme
Albert Vorspan
Honorary Treasurer
Howard M. Wilkoff
Treasurer
Melvin Merians
Associate Treasurer
Norman D. Schwartz
Secretary
Lillian Maltzer



איחוד
ליהדות
מתכדרמת
באמריקה

Union of American Hebrew Congregations

PATRON OF HEBREW UNION COLLEGE - JEWISH INSTITUTE OF RELIGION
838 FIFTH AVENUE, NEW YORK, N.Y. 10021 (212) 249-0100 CABLES: UNIONUHC

December 9, 1988

Dear

Since its formation after the 1983 Houston Biennial, the Research Task Force has rendered a vital service to our Reform Movement, not only by its emphasis upon our need to assess contemporary religious trends, but also by its outstanding contributions, performance and results. The survey of the delegates to the 1985 UAHC-NFTS Biennial Conventions in Los Angeles and the ensuing publication of the volume, "Leaders of Reform Judaism," have been and continue to be sources of significant information and direction for future programming. The conference sponsored by the Task Force in October of this year on "The Shape and Future of Reform Judaism in the 21st Century" provided additional insights.


Mindful as all of us are of the importance of research (continued demographic research was one of the major topics covered by the report of the Long Range Planning Committee that I chaired) and of the achievements of the Task Force, but also mindful of the difficulties that have been encountered in funding the desired projects and activities from sources outside of the operating budgets of our national institutions, we have decided that the time is appropriate to bring to a close the work of the Task Force. Rabbi Schindler (President of the UAHC), Rabbi Eugene Lipman (President of the CCAR), and Dr. Alfred Gottschalk (President of HUC-JIR) all concur in this mutual decision.

On behalf of the Board of Trustees of the Union of American Hebrew Congregations, I want to thank you for your interest and your willingness to serve on this Task Force.

Shalom,

Allan B. Goldman

Chairman
Allan B. Goldman
President
Rabbi Alexander M. Schindler
Honorary Chairmen
Earl Morse
Matthew H. Ross
Donald S. Day
Immediate Past Chairman
Charles J. Rothschild, Jr.
Vice Chairpersons
Iris Franco
John A. Geller
Harris Gilbert
Norma Levitt
Joyce Ottenheimer
E.M. Rosenthal
Jocelyn Rudner
B.J. Tanenbaum, Jr.
Geraldine Voit
Paul Uhlmann, Jr.
Honorary Vice Chairmen
Hyman J. Bylan
Gilbert Tilles
Vice Presidents
Rabbi Daniel B. Syme
Albert Vorspan
Honorary Treasurer
Howard M. Wilkoff
Treasurer
Melvin Merians
Associate Treasurer
Norman D. Schwartz
Secretary
Lillian Maltzer



איחור
ליהדות
מתקרמת
באמריקה

Research Task Force

UNION OF AMERICAN HEBREW CONGREGATIONS - CENTRAL CONFERENCE OF AMERICAN RABBIS
- HEBREW UNION COLLEGE-JEWISH INSTITUTE OF RELIGION
1330 BEACON STREET, SUITE 355, BROOKLINE, MASSACHUSETTS 02146 (617) 277-1655

*Founded
action to*

in

FROM: Rabbi Sanford Seltzer, Mr. Alan V. Iselin
TO: Rabbi Alexander M. Schindler
DATE: November 2, 1988
RE: RESEARCH TASK FORCE

Recently we met with Gary Tobin at the Center For Modern Jewish Studies at Brandeis University for the purpose of discussing a possible series of cooperative ventures between Brandeis and the Research Task Force. Brandeis is receptive to the idea because when they develop grant proposals, foundations are not interested in funding theoretical propositions but in research that can be applied programmatically. We, in turn, need an academic support system in order to attract foundation monies. Tobin went on to say that Brandeis would be willing to develop proposals, contact foundations, help us with individual donors and work with us in developing appropriate needs assessment.

Last week Alan met with Fred Gottschalk since the College is a participating member of the Task Force and shared this information with him. His response was not supportive as he stated that the College would not be willing to participate with another academic institution. He suggested that it might be possible for the Task Force to come under the direct control of the College and be based in Los Angeles. Alan was to review this possibility with Uri Herscher.

Sandy and I have discussed this and Sandy is not very happy with the prospect of the College essentially taking over the Task Force, particularly since aside from paying the expenses of their representatives have not been helpful in raising any money or in the implementation of the project on intermarriage. Under the circumstances, we want to meet with you to discuss the matter before any decisions are made. Among other things to be determined are:

- a. If we were to agree to work with Brandeis, would the Research Task Force become a project primarily of the UAHC or a joint commission of the Conference and the Union with an invitation to the College to participate if they so choose?
- b. If the College were to be given the dominant role in all of this, what would be the status of the Union in such an undertaking?

We have checked your calendar with Edie and have tentatively set either January 10 or January 12 to meet with you subject to your determination. However, we will both be at the Board Meeting in Atlanta. Can we spend a few minutes together?

Chairman
Alan V. Iselin
Co-Chairman
Rabbi Murray I. Rothman
Chairman, Subcommittee on Instrument Design
Rabbi Mark L. Winer
Director
Rabbi Sanford Seltzer

*Respect
Task Force*

Draft

*Hold for Board
Review*

Dear UAHC Board Member:

Enclosed for your review are some significant findings drawn from an analysis of the Jewish attitudes and behaviors of some 2,000 delegates to the 1985 UAHC-NFTS Biennial Conventions in Los Angeles. The material is taken from the Biennial delegate's questionnaire which many of you completed during the summer and fall of 1985. The research has been prepared by the Research Task Force On the Future of American Reform Judaism under the leadership of UAHC Vice-Chairman, Alan V. Iselin.

Please note that these are preliminary data. The Task Force will present a comprehensive detailed report at the forthcoming Biennial Convention in Chicago in October. The Task Force's conclusions will provide us with critical insights regarding the shape of American Reform Judaism in the 21st century. As such, they are of decisive importance in determining appropriate programmatic directions and agendas for the UAHC and for each of our congregations.

A significant portion of our Board meeting on Saturday, June 13, will be devoted to an assessment of the implications of these findings. This Fact Sheet will enable you to participate more effectively in the discussion, to raise appropriate questions and probe the issues under examination.

The Jewish Attitudes and Behaviors of the Delegates to the
1985 Biennial Convention of the UAHC and NFTS, Los Angeles

Number of Respondants - 2,000

FACT SHEET

I. Vital Statistics

The Biennial delegates are overwhelmingly second and third generation Americans. Highly educated, a substantial number (46%) are products of Reform Jewish backgrounds. Nearly half are 50 years of age and older. Most, 89%, are married. 94% are married to born Jews and report that their dating patterns in highschool and college were predominantly Jewish. By contrast, those delegates married to converts and in mixed-marriages report a higher incidence of interdating during their college years.

II. Jewish Practices and Attitudes

A. As a group, the delegates exhibit a high degree of ritual observance and celebration. For example, 71% light Sabbath candles and 85% fast on Yom Kippur. Only 4% have a Christmas tree. When asked what are the two most essential criteria for being a good Jew, the most frequent responses were knowing the fundamentals of Judaism and belonging to a temple.

B. Israel

A large number of the delegates have spent time in Israel, 20% a stay in excess of six months. Still, there is an overwhelming expression of confidence that Jews can live an authentic Jewish life in the United States and that Israel is not the center of Jewish life.

C. Informal Jewish Identity

The delegates report that their friendship networks are mainly Jewish and that they feel more comfortable and relaxed when they meet someone new who is Jewish than someone who is not Jewish. They assert that being Jewish is important not only because of the satisfaction derived from being so but because Jews have the task of being an example to the world.

III. Attitudes Regarding Interdating and Intermarriage

The delegates report that 40% of their children who are married are intermarried.

A. Attitudes Regarding Interdating On the Part of Their Children

- 4% of the delegates express no preference regarding whom their children date
- 35% express no opposition to their children dating non-Jews although they prefer that they date Jews
- 28% permit their children to date non-Jews although they prefer that they date only Jews
- 29% strongly oppose their children dating non-Jews although they do not forbid them to do so
- 4% do not permit their children to date non-Jews

B. Attitudes Regarding Rabbis Officiating At Mixed Marriage

Over half of the delegates believe that rabbis should officiate at mixed marriages -

- a. if the couple promises to join the temple
- b. if the couple promises to rear their children as Jews
- c. if the non-Jew has completed all the requirements for conversion but has not undergone the conversion ceremony. The latter receives the most support (59%).

C. Additional Data Regarding Intermarriage

When asked what are the criteria for being a good Jew, over half of the delegates (55%) assert that it is desirable but not essential to marry within the Jewish faith. 41% deem it an essential criterion of being a good Jew.

When asked whether a Jew married to a non-Jew who does not convert to Judaism is jeopardizing the future of Judaism, 48% of the delegates are either neutral, disagree, or strongly disagree.

Delegate households consisting of born Jews married to converts and born Jews married to non-Jews exhibit consistently higher degrees of leniency regarding interdating on the part of their children than households consisting of born Jews married to born Jews.

Delegate households consisting of born Jews married to converts and born Jews married to non-Jews are more favorably inclined to rabbi's officiating at mixed marriages than households consisting of born Jews married to born Jews.

Some Questions To Ponder

1. Only a small percentage of Biennial delegates have intermarried. Are their marital choices a result of the positive religious Jewish backgrounds they report as well as their pattern of dating mainly Jews in college or is it a result of a general climate of hostility regarding intermarriage which was dominant when they were growing up?
2. The Biennial delegates are very Jewishly observant and active in synagogue and community life. Yet, a substantial number of their children have intermarried. How does one reconcile these apparent inconsistencies?
3. How significant are the statistics regarding interdating patterns on the part of the delegate children for future rates of intermarriage?
4. Only 41% of the delegates believe that it is essential to marry within the Jewish faith in order to be a good Jew. 48% do not feel that Jew married to a non-Jew who does not convert to Judaism jeopardizes the future of Judaism. Do such attitudes give tacit approval to intermarriage?
5. Will our children feel as strongly about associating with other Jews as their parents report that they do?
6. Will Jewish peoplehood be an outmoded concept for tomorrow's emerging Jewish community?
7. Are we in danger of becoming a "protestantized" Jewish community which focuses primarily on religious observances but disregards informal aspects of being Jewish and minimizes Jewish ethnicity.
8. Our children are the recipients of a steady American cultural diet of openness and neutrality regarding religious belief and commitment. What, if anything, should the UAHC be doing programatically to counter this trend?
9. Are attitudes regarding interdating and mixed marriage on the part of family households where one partner is non-Jewish or where one partner has converted to Judaism a cause for concern?

SAS

1
12:03 SATURDAY, OCTOBER 25, 1986

TABLE OF Q2_1 BY HHTYPE

Q2_1 HHTYPE

Resende
Dori Lee

FREQUENCY COL PCT	BJ-BJ	HBJ-WC	HBJ-WNJ	HC-WBJ	HNJ-WBJ	OTHER	TOTAL
X	9 . .	1 . .	0 . .	0 . .	0 . .	4 . .	.
< 20	756 56.97	37 60.66	9 56.25	10 71.43	18 81.82	149 57.75	979
21-22	46 3.47	4 6.56	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 4.55	6 2.33	57
23-25	82 6.18	4 6.56	3 18.75	0 0.00	2 9.09	10 3.88	101
26+	443 33.38	16 26.23	4 25.00	4 28.57	1 4.55	93 36.05	561
TOTAL	1327	61	16	14	22	258	1698

STATISTICS FOR 2-WAY TABLES

WARNING: OVER 20% OF THE CELLS HAVE EXPECTED COUNTS LESS THAN 5.
TABLE IS SO SPARSE THAT CHI-SQUARE MAY NOT BE A VALID TEST.

CHI-SQUARE	21.763	DF= 15	PROB=0.1142
PHI	0.113		
CONTINGENCY COEFFICIENT	0.112		
CRAMER'S V	0.065		
LIKELIHOOD RATIO CHISQUARE	24.827	DF= 15	PROB=0.0523

12:03 SATURDAY, OCTOBER 25, 1986

TABLE OF Q3_1 BY HHTYPE

Q3_1 HHTYPE

FREQUENCY COL PCT	BJ-BJ	HBJ-WC	HBJ-WNJ	HC-WBJ	HNJ-WBJ	OTHER	TOTAL
X	7 . .	0 . .	0 . .	1 . .	0 . .	3 . .	.
1	1263 95.03	56 90.32	16 100.00	12 92.31	20 90.91	250 96.53	1617
2	6 0.45	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 4.55	2 0.77	9
3	31 2.33	2 3.23	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	33
4	8 0.60	1 1.61	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	9
5	21 1.58	3 4.84	0 0.00	1 7.69	1 4.55	7 2.70	33
TOTAL	1329	62	16	13	22	259	1701

STATISTICS FOR 2-WAY TABLES

WARNING: OVER 20% OF THE CELLS HAVE EXPECTED COUNTS LESS THAN 5.
 TABLE IS SO SPARSE THAT CHI-SQUARE MAY NOT BE A VALID TEST.

CHI-SQUARE	26.320	DF= 20	PROB=0.1555
PHI	0.124		
CONTINGENCY COEFFICIENT	0.123		
CRAMER'S V	0.062		
LIKELIHOOD RATIO CHISQUARE	27.925	DF= 20	PROB=0.1112

TABLE OF Q7_1 BY HHTYPE

Q7_1 mothers religion HHTYPE

FREQUENCY COL PCT	BJ-BJ	HBJ-WC	HBJ-WNJ	TOTAL
A	1	0	0	.
X	17	1	0	.
ORTHODOX JEW	154 11.68	8 13.11	2 12.50	200
CONCERVATIVE JEW	353 26.78	5 8.20	2 12.50	415
REFORM JEW	567 43.02	10 16.39	5 31.25	701
JUST JEWISH	234 17.75	4 6.56	3 18.75	285
CATHOLIC	1 0.08	9 14.75	0 0.00	19
PROTESTANT	5 0.38	24 39.34	4 25.00	61
	7 0.30	4 1.64	0 0.00	6
TOTAL	1318	61	16	1687

(CONTINUED)

12:03 SATURDAY, OCTOBER 25, 1986

TABLE OF Q7_1 BY HHTYPE

Q7_1 mothers religion HHTYPE

FREQUENCY COL PCT	HC-WBJ	HNJ-WBJ	OTHER	TOTAL
A	0	0	0	.
X	0	0	6	.
ORTHODOX JEW	3 21.43	0 0.00	33 12.89	200
CONSERVATIVE JEW	4 28.57	6 27.27	45 17.58	415
REFORM JEW	1 7.14	11 50.00	107 41.80	701
JUST JEWISH	3 21.43	5 22.73	36 14.06	285
CATHOLIC	0 0.00	0 0.00	9 3.52	19
PROTESTANT	3 21.43	0 0.00	25 9.77	61
	7 0.00	0 0.00	1 0.39	6
TOTAL	14	22	256	1687

STATISTICS FOR 2-WAY TABLES

WARNING: OVER 20% OF THE CELLS HAVE EXPECTED COUNTS LESS THAN 5.
 TABLE IS SO SPARSE THAT CHI-SQUARE MAY NOT BE A VALID TEST.

CHI-SQUARE	484.358	DF= 30	PROB=0.0001
PHI	0.536		
CONTINGENCY COEFFICIENT	0.472		
CRAMER'S V	0.240		
LIKELIHOOD RATIO CHISQUARE	283.346	DF= 30	PROB=0.0001

TABLE OF Q7_2 BY HHTYPE

Q7_2 fathers religion HHTYPE

FREQUENCY COL PCT	BJ-BJ	HBJ-WC	HBJ-WNJ	TOTAL
A	1	0	0	.
X	56	3	0	.
ORTHODOX JEW	165 12.90	7 11.86	1 6.25	209
CONCERVATIVE JEW	343 26.82	5 8.47	2 12.50	399
REFORM JEW	518 40.50	9 15.25	5 31.25	651
JUST JEWISH	246 19.23	8 13.56	2 12.50	297
CATHOLIC	0 0.00	10 16.95	1 6.25	17
PROTESTANT	2 0.16	19 32.20	5 31.25	49
	7 0.39	5 1.69	0 0.00	12
TOTAL	1279	59	16	1634

(CONTINUED)

12:03 SATURDAY, OCTOBER 25, 1986

TABLE OF Q7_2 BY HHTYPE

Q7_2 fathers religion HHTYPE

FREQUENCY COL PCT	HC-WBJ	HNJ-WBJ	OTHER	TOTAL
A	0	0	0	.
X	0	1	17	.
ORTHODOX JEW	3 21.43	1 4.76	32 13.06	209
CONSERVATIVE JEW	3 21.43	7 33.33	39 15.92	399
REFORM JEW	2 14.29	8 38.10	109 44.49	651
JUST JEWISH	3 21.43	5 23.81	33 13.47	297
CATHOLIC	0 0.00	0 0.00	6 2.45	17
PROTESTANT	2 14.29	0 0.00	21 8.57	49
	7 7.14	1 0.00	0 2.04	12
TOTAL	14	21	245	1634

STATISTICS FOR 2-WAY TABLES

WARNING: OVER 20% OF THE CELLS HAVE EXPECTED COUNTS LESS THAN 5.
 TABLE IS SO SPARSE THAT CHI-SQUARE MAY NOT BE A VALID TEST.

CHI-SQUARE	498.204	DF= 30	PROB=0.0001
PHI	0.552		
CONTINGENCY COEFFICIENT	0.483		
CRAMER'S V	0.247		
LIKELIHOOD RATIO CHISQUARE	278.110	DF= 30	PROB=0.0001

12:03 SATURDAY, OCTOBER 25, 1986

TABLE OF Q8_1 BY HHTYPE

Q8_1 child Jews visit HHTYPE

FREQUENCY COL PCT	BJ-BJ	HBJ-WC	HBJ-WNJ	HC-WBJ	HNJ-WBJ	OTHER	TOTAL
X	5	5	0	0	0	9	.
.
NEVER	1 0.08	15 26.32	3 18.75	1 7.14	0 0.00	11 4.35	31
1 OR LESS	10 0.75	5 8.77	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	7 2.77	22
2-5 TIMES	50 3.76	6 10.53	0 0.00	1 7.14	2 9.09	14 5.53	73
6-11 TIMES	92 6.91	3 5.26	2 12.50	1 7.14	1 4.55	15 5.93	114
12+ TIMES	1178 88.50	28 49.12	11 68.75	11 78.57	19 86.36	206 81.42	1453
TOTAL	1331	57	16	14	22	253	1693

STATISTICS FOR 2-WAY TABLES

WARNING: OVER 20% OF THE CELLS HAVE EXPECTED COUNTS LESS THAN 5.
 TABLE IS SO SPARSE THAT CHI-SQUARE MAY NOT BE A VALID TEST.

CHI-SQUARE	299.545	DF= 20	PROB=0.0001
PHI	0.421		
CONTINGENCY COEFFICIENT	0.388		
CRAMER'S V	0.210		
LIKELIHOOD RATIO CHISQUARE	150.170	DF= 20	PROB=0.0001

12:03 SATURDAY, OCTOBER 25, 1986

TABLE OF Q8_2 BY HHTYPE

Q8_2 child non-Jews visit HHTYPE

FREQUENCY COL PCT	BJ-BJ	HBJ-WC	HBJ-WNJ	HC-WBJ	HNJ-WBJ	OTHER	TOTAL
X	28	3	0	1	1	17	.
.
NEVER	118 9.02	3 5.08	2 12.50	1 7.69	1 4.76	19 7.76	144
1 OR LESS	232 17.74	6 10.17	1 6.25	0 0.00	5 23.81	27 11.02	271
2-5 TIMES	358 27.37	11 18.64	3 18.75	3 23.08	8 38.10	57 23.27	440
6-11 TIMES	248 18.96	8 13.56	0 0.00	1 7.69	2 9.52	40 16.33	299
12+ TIMES	352 26.91	31 52.54	10 62.50	8 61.54	5 23.81	102 41.63	508
TOTAL	1308	59	16	13	21	245	1662

STATISTICS FOR 2-WAY TABLES

WARNING: OVER 20% OF THE CELLS HAVE EXPECTED COUNTS LESS THAN 5.
 TABLE IS SO SPARSE THAT CHI-SQUARE MAY NOT BE A VALID TEST.

CHI-SQUARE	57.971	DF= 20	PROB=0.0001
PHI	0.187		
CONTINGENCY COEFFICIENT	0.184		
CRAMER'S V	0.093		
LIKELIHOOD RATIO CHISQUARE	60.061	DF= 20	PROB=0.0001

SAS

9

12:03 SATURDAY, OCTOBER 25, 1986

TABLE OF Q8_3 BY HHTYPE

Q8_3 child synagogue services HHTYPE

FREQUENCY COL PCT	BJ-BJ	HBJ-WC	HBJ-WNJ	HC-WBJ	HNJ-WBJ	OTHER	TOTAL
X	6	4	0	0	0	7	.
NEVER	.73 5.49	.31 53.45	.4 25.00	.3 21.43	.1 4.55	.38 14.90	150
1 OR LESS	100 7.52	3 5.17	2 12.50	1 7.14	5 22.73	19 7.45	130
2-5 TIMES	382 28.72	9 15.52	3 18.75	3 21.43	8 36.36	57 22.35	462
6-11 TIMES	234 17.59	7 12.07	1 6.25	0 0.00	2 9.09	35 13.73	279
12+ TIMES	541 40.68	8 13.79	6 37.50	7 50.00	6 27.27	106 41.57	674
TOTAL	1330	58	16	14	22	255	1695

STATISTICS FOR 2-WAY TABLES

WARNING: OVER 20% OF THE CELLS HAVE EXPECTED COUNTS LESS THAN 5.
 TABLE IS SO SPARSE THAT CHI-SQUARE MAY NOT BE A VALID TEST.

CHI-SQUARE 199.092 DF= 20 PROB=0.0001
 PHI 0.343
 CONTINGENCY COEFFICIENT 0.324
 CRAMER'S V 0.171
 LIKELIHOOD RATIO CHISQUARE 131.863 DF= 20 PROB=0.0001

12:03 SATURDAY, OCTOBER 25, 1986

TABLE OF Q8_4 BY HHTYPE

Q8_4 child church services HHTYPE

FREQUENCY COL PCT	BJ-BJ	HBJ-WC	HBJ-WNJ	HC-WBJ	HNJ-WBJ	OTHER	TOTAL
X	381	8	3	2	5	75	.
NEVER	842 88.17	18 33.33	8 61.54	9 75.00	17 100.00	123 65.78	1017
1 OR LESS	94 9.84	10 18.52	1 7.69	0 0.00	0 0.00	35 18.72	140
2-5 TIMES	15 1.57	7 12.96	0 0.00	1 8.33	0 0.00	9 4.81	32
6-11 TIMES	1 0.10	2 3.70	0 0.00	2 16.67	0 0.00	1 0.53	6
12+ TIMES	3 0.31	17 31.48	4 30.77	0 0.00	0 0.00	19 10.16	43
TOTAL	955	54	13	12	17	187	1238

STATISTICS FOR 2-WAY TABLES

WARNING: OVER 20% OF THE CELLS HAVE EXPECTED COUNTS LESS THAN 5.
 TABLE IS SO SPARSE THAT CHI-SQUARE MAY NOT BE A VALID TEST.

CHI-SQUARE	358.138	DF= 20	PROB=0.0001
PHI	0.538		
CONTINGENCY COEFFICIENT	0.474		
CRAMER'S V	0.269		
LIKELIHOOD RATIO CHISQUARE	209.958	DF= 20	PROB=0.0001

12:03 SATURDAY, OCTOBER 25, 1986

TABLE OF Q9_1 BY HHTYPE

Q9_1 you confirmed HHTYPE

FREQUENCY COL PCT	BJ-BJ	HBJ-WC	HBJ-WNJ	HC-WBJ	HNJ-WBJ	OTHER	TOTAL
X	6	2	1	0	0	3	.
.
GREAT DEAL	729 54.81	29 48.33	7 46.67	7 50.00	9 40.91	148 57.14	929
SOMEWHAT	598 44.96	31 51.67	8 53.33	7 50.00	13 59.09	111 42.86	768
LITTLE	1 0.08	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	1
NONE	1 0.08	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	1
5	1 0.08	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	1
TOTAL	1330	60	15	14	22	259	1700

STATISTICS FOR 2-WAY TABLES

WARNING: OVER 20% OF THE CELLS HAVE EXPECTED COUNTS LESS THAN 5.
 TABLE IS SO SPARSE THAT CHI-SQUARE MAY NOT BE A VALID TEST.

CHI-SQUARE	4.681	DF= 20	PROB=0.9998
PHI	0.052		
CONTINGENCY COEFFICIENT	0.052		
CRAMER'S V	0.026		
LIKELIHOOD RATIO CHISQUARE	5.298	DF= 20	PROB=0.9996

12:03 SATURDAY, OCTOBER 25, 1986

TABLE OF Q9_2 BY HHTYPE

Q9_2 brothers or sisters confirmed HHTYPE

FREQUENCY COL PCT	BJ-BJ	HBJ-WC	HBJ-WNJ	HC-WBJ	HNJ-WBJ	OTHER	TOTAL
X	29	3	1	0	0	12	.
.
GREAT DEAL	592 45.29	28 47.46	8 53.33	5 35.71	10 45.45	108 43.20	751
SOMEWHAT	550 42.08	26 44.07	6 40.00	9 64.29	11 50.00	115 46.00	717
LITTLE	15 1.15	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	4 1.60	19
NONE	6 0.46	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	2 0.80	8
5	13 0.99	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	2 0.80	15
6	131 10.02	5 8.47	1 6.67	0 0.00	1 4.55	19 7.60	157
TOTAL	1307	59	15	14	22	250	1667

STATISTICS FOR 2-WAY TABLES

WARNING: OVER 20% OF THE CELLS HAVE EXPECTED COUNTS LESS THAN 5.
 TABLE IS SO SPARSE THAT CHI-SQUARE MAY NOT BE A VALID TEST.

CHI-SQUARE	10.711	DF= 25	PROB=0.9942
PHI	0.080		
CONTINGENCY COEFFICIENT	0.080		
CRAMER'S V	0.036		
LIKELIHOOD RATIO CHISQUARE	14.647	DF= 25	PROB=0.9492

12:03 SATURDAY, OCTOBER 25, 1986

TABLE OF Q9_3 BY HHTYPE

Q9_3 you Bar or Bat Mitzvah HHTYPE

FREQUENCY COL PCT	BJ-BJ	HBJ-WC	HBJ-WNJ	HC-WBJ	HNJ-WBJ	OTHER	TOTAL
X	11	6	0	0	0	7	.
.
GREAT DEAL	541 40.83	30 53.57	8 50.00	5 35.71	1 4.55	99 38.82	684
SOMEWHAT	784 59.17	26 46.43	8 50.00	9 64.29	21 95.45	156 61.18	1004
TOTAL	1325	56	16	14	22	255	1688

STATISTICS FOR 2-WAY TABLES

CHI-SQUARE 16.859 DF= 5 PROB=0.0048
 PHI 0.100
 CONTINGENCY COEFFICIENT 0.099
 CRAMER'S V 0.100
 LIKELIHOOD RATIO CHISQUARE 20.443 DF= 5 PROB=0.0010

12:03 SATURDAY, OCTOBER 25, 1986

TABLE OF Q9_4 BY HHTYPE

Q9_4 brothers Bar Mitzvah HHTYPE

FREQUENCY COL PCT	BJ-BJ	HBJ-WC	HBJ-WNJ	HC-WBJ	HNJ-WBJ	OTHER	TOTAL
X	72	9	0	1	1	17	.
.
GREAT DEAL	601	18	6	6	12	109	752
	47.55	33.96	37.50	46.15	57.14	44.49	
SOMEWHAT	240	23	6	5	3	69	346
	18.99	43.40	37.50	38.46	14.29	28.16	
LITTLE	417	12	4	2	6	65	506
	32.99	22.64	25.00	15.38	28.57	26.53	
NONE	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
	0.16	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
5	4	0	0	0	0	2	6
	0.32	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.82	
TOTAL	1264	53	16	13	21	245	1612

STATISTICS FOR 2-WAY TABLES

WARNING: OVER 20% OF THE CELLS HAVE EXPECTED COUNTS LESS THAN 5.
 TABLE IS SO SPARSE THAT CHI-SQUARE MAY NOT BE A VALID TEST.

CHI-SQUARE	35.686	DF= 20	PROB=0.0167
PHI	0.149		
CONTINGENCY COEFFICIENT	0.147		
CRAMER'S V	0.074		
LIKELIHOOD RATIO CHISQUARE	33.160	DF= 20	PROB=0.0324

TABLE OF Q9_5 BY HHTYPE

Q9_5 sisters Bat Mitzvah HHTYPE

FREQUENCY COL PCT	BJ-BJ	HBJ-WC	HBJ-WNJ	HC-WBJ	HNJ-WBJ	OTHER	TOTAL
X	105	6	0	1	1	24	.
.
GREAT DEAL	81	3	1	1	2	21	109
	6.58	5.36	6.25	7.69	9.52	8.82	
SOMEWHAT	679	35	10	10	11	138	883
	55.16	62.50	62.50	76.92	52.38	57.98	
NONE	467	18	5	2	8	77	577
	37.94	32.14	31.25	15.38	38.10	32.35	
5	4	0	0	0	0	2	6
	0.32	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.84	
TOTAL	1231	56	16	13	21	238	1575

STATISTICS FOR 2-WAY TABLES

WARNING: OVER 20% OF THE CELLS HAVE EXPECTED COUNTS LESS THAN 5.
 TABLE IS SO SPARSE THAT CHI-SQUARE MAY NOT BE A VALID TEST.

CHI-SQUARE	9.439	DF= 15	PROB=0.8535
PHI	0.077		
CONTINGENCY COEFFICIENT	0.077		
CRAMER'S V	0.045		
LIKELIHOOD RATIO CHISQUARE	9.795	DF= 15	PROB=0.8324

12:03 SATURDAY, OCTOBER 25, 1986

TABLE OF Q10_1 BY HHTYPE

Q10_1 Circumcision HHTYPE

FREQUENCY COL PCT	BJ-BJ	HBJ-WC	HBJ-WNJ	TOTAL
	46	6	0	.

YES, FOR ALL	688 53.33	21 37.50	7 43.75	836
YES, BUT NOT FOR	16 1.24	1 1.79	1 6.25	20
NO BROTHERS	344 26.67	13 23.21	1 6.25	442
HAD NO BROTHERS	126 9.77	20 35.71	6 37.50	201
DONT RECALL	116 8.99	1 1.79	1 6.25	151
TOTAL	1290	56	16	1650

(CONTINUED)

SAS

17

12:03 SATURDAY, OCTOBER 25, 1986

TABLE OF Q10_1 BY HHTYPE

Q10_1 Circumcision HHTYPE

FREQUENCY COL PCT	HC-WBJ	HNJ-WBJ	OTHER	TOTAL
	0	0	10	.

YES, FOR ALL	6 42.86	11 50.00	103 40.87	836
YES, BUT NOT FOR	0 0.00	0 0.00	2 0.79	20
NO BROTHERS	3 21.43	8 36.36	73 28.97	442
HAD NO BROTHERS	5 35.71	1 4.55	43 17.06	201
DONT RECALL	0 0.00	2 9.09	31 12.30	151
TOTAL	14	22	252	1650

STATISTICS FOR 2-WAY TABLES

WARNING: OVER 20% OF THE CELLS HAVE EXPECTED COUNTS LESS THAN 5.
 TABLE IS SO SPARSE THAT CHI-SQUARE MAY NOT BE A VALID TEST.

CHI-SQUARE	77.187	DF= 20	PROB=0.0001
PHI	0.216		
CONTINGENCY COEFFICIENT	0.211		
CRAMER'S V	0.108		
LIKELIHOOD RATIO CHISQUARE	66.484	DF= 20	PROB=0.0001

12:03 SATURDAY, OCTOBER 25, 1986

TABLE OF Q11_1 BY HHTYPE

Q11_1 parents name child synagogue HHTYPE

FREQUENCY COL PCT	BJ-BJ	HBJ-WC	HBJ-WNJ	HC-WBJ	HNJ-WBJ	OTHER	TOTAL
X	16	6	1	1	0	11	.
.
YES, ALL	363 27.50	11 19.64	3 20.00	7 53.85	7 31.82	77 30.68	468
NOT ALL	37 2.80	2 3.57	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 4.55	4 1.59	44
NO, NONE	440 33.33	34 60.71	6 40.00	5 38.46	8 36.36	99 39.44	592
DONT KNOW	480 36.36	9 16.07	6 40.00	1 7.69	6 27.27	71 28.29	573
TOTAL	1320	56	15	13	22	251	1677

STATISTICS FOR 2-WAY TABLES

WARNING: OVER 20% OF THE CELLS HAVE EXPECTED COUNTS LESS THAN 5.
 TABLE IS SO SPARSE THAT CHI-SQUARE MAY NOT BE A VALID TEST.

CHI-SQUARE	34.086	DF= 15	PROB=0.0033
PHI	0.143		
CONTINGENCY COEFFICIENT	0.141		
CRAMER'S V	0.082		
LIKELIHOOD RATIO CHISQUARE	35.241	DF= 15	PROB=0.0023

12:03 SATURDAY, OCTOBER 25, 1986

TABLE OF Q12_1 BY HHTYPE

Q12_1 child sabbath candles HHTYPE

FREQUENCY COL PCT	BJ-BJ	HBJ-WC	HBJ-WNJ	HC-WBJ	HNJ-WBJ	OTHER	TOTAL
X	7	9	1	2	0	14	.
.
YES	734 55.23	13 24.53	7 46.67	7 58.33	11 50.00	136 54.84	908
NO	572 43.04	39 73.58	8 53.33	5 41.67	11 50.00	112 45.16	747
DONT RECALL	23 1.73	1 1.89	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	24
TOTAL	1329	53	15	12	22	248	1679

AMERICAN JEWISH
STATISTICS FOR 2-WAY TABLES

WARNING: OVER 20% OF THE CELLS HAVE EXPECTED COUNTS LESS THAN 5.
 TABLE IS SO SPARSE THAT CHI-SQUARE MAY NOT BE A VALID TEST.

CHI-SQUARE	25.509	DF= 10	PROB=0.0045
PHI	0.123		
CONTINGENCY COEFFICIENT	0.122		
CRAMER'S V	0.087		
LIKELIHOOD RATIO CHISQUARE	30.218	DF= 10	PROB=0.0008

12:03 SATURDAY, OCTOBER 25, 1986

TABLE OF Q12_2 BY HHTYPE

Q12_2 child jewish friends HHTYPE

FREQUENCY COL PCT	BJ-BJ	HBJ-WC	HBJ-WNJ	HC-WBJ	HNJ-WBJ	OTHER	TOTAL
A	1	0	0	0	0	1	.
X	3	9	1	2	1	12	.
YES	1180	23	8	10	17	191	1429
	88.59	43.40	53.33	83.33	80.95	76.71	
NO	144	30	7	1	4	57	243
	10.81	56.60	46.67	8.33	19.05	22.89	
DONT RECALL	8	0	0	1	0	1	10
	0.60	0.00	0.00	8.33	0.00	0.40	
TOTAL	1332	53	15	12	21	249	1682

STATISTICS FOR 2-WAY TABLES

WARNING: OVER 20% OF THE CELLS HAVE EXPECTED COUNTS LESS THAN 5.
 TABLE IS SO SPARSE THAT CHI-SQUARE MAY NOT BE A VALID TEST.

CHI-SQUARE	130.496	DF= 10	PROB=0.0001
PHI	0.279		
CONTINGENCY COEFFICIENT	0.268		
CRAMER'S V	0.197		
LIKELIHOOD RATIO CHISQUARE	92.423	DF= 10	PROB=0.0001

TABLE OF Q12_3 BY HHTYPE

Q12_3 child no bread on Passover HHTYPE

FREQUENCY COL PCT	BJ-BJ	HBJ-WC	HBJ-WNJ	HC-WBJ	HNJ-WBJ	OTHER	TOTAL
A	2	0	0	0	0	0	.
X	4	9	1	2	1	14	.
YES	1034 77.74	24 45.28	8 53.33	9 75.00	18 85.71	177 71.37	1270
NO	269 20.23	28 52.83	7 46.67	3 25.00	3 14.29	68 27.42	378
DONT RECALL	27 2.03	1 1.89	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	3 1.21	31
TOTAL	1330	53	15	12	21	248	1679

STATISTICS FOR 2-WAY TABLES

WARNING: OVER 20% OF THE CELLS HAVE EXPECTED COUNTS LESS THAN 5.
 TABLE IS SO SPARSE THAT CHI-SQUARE MAY NOT BE A VALID TEST.

CHI-SQUARE	42.709	DF= 10	PROB=0.0001
PHI	0.159		
CONTINGENCY COEFFICIENT	0.157		
CRAMER'S V	0.113		
LIKELIHOOD RATIO CHISQUARE	37.961	DF= 10	PROB=0.0001

12:03 SATURDAY, OCTOBER 25, 1986

TABLE OF Q12_4 BY HHTYPE

Q12_4 child Jewish neighborhood HHTYPE

FREQUENCY COL PCT	BJ-BJ	HBJ-WC	HBJ-WNJ	HC-WBJ	HNJ-WBJ	OTHER	TOTAL
A	2	0	0	0	0	1	.
X	18	9	1	2	0	16	.
YES	700 53.19	17 32.08	5 33.33	7 58.33	11 50.00	108 44.08	848
NO	613 46.58	36 67.92	10 66.67	5 41.67	11 50.00	136 55.51	811
DONT RECALL	3 0.23	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 0.41	4
TOTAL	1316	53	15	12	22	245	1663

STATISTICS FOR 2-WAY TABLES

WARNING: OVER 20% OF THE CELLS HAVE EXPECTED COUNTS LESS THAN 5.
 TABLE IS SO SPARSE THAT CHI-SQUARE MAY NOT BE A VALID TEST.

CHI-SQUARE	17.530	DF= 10	PROB=0.0634
PHI	0.103		
CONTINGENCY COEFFICIENT	0.102		
CRAMER'S V	0.073		
LIKELIHOOD RATIO CHISQUARE	17.900	DF= 10	PROB=0.0567

12:03 SATURDAY, OCTOBER 25, 1986

TABLE OF Q12_5 BY HHTYPE

Q12_5 child sit shiva HHTYPE

FREQUENCY COL PCT	BJ-BJ	HBJ-WC	HBJ-WNJ	HC-WBJ	HNJ-WBJ	OTHER	TOTAL
X	4	10	1	2	0	16	.
.
YES	999 75.00	18 34.62	9 60.00	9 75.00	20 90.91	164 66.67	1219
NO	266 19.97	32 61.54	5 33.33	3 25.00	1 4.55	76 30.89	383
DONT RECALL	67 5.03	2 3.85	1 6.67	0 0.00	1 4.55	6 2.44	77
TOTAL	1332	52	15	12	22	246	1679

AMERICAN JEWISH
STATISTICS FOR 2-WAY TABLES

WARNING: OVER 20% OF THE CELLS HAVE EXPECTED COUNTS LESS THAN 5.
 TABLE IS SO SPARSE THAT CHI-SQUARE MAY NOT BE A VALID TEST.

CHI-SQUARE	67.656	DF= 10	PROB=0.0001
PHI	0.201		
CONTINGENCY COEFFICIENT	0.197		
CRAMER'S V	0.142		
LIKELIHOOD RATIO CHISQUARE	61.210	DF= 10	PROB=0.0001

12:03 SATURDAY, OCTOBER 25, 1986

TABLE OF Q12_6 BY HHTYPE

Q12_6 child build sukkah HHTYPE

FREQUENCY COL PCT	BJ-BJ	HBJ-WC	HBJ-WNJ	HC-WBJ	HNJ-WBJ	OTHER	TOTAL
X	7	9	1	3	0	12	.
.
YES	79 5.94	1 1.89	2 13.33	3 27.27	2 9.09	30 12.00	117
NO	1242 93.45	52 98.11	13 86.67	8 72.73	20 90.91	220 88.00	1555
DONT RECALL	8 0.60	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	8
TOTAL	1329	53	15	11	22	250	1680

STATISTICS FOR 2-WAY TABLES

WARNING: OVER 20% OF THE CELLS HAVE EXPECTED COUNTS LESS THAN 5.
 TABLE IS SO SPARSE THAT CHI-SQUARE MAY NOT BE A VALID TEST.

CHI-SQUARE	24.077	DF= 10	PROB=0.0074
PHI	0.120		
CONTINGENCY COEFFICIENT	0.119		
CRAMER'S V	0.085		
LIKELIHOOD RATIO CHISQUARE	22.046	DF= 10	PROB=0.0149

12:03 SATURDAY, OCTOBER 25, 1986

TABLE OF Q12_7 BY HHTYPE

Q12_7 child keep kosher HHTYPE

FREQUENCY COL PCT	BJ-BJ	HBJ-WC	HBJ-WNJ	HC-WBJ	HNJ-WBJ	OTHER	TOTAL
A	1	0	0	0	0	0	.
X	11	10	1	2	0	13	.
YES	392 29.61	8 15.38	6 40.00	5 41.67	2 9.09	61 24.50	474
NO	931 70.32	44 84.62	9 60.00	7 58.33	20 90.91	188 75.50	1199
DONT RECALL	1 0.08	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	1
TOTAL	1324	52	15	12	22	249	1674

STATISTICS FOR 2-WAY TABLES

WARNING: OVER 20% OF THE CELLS HAVE EXPECTED COUNTS LESS THAN 5.
 TABLE IS SO SPARSE THAT CHI-SQUARE MAY NOT BE A VALID TEST.

CHI-SQUARE	13.532	DF= 10	PROB=0.1954
PHI	0.090		
CONTINGENCY COEFFICIENT	0.090		
CRAMER'S V	0.064		
LIKELIHOOD RATIO CHISQUARE	15.138	DF= 10	PROB=0.1271

12:03 SATURDAY, OCTOBER 25, 1986

TABLE OF Q12_8 BY HHTYPE

Q12_8 child passover seder HHTYPE

FREQUENCY COL PCT	BJ-BJ	HBJ-WC	HBJ-WNJ	HC-WBJ	HNJ-WBJ	OTHER	TOTAL
A	1	0	0	0	0	0	.
X	2	9	1	2	0	12	.
YES	1247 93.55	30 56.60	11 73.33	11 91.67	21 95.45	218 87.20	1538
NO	83 6.23	23 43.40	4 26.67	1 8.33	1 4.55	31 12.40	143
DONT RECALL	3 0.23	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 0.40	4
TOTAL	1333	53	15	12	22	250	1685

STATISTICS FOR 2-WAY TABLES

WARNING: OVER 20% OF THE CELLS HAVE EXPECTED COUNTS LESS THAN 5.
 TABLE IS SO SPARSE THAT CHI-SQUARE MAY NOT BE A VALID TEST.

CHI-SQUARE	104.177	DF= 10	PROB=0.0001
PHI	0.249		
CONTINGENCY COEFFICIENT	0.241		
CRAMER'S V	0.176		
LIKELIHOOD RATIO CHISQUARE	65.672	DF= 10	PROB=0.0001

12:03 SATURDAY, OCTOBER 25, 1986

TABLE OF Q12_9 BY HHTYPE

Q12_9 child hanakah candles HHTYPE

FREQUENCY COL PCT	BJ-BJ	HBJ-WC	HBJ-WNJ	HC-WBJ	HNJ-WBJ	OTHER	TOTAL
X	4	9	1	2	0	12	.
.
YES	1155 86.71	26 49.06	10 66.67	10 83.33	21 95.45	201 80.40	1423
NO	154 11.56	25 47.17	5 33.33	1 8.33	0 0.00	47 18.80	232
DONT RECALL	23 1.73	2 3.77	0 0.00	1 8.33	1 4.55	2 0.80	29
TOTAL	1332	53	15	12	22	250	1684

STATISTICS FOR 2-WAY TABLES

WARNING: OVER 20% OF THE CELLS HAVE EXPECTED COUNTS LESS THAN 5.
 TABLE IS SO SPARSE THAT CHI-SQUARE MAY NOT BE A VALID TEST.

CHI-SQUARE	76.554	DF= 10	PROB=0.0001
PHI	0.213		
CONTINGENCY COEFFICIENT	0.209		
CRAMER'S V	0.151		
LIKELIHOOD RATIO CHISQUARE	61.365	DF= 10	PROB=0.0001

12:03 SATURDAY, OCTOBER 25, 1986

TABLE OF Q12_10 BY HHTYPE

Q12_10 child christmas tree HHTYPE

FREQUENCY COL PCT	BJ-BJ	HBJ-WC	HBJ-WNJ	HC-WBJ	HNJ-WBJ	OTHER	TOTAL
X	18	9	1	2	0	15	.
.
YES	187 14.19	24 45.28	5 33.33	2 16.67	2 9.09	57 23.08	277
NO	1127 85.51	29 54.72	10 66.67	10 83.33	20 90.91	190 76.92	1386
DONT RECALL	4 0.30	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	4
TOTAL	1318	53	15	12	22	247	1667

STATISTICS FOR 2-WAY TABLES

WARNING: OVER 20% OF THE CELLS HAVE EXPECTED COUNTS LESS THAN 5.
 TABLE IS SO SPARSE THAT CHI-SQUARE MAY NOT BE A VALID TEST.

CHI-SQUARE	49.260	DF= 10	PROB=0.0001
PHI	0.172		
CONTINGENCY COEFFICIENT	0.169		
CRAMER'S V	0.122		
LIKELIHOOD RATIO CHISQUARE	41.514	DF= 10	PROB=0.0001

12:03 SATURDAY, OCTOBER 25, 1986

TABLE OF Q12_11 BY HHTYPE

Q12_11 child fast yom kippur HHTYPE

FREQUENCY COL PCT	BJ-BJ	HBJ-WC	HBJ-WNJ	HC-WBJ	HNJ-WBJ	OTHER	TOTAL
A	3	0	0	0	0	1	.
.
X	5	9	2	2	0	13	.
.
YES	1036	23	7	9	18	188	1281
	78.01	43.40	50.00	75.00	81.82	75.81	
NO	258	30	7	3	4	53	355
	19.43	56.60	50.00	25.00	18.18	21.37	
DONT RECALL	34	0	0	0	0	7	41
	2.56	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.82	
TOTAL	1328	53	14	12	22	248	1677

STATISTICS FOR 2-WAY TABLES

WARNING: OVER 20% OF THE CELLS HAVE EXPECTED COUNTS LESS THAN 5.
 TABLE IS SO SPARSE THAT CHI-SQUARE MAY NOT BE A VALID TEST.

CHI-SQUARE	51.089	DF= 10	PROB=0.0001
PHI	0.175		
CONTINGENCY COEFFICIENT	0.172		
CRAMER'S V	0.123		
LIKELIHOOD RATIO CHISQUARE	43.665	DF= 10	PROB=0.0001

12:03 SATURDAY, OCTOBER 25, 1986

TABLE OF Q12_12 BY HHTYPE

Q12_12 child Yahrzeit candles HHTYPE

FREQUENCY COL PCT	BJ-BJ	HBJ-WC	HBJ-WNJ	HC-WBJ	HNJ-WBJ	OTHER	TOTAL
X	7	9	1	2	0	13	.
.
YES	1116 83.97	25 47.17	9 60.00	10 83.33	20 90.91	180 72.29	1360
NO	182 13.69	27 50.94	6 40.00	2 16.67	1 4.55	67 26.91	285
DONT RECALL	31 2.33	1 1.89	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 4.55	2 0.80	35
TOTAL	1329	53	15	12	22	249	1680

STATISTICS FOR 2-WAY TABLES

WARNING: OVER 20% OF THE CELLS HAVE EXPECTED COUNTS LESS THAN 5.
 TABLE IS SO SPARSE THAT CHI-SQUARE MAY NOT BE A VALID TEST.

CHI-SQUARE	81.589	DF= 10	PROB=0.0001
PHI	0.220		
CONTINGENCY COEFFICIENT	0.215		
CRAMER'S V	0.156		
LIKELIHOOD RATIO CHISQUARE	69.240	DF= 10	PROB=0.0001

TABLE OF Q12_13 BY HHTYPE

Q12_13 child eat pork HHTYPE

FREQUENCY COL PCT	BJ-BJ	HBJ-WC	HBJ-WNJ	HC-WBJ	HNJ-WBJ	OTHER	TOTAL
A	1	0	0	0	0	0	.
.
X	10	10	1	2	0	15	.
.
YES	678 51.17	33 63.46	7 46.67	5 41.67	14 63.64	141 57.09	878
NO	641 48.38	19 36.54	8 53.33	7 58.33	8 36.36	105 42.51	788
DONT RECALL	6 0.45	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 0.40	7
TOTAL	1325	52	15	12	22	247	1673

STATISTICS FOR 2-WAY TABLES

WARNING: OVER 20% OF THE CELLS HAVE EXPECTED COUNTS LESS THAN 5.
 TABLE IS SO SPARSE THAT CHI-SQUARE MAY NOT BE A VALID TEST.

CHI-SQUARE	7.752	DF= 10	PROB=0.6530
PHI	0.068		
CONTINGENCY COEFFICIENT	0.068		
CRAMER'S V	0.048		
LIKELIHOOD RATIO CHISQUARE	8.221	DF= 10	PROB=0.6073

12:03 SATURDAY, OCTOBER 25, 1986

TABLE OF Q12_14 BY HHTYPE

Q12_14 child yizkor on yom kippur HHTYPE

FREQUENCY COL PCT	BJ-BJ	HBJ-WC	HBJ-WNJ	HC-WBJ	HNJ-WBJ	OTHER	TOTAL
A	1	0	0	0	0	0	.
X	6	9	1	2	0	14	.
YES	1066 80.21	20 37.74	10 66.67	10 83.33	19 86.36	173 69.76	1298
NO	209 15.73	30 56.60	5 33.33	2 16.67	2 9.09	65 26.21	313
DONT RECALL	54 4.06	3 5.66	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 4.55	10 4.03	68
TOTAL	1329	53	15	12	22	248	1679

STATISTICS FOR 2-WAY TABLES

WARNING: OVER 20% OF THE CELLS HAVE EXPECTED COUNTS LESS THAN 5.
 TABLE IS SO SPARSE THAT CHI-SQUARE MAY NOT BE A VALID TEST.

CHI-SQUARE	73.459	DF= 10	PROB=0.0001
PHI	0.209		
CONTINGENCY COEFFICIENT	0.205		
CRAMER'S V	0.148		
LIKELIHOOD RATIO CHISQUARE	61.915	DF= 10	PROB=0.0001

12:03 SATURDAY, OCTOBER 25, 1986

TABLE OF Q12_15 BY HHTYPE

Q12_15 child mezzuzah HHTYPE

FREQUENCY COL PCT	BJ-BJ	HBJ-WC	HBJ-WNJ	HC-WBJ	HNJ-WBJ	OTHER	TOTAL
X	3	9	1	2	0	12	.
.
YES	961	22	9	10	17	166	1185
	72.09	41.51	60.00	83.33	77.27	66.40	
NO	317	31	6	1	4	79	438
	23.78	58.49	40.00	8.33	18.18	31.60	
DONT RECALL	55	0	0	1	1	5	62
	4.13	0.00	0.00	8.33	4.55	2.00	
TOTAL	1333	53	15	12	22	250	1685

AMERICAN JEWISH
STATISTICS FOR 2-WAY TABLES

WARNING: OVER 20% OF THE CELLS HAVE EXPECTED COUNTS LESS THAN 5.
 TABLE IS SO SPARSE THAT CHI-SQUARE MAY NOT BE A VALID TEST.

CHI-SQUARE	44.111	DF= 10	PROB=0.0001
PHI	0.162		
CONTINGENCY COEFFICIENT	0.160		
CRAMER'S V	0.114		
LIKELIHOOD RATIO CHISQUARE	42.470	DF= 10	PROB=0.0001

12:03 SATURDAY, OCTOBER 25, 1986

TABLE OF Q13_2 BY HHTYPE

Q13_2 sunday school HHTYPE

FREQUENCY COL PCT	BJ-BJ	HBJ-WC	HBJ-WNJ	HC-WBJ	HNJ-WBJ	OTHER	TOTAL
X	385	30	5	6	7	76	.
.
POSITIVE	554	16	5	4	6	130	715
	58.25	50.00	45.45	50.00	40.00	69.89	
NEUTRAL	301	15	4	2	9	41	372
	31.65	46.88	36.36	25.00	60.00	22.04	
NEGATIVE	96	1	2	2	0	15	116
	10.09	3.13	18.18	25.00	0.00	8.06	
TOTAL	951	32	11	8	15	186	1203

STATISTICS FOR 2-WAY TABLES

WARNING: OVER 20% OF THE CELLS HAVE EXPECTED COUNTS LESS THAN 5.
 TABLE IS SO SPARSE THAT CHI-SQUARE MAY NOT BE A VALID TEST.

CHI-SQUARE	23.723	DF= 10	PROB=0.0084
PHI	0.140		
CONTINGENCY COEFFICIENT	0.139		
CRAMER'S V	0.099		
LIKELIHOOD RATIO CHISQUARE	24.211	DF= 10	PROB=0.0071

TABLE OF Q13_4 BY HHTYPE

Q13_4 yiddish school HHTYPE

FREQUENCY							TOTAL	
COL	PCT	BJ-BJ	HBJ-WC	HBJ-WNJ	HC-WBJ	HNJ-WBJ	OTHER	
X		1247	59	15	13	21	248	.
	
POSITIVE		43	2	0	0	0	9	54
		48.31	66.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	64.29	
NEUTRAL		25	0	1	0	1	4	31
		28.09	0.00	100.00	0.00	100.00	28.57	
NEGATIVE		21	1	0	1	0	1	24
		23.60	33.33	0.00	100.00	0.00	7.14	
TOTAL		89	3	1	1	1	14	109

STATISTICS FOR 2-WAY TABLES

WARNING: OVER 20% OF THE CELLS HAVE EXPECTED COUNTS LESS THAN 5.
 TABLE IS SO SPARSE THAT CHI-SQUARE MAY NOT BE A VALID TEST.

CHI-SQUARE	11.932	DF= 10	PROB=0.2896
PHI	0.331		
CONTINGENCY COEFFICIENT	0.314		
CRAMER'S V	0.234		
LIKELIHOOD RATIO CHISQUARE	12.677	DF= 10	PROB=0.2423

12:03 SATURDAY, OCTOBER 25, 1986

TABLE OF Q13_6 BY HHTYPE

Q13_6 synagogue school HHTYPE

FREQUENCY		COL PCT	BJ-BJ	HBJ-WC	HBJ-WNJ	HC-WBJ	HNJ-WBJ	OTHER	TOTAL
X			928	46	11	12	17	199	.
		
POSITIVE			201	11	0	1	1	40	254
			49.26	68.75	0.00	50.00	20.00	63.49	
NEUTRAL			134	4	0	0	2	16	156
			32.84	25.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	25.40	
NEGATIVE			73	1	5	1	2	7	89
			17.89	6.25	100.00	50.00	40.00	11.11	
TOTAL			408	16	5	2	5	63	499

STATISTICS FOR 2-WAY TABLES

WARNING: OVER 20% OF THE CELLS HAVE EXPECTED COUNTS LESS THAN 5.
 TABLE IS SO SPARSE THAT CHI-SQUARE MAY NOT BE A VALID TEST.

CHI-SQUARE	34.457	DF= 10	PROB=0.0002
PHI	0.263		
CONTINGENCY COEFFICIENT	0.254		
CRAMER'S V	0.186		
LIKELIHOOD RATIO CHISQUARE	29.286	DF= 10	PROB=0.0011

TABLE OF Q13_8 BY HHTYPE

Q13_8 jewish day school HHTYPE

FREQUENCY							TOTAL	
COL	PCT	BJ-BJ	HBJ-WC	HBJ-WNJ	HC-WBJ	HNJ-WBJ	OTHER	
X		1291	58	15	13	22	258	.

POSITIVE		25	1	1	1	0	3	31
		55.56	25.00	100.00	100.00	.	75.00	
NEUTRAL		10	1	0	0	0	1	12
		22.22	25.00	0.00	0.00	.	25.00	
NEGATIVE		10	2	0	0	0	0	12
		22.22	50.00	0.00	0.00	.	0.00	
TOTAL		45	4	1	1	.	4	55

STATISTICS FOR 2-WAY TABLES

WARNING: OVER 20% OF THE CELLS HAVE EXPECTED COUNTS LESS THAN 5.
 TABLE IS SO SPARSE THAT CHI-SQUARE MAY NOT BE A VALID TEST.

CHI-SQUARE	4.871	DF= 8	PROB=0.7713
PHI	0.298		
CONTINGENCY COEFFICIENT	0.285		
CRAMER'S V	0.210		
LIKELIHOOD RATIO CHISQUARE	6.255	DF= 8	PROB=0.6187

12:03 SATURDAY, OCTOBER 25, 1986

TABLE OF Q13_10 BY HHTYPE

Q13_10 other jewish schooling HHTYPE

FREQUENCY COL PCT	BJ-BJ	HBJ-WC	HBJ-WNJ	HC-WBJ	HNJ-WBJ	OTHER	TOTAL
X	1201	58	15	14	19	245	.
.
POSITIVE	86	2	1	0	2	13	104
	63.70	50.00	100.00	.	66.67	76.47	
NEUTRAL	33	1	0	0	1	4	39
	24.44	25.00	0.00	.	33.33	23.53	
NEGATIVE	16	1	0	0	0	0	17
	11.85	25.00	0.00	.	0.00	0.00	
TOTAL	135	4	1	.	3	17	160

STATISTICS FOR 2-WAY TABLES

WARNING: OVER 20% OF THE CELLS HAVE EXPECTED COUNTS LESS THAN 5.
 TABLE IS SO SPARSE THAT CHI-SQUARE MAY NOT BE A VALID TEST.

CHI-SQUARE	4.256	DF= 8	PROB=0.8333
PHI	0.163		
CONTINGENCY COEFFICIENT	0.161		
CRAMER'S V	0.115		
LIKELIHOOD RATIO CHISQUARE	6.464	DF= 8	PROB=0.5954

TABLE OF Q13_12 BY HHTYPE

Q13_12 temple youth group HHTYPE

FREQUENCY		COL	PCT	BJ-BJ	HBJ-WC	HBJ-WNJ	HC-WBJ	HNJ-WBJ	OTHER	TOTAL
X		712		49		10	6	11	149	.
	
POSITIVE		508		11		5	7	9	100	640
		81.41		84.62		83.33	87.50	81.82	88.50	
NEUTRAL		96		0		1	0	1	12	110
		15.38		0.00		16.67	0.00	9.09	10.62	
NEGATIVE		20		2		0	1	1	1	25
		3.21		15.38		0.00	12.50	9.09	0.88	
TOTAL		624		13		6	8	11	113	775

STATISTICS FOR 2-WAY TABLES

WARNING: OVER 20% OF THE CELLS HAVE EXPECTED COUNTS LESS THAN 5.
 TABLE IS SO SPARSE THAT CHI-SQUARE MAY NOT BE A VALID TEST.

CHI-SQUARE	16.840	DF= 10	PROB=0.0780
PHI	0.147		
CONTINGENCY COEFFICIENT	0.146		
CRAMER'S V	0.104		
LIKELIHOOD RATIO CHISQUARE	16.728	DF= 10	PROB=0.0806

12:03 SATURDAY, OCTOBER 25, 1986

TABLE OF Q13_14 BY HHTYPE

Q13_14 other jewish youth group HHTYPE

FREQUENCY COL PCT	BJ-BJ	HBJ-WC	HBJ-WNJ	HC-WBJ	HNJ-WBJ	OTHER	TOTAL
X	791	55	13	8	13	185	.
.
POSITIVE	467	5	3	4	5	71	555
	85.69	71.43	100.00	66.67	55.56	92.21	
NEUTRAL	69	2	0	1	3	3	78
	12.66	28.57	0.00	16.67	33.33	3.90	
NEGATIVE	9	0	0	1	1	3	14
	1.65	0.00	0.00	16.67	11.11	3.90	
TOTAL	545	7	3	6	9	77	647

STATISTICS FOR 2-WAY TABLES

WARNING: OVER 20% OF THE CELLS HAVE EXPECTED COUNTS LESS THAN 5.
 TABLE IS SO SPARSE THAT CHI-SQUARE MAY NOT BE A VALID TEST.

CHI-SQUARE	22.781	DF= 10	PROB=0.0116
PHI	0.188		
CONTINGENCY COEFFICIENT	0.184		
CRAMER'S V	0.133		
LIKELIHOOD RATIO CHISQUARE	18.180	DF= 10	PROB=0.0520

12:03 SATURDAY, OCTOBER 25, 1986

TABLE OF Q13_16 BY HHTYPE

Q13_16 religious jewish camp HHTYPE

FREQUENCY		COL PCT	BJ-BJ	HBJ-WC	HBJ-WNJ	HC-WBJ	HNJ-WBJ	OTHER	TOTAL
X			1131	55	15	11	16	206	.
		
POSITIVE			176	5	1	2	5	52	241
			85.85	71.43	100.00	66.67	83.33	92.86	
NEUTRAL			20	0	0	0	1	3	24
			9.76	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	5.36	
NEGATIVE			9	2	0	1	0	1	13
			4.39	28.57	0.00	33.33	0.00	1.79	
TOTAL			205	7	1	3	6	56	278

STATISTICS FOR 2-WAY TABLES

WARNING: OVER 20% OF THE CELLS HAVE EXPECTED COUNTS LESS THAN 5.
 TABLE IS SO SPARSE THAT CHI-SQUARE MAY NOT BE A VALID TEST.

CHI-SQUARE	18.190	DF= 10	PROB=0.0518
PHI	0.256		
CONTINGENCY COEFFICIENT	0.248		
CRAMER'S V	0.181		
LIKELIHOOD RATIO CHISQUARE	12.086	DF= 10	PROB=0.2794

12:03 SATURDAY, OCTOBER 25, 1986

TABLE OF Q13_18 BY HHTYPE

Q13_18 jewish camp HHTYPE

FREQUENCY COL PCT							TOTAL
	BJ-BJ	HBJ-WC	HBJ-WNJ	HC-WBJ	HNJ-WBJ	OTHER	
X	1041	57	13	11	15	224	.
.
POSITIVE	240	3	3	3	6	28	283
	81.36	60.00	100.00	100.00	85.71	73.68	
NEUTRAL	41	0	0	0	0	9	50
	13.90	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	23.68	
NEGATIVE	14	2	0	0	1	1	18
	4.75	40.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	2.63	
TOTAL	295	5	3	3	7	38	351

STATISTICS FOR 2-WAY TABLES

WARNING: OVER 20% OF THE CELLS HAVE EXPECTED COUNTS LESS THAN 5.
 TABLE IS SO SPARSE THAT CHI-SQUARE MAY NOT BE A VALID TEST.

CHI-SQUARE	19.633	DF= 10	PROB=0.0329
PHI	0.237		
CONTINGENCY COEFFICIENT	0.230		
CRAMER'S V	0.167		
LIKELIHOOD RATIO CHISQUARE	14.716	DF= 10	PROB=0.1428

12:03 SATURDAY, OCTOBER 25, 1986

TABLE OF Q13_20 BY HHTYPE

Q13_20 jewish camp no jewish prog HHTYPE

FREQUENCY		COL PCT	BJ-BJ	HBJ-WC	HBJ-WNJ	HC-WBJ	HNJ-WBJ	OTHER	TOTAL
X			1067	54	12	13	20	227	.
	
POSITIVE			200	7	2	0	1	26	236
			74.35	87.50	50.00	0.00	50.00	74.29	
NEUTRAL			47	0	1	1	0	6	55
			17.47	0.00	25.00	100.00	0.00	17.14	
NEGATIVE			22	1	1	0	1	3	28
			8.18	12.50	25.00	0.00	50.00	8.57	
TOTAL			269	8	4	1	2	35	319

STATISTICS FOR 2-WAY TABLES

WARNING: OVER 20% OF THE CELLS HAVE EXPECTED COUNTS LESS THAN 5.
 TABLE IS SO SPARSE THAT CHI-SQUARE MAY NOT BE A VALID TEST.

CHI-SQUARE	12.651	DF= 10	PROB=0.2438
PHI	0.199		
CONTINGENCY COEFFICIENT	0.195		
CRAMER'S V	0.141		
LIKELIHOOD RATIO CHISQUARE	10.666	DF= 10	PROB=0.3841

12:03 SATURDAY, OCTOBER 25, 1986

TABLE OF Q13_22 BY HHTYPE

Q13_22 study touring in israel HHTYPE

FREQUENCY COL PCT	BJ-BJ	HBJ-WC	HBJ-WNJ	HC-WBJ	HNJ-WBJ	OTHER	TOTAL
X	1281	59	16	13	21	244	.
.
POSITIVE	51	2	0	1	1	18	73
	92.73	66.67	.	100.00	100.00	100.00	
NEGATIVE	4	1	0	0	0	0	5
	7.27	33.33	.	0.00	0.00	0.00	
TOTAL	55	3	.	1	1	18	78

STATISTICS FOR 2-WAY TABLES

WARNING: OVER 20% OF THE CELLS HAVE EXPECTED COUNTS LESS THAN 5.
 TABLE IS SO SPARSE THAT CHI-SQUARE MAY NOT BE A VALID TEST.

CHI-SQUARE	5.063	DF= 4	PROB=0.2809
PHI	0.255		
CONTINGENCY COEFFICIENT	0.247		
CRAMER'S V	0.255		
LIKELIHOOD RATIO CHISQUARE	4.656	DF= 4	PROB=0.3245

TABLE OF Q13_24 BY HHTYPE

Q13_24 semester or year in israel HHTYPE

FREQUENCY							TOTAL	
COL	PCT	BJ-BJ	HBJ-WC	HBJ-WNJ	HC-WBJ	HNJ-WBJ	OTHER	
X		1301	60	15	13	22	256	.

POSITIVE		30	1	1	1	0	6	39
		85.71	50.00	100.00	100.00	.	100.00	
NEUTRAL		1	0	0	0	0	0	1
		2.86	0.00	0.00	0.00	.	0.00	
NEGATIVE		4	1	0	0	0	0	5
		11.43	50.00	0.00	0.00	.	0.00	
TOTAL		35	2	1	1	.	6	45

STATISTICS FOR 2-WAY TABLES

WARNING: OVER 20% OF THE CELLS HAVE EXPECTED COUNTS LESS THAN 5.
 TABLE IS SO SPARSE THAT CHI-SQUARE MAY NOT BE A VALID TEST.

CHI-SQUARE	4.378	DF= 8	PROB=0.8215
PHI	0.312		
CONTINGENCY COEFFICIENT	0.298		
CRAMER'S V	0.221		
LIKELIHOOD RATIO CHISQUARE	4.263	DF= 8	PROB=0.8327

12:03 SATURDAY, OCTOBER 25, 1986

TABLE OF Q13_26 BY HHTYPE

Q13_26 other jewish activities HHTYPE

FREQUENCY							TOTAL	
COL	PCT	BJ-BJ	HBJ-WC	HBJ-WNJ	HC-WBJ	HNJ-WBJ	OTHER	
X		1216	60	16	12	20	242	.
	
POSITIVE		108	2	0	2	2	19	133
		90.00	100.00	.	100.00	100.00	95.00	
NEUTRAL		10	0	0	0	0	1	11
		8.33	0.00	.	0.00	0.00	5.00	
NEGATIVE		2	0	0	0	0	0	2
		1.67	0.00	.	0.00	0.00	0.00	
TOTAL		120	2	.	2	2	20	146

STATISTICS FOR 2-WAY TABLES

WARNING: OVER 20% OF THE CELLS HAVE EXPECTED COUNTS LESS THAN 5.
 TABLE IS SO SPARSE THAT CHI-SQUARE MAY NOT BE A VALID TEST.

CHI-SQUARE	1.259	DF= 8	PROB=0.9960
PHI	0.093		
CONTINGENCY COEFFICIENT	0.092		
CRAMER'S V	0.066		
LIKELIHOOD RATIO CHISQUARE	2.080	DF= 8	PROB=0.9785

12:03 SATURDAY, OCTOBER 25, 1986

TABLE OF Q13_28 BY HHTYPE

Q13_28 hebrew school HHTYPE

FREQUENCY		COL PCT	BJ-BJ	HBJ-WC	HBJ-WNJ	HC-WBJ	HNJ-WBJ	OTHER	TOTAL
X			1229	59	15	13	21	241	.
		
POSITIVE			60	2	1	0	0	11	74
			56.07	66.67	100.00	0.00	0.00	52.38	
NEUTRAL			27	0	0	0	1	6	34
			25.23	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	28.57	
NEGATIVE			20	1	0	1	0	4	26
			18.69	33.33	0.00	100.00	0.00	19.05	
TOTAL			107	3	1	1	1	21	134

STATISTICS FOR 2-WAY TABLES

WARNING: OVER 20% OF THE CELLS HAVE EXPECTED COUNTS LESS THAN 5.
 TABLE IS SO SPARSE THAT CHI-SQUARE MAY NOT BE A VALID TEST.

CHI-SQUARE	9.198	DF= 10	PROB=0.5134
PHI	0.262		
CONTINGENCY COEFFICIENT	0.253		
CRAMER'S V	0.185		
LIKELIHOOD RATIO CHISQUARE	9.202	DF= 10	PROB=0.5130

12:03 SATURDAY, OCTOBER 25, 1986

TABLE OF Q14_1 BY HHTYPE

Q14_1 level of education HHTYPE

FREQUENCY COL PCT	BJ-BJ	HBJ-WC	HBJ-WNJ	TOTAL
	4	0	0	.

OTHER	70 5.26	4 6.45	1 6.25	93
SOME HIGH SCHOOL	5 0.38	0 0.00	0 0.00	23
HIGH SCHOOL GRAD	49 3.68	1 1.61	0 0.00	81
SOME COLLEGE	247 18.54	14 22.58	1 6.25	323
COLLEGE GRAD	333 25.00	12 19.35	3 18.75	410
SOME GRAD SCHOOL	145 10.89	9 14.52	3 18.75	189
MASTERS DEGREE	301 22.60	8 12.90	3 18.75	355
LAW DEGREE	86 6.46	9 14.52	4 25.00	114
MEDICAL DOCTORAT	38 2.85	3 4.84	1 6.25	47
OTHER DOCTORATE	58 4.35	2 3.23	0 0.00	72
TOTAL	1332	62	16	1707

(CONTINUED)

TABLE OF Q14_1 BY HHTYPE

Q14_1 level of education HHTYPE

FREQUENCY COL PCT	HC-WBJ	HNJ-WBJ	OTHER	TOTAL
	0	0	1	.
.
OTHER	0	0	18	93
	0.00	0.00	6.90	
SOME HIGH SCHOOL	0	0	18	23
	0.00	0.00	6.90	
HIGH SCHOOL GRAD	1	0	30	81
	7.14	0.00	11.49	
SOME COLLEGE	3	7	51	323
	21.43	31.82	19.54	
COLLEGE GRAD	1	7	54	410
	7.14	31.82	20.69	
SOME GRAD SCHOOL	5	3	24	189
	35.71	13.64	9.20	
MASTERS DEGREE	2	5	36	355
	14.29	22.73	13.79	
LAW DEGREE	1	0	14	114
	7.14	0.00	5.36	
MEDICAL DOCTORAT	0	0	5	47
	0.00	0.00	1.92	
OTHER DOCTORATE	1	0	11	72
	7.14	0.00	4.21	
TOTAL	14	22	261	1707

STATISTICS FOR 2-WAY TABLES

WARNING: OVER 20% OF THE CELLS HAVE EXPECTED COUNTS LESS THAN 5.
 TABLE IS SO SPARSE THAT CHI-SQUARE MAY NOT BE A VALID TEST.

CHI-SQUARE	155.970	DF= 45	PROB=0.0001
PHI	0.302		
CONTINGENCY COEFFICIENT	0.289		
CRAMER'S V	0.135		
LIKELIHOOD RATIO CHISQUARE	126.505	DF= 45	PROB=0.0001

12:03 SATURDAY, OCTOBER 25, 1986

TABLE OF Q15_1 BY HHTYPE

Q15_1 highschoool attend jewish activity HHTYPE

FREQUENCY COL PCT	BJ-BJ	HBJ-WC	HBJ-WNJ	TOTAL
	11	8	1	.
RARELY	159 12.00	26 48.15	3 20.00	250
ABOUT ONCE A YEA	79 5.96	5 9.26	2 13.33	101
LESS THAN ONCE A	363 27.40	8 14.81	4 26.67	436
MORE THAN ONCE A	160 12.08	3 5.56	3 20.00	198
3-4 TIMES A MONT	282 21.28	10 18.52	1 6.67	344
ONCE OR MORE A W	282 21.28	2 3.70	2 13.33	349
TOTAL	1325	54	15	1678

(CONTINUED)

TABLE OF Q15_1 BY HHTYPE

Q15_1 highschool attend jewish activity HHTYPE

FREQUENCY COL PCT	HC-WBJ	HNJ-WBJ	OTHER	TOTAL
	1	1	12	.
RARELY	2 15.38	3 14.29	57 22.80	250
ABOUT ONCE A YEA	0 0.00	1 4.76	14 5.60	101
LESS THAN ONCE A	1 7.69	7 33.33	53 21.20	436
MORE THAN ONCE A	3 23.08	2 9.52	27 10.80	198
3-4 TIMES A MONT	5 38.46	5 23.81	41 16.40	344
ONCE OR MORE A W	2 15.38	3 14.29	58 23.20	349
TOTAL	13	21	250	1678

STATISTICS FOR 2-WAY TABLES

WARNING: OVER 20% OF THE CELLS HAVE EXPECTED COUNTS LESS THAN 5.
 TABLE IS SO SPARSE THAT CHI-SQUARE MAY NOT BE A VALID TEST.

CHI-SQUARE	89.386	DF= 25	PROB=0.0001
PHI	0.231		
CONTINGENCY COEFFICIENT	0.225		
CRAMER'S V	0.103		
LIKELIHOOD RATIO CHISQUARE	79.266	DF= 25	PROB=0.0001

12:03 SATURDAY, OCTOBER 25, 1986

TABLE OF Q16_1 BY HHTYPE

Q16_1 highschool dating HHTYPE

FREQUENCY COL PCT	BJ-BJ	HBJ-WC	HBJ-WNJ	TOTAL
	4	3	1	.

DIDN'T DATE	17 1.28	2 3.39	1 6.67	27
ONLY JEWS	648 48.65	8 13.56	3 20.00	759
MOSTLY JEWS	433 32.51	18 30.51	3 20.00	526
BOTH JEWS AND NO	124 9.31	6 10.17	3 20.00	187
MOSTLY NON-JEWS	90 6.76	10 16.95	3 20.00	142
ONLY NON-JEWS	20 1.50	15 25.42	2 13.33	58
TOTAL	1332	59	15	1699

(CONTINUED)

TABLE OF Q16_1 BY HHTYPE

Q16_1 highschoool dating HHTYPE

FREQUENCY COL PCT	HC-WBJ	HNJ-WBJ	OTHER	TOTAL
	1	0	4	.
DIDN'T DATE	0 0.00	1 4.55	6 2.33	27
ONLY JEWS	5 38.46	7 31.82	88 34.11	759
MOSTLY JEWS	2 15.38	2 9.09	68 26.36	526
BOTH JEWS AND NO	1 7.69	5 22.73	48 18.60	187
MOSTLY NON-JEWS	5 38.46	7 31.82	27 10.47	142
ONLY NON-JEWS	0 0.00	0 0.00	21 8.14	58
TOTAL	13	22	258	1699

STATISTICS FOR 2-WAY TABLES

WARNING: OVER 20% OF THE CELLS HAVE EXPECTED COUNTS LESS THAN 5.
 TABLE IS SO SPARSE THAT CHI-SQUARE MAY NOT BE A VALID TEST.

CHI-SQUARE	224.574	DF= 25	PROB=0.0001
PHI	0.364		
CONTINGENCY COEFFICIENT	0.342		
CRAMER'S V	0.163		
LIKELIHOOD RATIO CHISQUARE	162.049	DF= 25	PROB=0.0001

12:03 SATURDAY, OCTOBER 25, 1986

TABLE OF Q17_1 BY HHTYPE

Q17_1 jewish teenager dating non-jews HHTYPE

FREQUENCY COL PCT	BJ-BJ	HBJ-WC	HBJ-WNJ	TOTAL
	10	32	4	.
	.	.	.	
ENCOURAGED DATE	9 0.68	0 0.00	0 0.00	10
EXPRESSED NO PRE	63 4.75	7 23.33	1 8.33	88
NO OPOSITION TO	252 19.00	4 13.33	7 58.33	321
PERMITTED TO DAT	215 16.21	6 20.00	1 8.33	271
STRONGLY OPPOSED	299 22.55	6 20.00	1 8.33	358
FORBID DATE NON-	220 16.59	5 16.67	0 0.00	263
NON-ISSUE	268 20.21	2 6.67	2 16.67	314
TOTAL	1326	30	12	1625

(CONTINUED)

TABLE OF Q17_1 BY HHTYPE

Q17_1 jewish teenager dating non-jews HHTYPE

FREQUENCY COL PCT	HC-WBJ	HNJ-WBJ	OTHER	TOTAL
	3	0	38	.

ENCOURAGED DATE	0	0	1	10
	0.00	0.00	0.45	
EXPRESSED NO PRE	0	1	16	88
	0.00	4.55	7.14	
NO OPOSITION TO	4	4	50	321
	36.36	18.18	22.32	
PERMITTED TO DAT	2	7	40	271
	18.18	31.82	17.86	
STRONGLY OPPOSED	2	7	43	358
	18.18	31.82	19.20	
FORBID DATE NON-	1	0	37	263
	9.09	0.00	16.52	
NON-ISSUE	2	3	37	314
	18.18	13.64	16.52	
TOTAL	11	22	224	1625

STATISTICS FOR 2-WAY TABLES

WARNING: OVER 20% OF THE CELLS HAVE EXPECTED COUNTS LESS THAN 5.
 TABLE IS SO SPARSE THAT CHI-SQUARE MAY NOT BE A VALID TEST.

CHI-SQUARE	51.466	DF= 30	PROB=0.0087
PHI	0.178		
CONTINGENCY COEFFICIENT	0.175		
CRAMER'S V	0.080		
LIKELIHOOD RATIO CHISQUARE	47.165	DF= 30	PROB=0.0240

12:03 SATURDAY, OCTOBER 25, 1986

TABLE OF Q17_2 BY HHTYPE

Q17_2 not jewish teenager dating jews HHTYPE

FREQUENCY COL PCT	BJ-BJ	HBJ-WC	HBJ-WNJ	TOTAL
	1333	32	12	.
	.	.	.	
ENCOURAGED DATE	1	0	0	2
	33.33	0.00	0.00	
EXPRESSED NO PRE	2	11	1	27
	66.67	36.67	25.00	
NO OPOSITION TO	0	4	1	11
	0.00	13.33	25.00	
PERMITTED TO DAT	0	2	1	5
	0.00	6.67	25.00	
STRONGLY OPPOSED	0	1	1	3
	0.00	3.33	25.00	
FORBID DATE NON-	0	1	0	1
	0.00	3.33	0.00	
NON-ISSUE	0	11	0	24
	0.00	36.67	0.00	
TOTAL	3	30	4	73

(CONTINUED)

TABLE OF Q17_2 BY HHTYPE

Q17_2 not jewish teenager dating jews HHTYPE

FREQUENCY COL PCT	HC-WBJ	HNJ-WBJ	OTHER	TOTAL
	11	22	229	.

ENCOURAGED DATE	0	0	1	2
	0.00	.	3.03	
EXPRESSED NO PRE	2	0	11	27
	66.67	.	33.33	
NO OPOSITION TO	0	0	6	11
	0.00	.	18.18	
PERMITTED TO DAT	0	0	2	5
	0.00	.	6.06	
STRONGLY OPPOSED	0	0	1	3
	0.00	.	3.03	
FORBID DATE NON-	0	0	0	1
	0.00	.	0.00	
NON-ISSUE	1	0	12	24
	33.33	.	36.36	
TOTAL	3	.	33	73

STATISTICS FOR 2-WAY TABLES

WARNING: OVER 20% OF THE CELLS HAVE EXPECTED COUNTS LESS THAN 5.
 TABLE IS SO SPARSE THAT CHI-SQUARE MAY NOT BE A VALID TEST.

CHI-SQUARE	25.401	DF= 24	PROB=0.3842
PHI	0.590		
CONTINGENCY COEFFICIENT	0.508		
CRAMER'S V	0.295		
LIKELIHOOD RATIO CHISQUARE	20.139	DF= 24	PROB=0.6888

12:03 SATURDAY, OCTOBER 25, 1986

TABLE OF Q18_1 BY HHTYPE

Q18_1 college age jewish activities HHTYPE

FREQUENCY COL PCT	BJ-BJ	HBJ-WC	HBJ-WNJ	TOTAL
	17	4	0	.

RARELY	156 11.83	26 44.83	5 31.25	244
ABOUT ONCE A YEA	173 13.12	8 13.79	2 12.50	210
LESS THAN ONCE A	555 42.08	12 20.69	7 43.75	667
MORE THAN ONCE A	158 11.98	4 6.90	0 0.00	192
3-4 TIMES A MONT	147 11.14	7 12.07	0 0.00	174
ONCE OR MORE A W	130 9.86	1 1.72	2 12.50	160
TOTAL	1319	58	16	1647

(CONTINUED)

TABLE OF Q18_1 BY HHTYPE

Q18_1 college age jewish activities HHTYPE

FREQUENCY COL PCT	HC-WBJ	HNJ-WBJ	OTHER	TOTAL
	1	0	43	.
RARELY	2	7	48	244
	15.38	31.82	21.92	
ABOUT ONCE A YEA	2	5	20	210
	15.38	22.73	9.13	
LESS THAN ONCE A	4	9	80	667
	30.77	40.91	36.53	
MORE THAN ONCE A	3	1	26	192
	23.08	4.55	11.87	
3-4 TIMES A MONT	1	0	19	174
	7.69	0.00	8.68	
ONCE OR MORE A W	1	0	26	160
	7.69	0.00	11.87	
TOTAL	13	22	219	1647

STATISTICS FOR 2-WAY TABLES

WARNING: OVER 20% OF THE CELLS HAVE EXPECTED COUNTS LESS THAN 5.
 TABLE IS SO SPARSE THAT CHI-SQUARE MAY NOT BE A VALID TEST.

CHI-SQUARE	87.874	DF= 25	PROB=0.0001
PHI	0.231		
CONTINGENCY COEFFICIENT	0.225		
CRAMER'S V	0.103		
LIKELIHOOD RATIO CHISQUARE	84.555	DF= 25	PROB=0.0001

12:03 SATURDAY, OCTOBER 25, 1986

TABLE OF BOZ02 BY HHTYPE

BOZ02 HHTYPE

FREQUENCY COL PCT	BJ-BJ	HBJ-WC	HBJ-WNJ	HC-WBJ	HNJ-WBJ	OTHER	TOTAL
99	1336 100.00	62 100.00	16 100.00	14 100.00	22 100.00	262 100.00	1712
TOTAL	1336	62	16	14	22	262	1712



12:03 SATURDAY, OCTOBER 25, 1986

TABLE OF Q19_1 BY HHTYPE

Q19_1 college dating HHTYPE

FREQUENCY COL PCT	BJ-BJ	HBJ-WC	HBJ-WNJ	TOTAL
	9	1	0	.
DIDN'T DATE	6 0.45	1 1.64	0 0.00	7
ONLY JEWS	595 44.84	7 11.48	1 6.25	680
MOSTLY JEWS	540 40.69	14 22.95	4 25.00	645
BOTH JEWS AND NO	128 9.65	19 31.15	6 37.50	200
MOSTLY NON-JEWS	56 4.22	16 26.23	2 12.50	107
ONLY NON-JEWS	2 0.15	4 6.56	3 18.75	19
TOTAL	1327	61	16	1658

(CONTINUED)

12:03 SATURDAY, OCTOBER 25, 1986

TABLE OF Q19_1 BY HHTYPE

Q19_1 college dating HHTYPE

FREQUENCY COL PCT	HC-WBJ	HNJ-WBJ	OTHER	TOTAL
	1	1	42	.
DIDN'T DATE	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	7
ONLY JEWS	2 15.38	3 14.29	72 32.73	680
MOSTLY JEWS	3 23.08	6 28.57	78 35.45	645
BOTH JEWS AND NO	3 23.08	6 28.57	38 17.27	200
MOSTLY NON-JEWS	4 30.77	4 19.05	25 11.36	107
ONLY NON-JEWS	1 7.69	2 9.52	7 3.18	19
TOTAL	13	21	220	1658

STATISTICS FOR 2-WAY TABLES

WARNING: OVER 20% OF THE CELLS HAVE EXPECTED COUNTS LESS THAN 5.
 TABLE IS SO SPARSE THAT CHI-SQUARE MAY NOT BE A VALID TEST.

CHI-SQUARE	256.977	DF= 25	PROB=0.0001
PHI	0.394		
CONTINGENCY COEFFICIENT	0.366		
CRAMER'S V	0.176		
LIKELIHOOD RATIO CHISQUARE	186.787	DF= 25	PROB=0.0001

SAS

63

12:03 SATURDAY, OCTOBER 25, 1986

TABLE OF Q21_1 BY HHTYPE

Q21_1 HHTYPE

FREQUENCY								TOTAL
COL	PCT	BJ-BJ	HBJ-WC	HBJ-WNJ	HC-WBJ	HNJ-WBJ	OTHER	
A		0	1	0	0	0	0	.
.
X		1334	28	16	11	22	231	.
.
1		1	25	0	2	0	27	55
		50.00	75.76	.	66.67	.	87.10	
2		1	6	0	1	0	4	12
		50.00	18.18	.	33.33	.	12.90	
3		0	2	0	0	0	0	2
		0.00	6.06	.	0.00	.	0.00	
TOTAL		2	33	.	3	.	31	69

STATISTICS FOR 2-WAY TABLES

WARNING: OVER 20% OF THE CELLS HAVE EXPECTED COUNTS LESS THAN 5.
 TABLE IS SO SPARSE THAT CHI-SQUARE MAY NOT BE A VALID TEST.

CHI-SQUARE	4.776	DF= 6	PROB=0.5728
PHI	0.263		
CONTINGENCY COEFFICIENT	0.254		
CRAMER'S V	0.186		
LIKELIHOOD RATIO CHISQUARE	5.104	DF= 6	PROB=0.5305

12:03 SATURDAY, OCTOBER 25, 1986

TABLE OF Q21_2 BY HHTYPE

Q21_2 HHTYPE

FREQUENCY COL PCT	BJ-BJ	HBJ-WC	HBJ-WNJ	HC-WBJ	HNJ-WBJ	OTHER	TOTAL
X	1335	29	16	11	22	233	.
.
0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
	0.00	0.00	.	0.00	.	3.45	
2	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
	0.00	9.09	.	0.00	.	0.00	
3	1	2	0	0	0	3	6
	100.00	6.06	.	0.00	.	10.34	
4	0	1	0	0	0	4	5
	0.00	3.03	.	0.00	.	13.79	
5	0	1	0	0	0	2	3
	0.00	3.03	.	0.00	.	6.90	
6	0	11	0	0	0	3	14
	0.00	33.33	.	0.00	.	10.34	
7	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
	0.00	0.00	.	0.00	.	3.45	
8	0	0	0	0	0	2	2
	0.00	0.00	.	0.00	.	6.90	
TOTAL (CONTINUED)	1	33	.	3	.	29	66

12:03 SATURDAY, OCTOBER 25, 1986

TABLE OF Q21_2 BY HHTYPE

Q21_2 HHTYPE

FREQUENCY		COL PCT	BJ-BJ	HBJ-WC	HBJ-WNJ	HC-WBJ	HNJ-WBJ	OTHER	TOTAL
9			0 0.00	2 6.06	0 . .	1 33.33	0 . .	0 0.00	3
10			0 0.00	0 0.00	0 . .	0 0.00	0 . .	1 3.45	1
12			0 0.00	7 21.21	0 . .	0 0.00	0 . .	7 24.14	14
18			0 0.00	3 9.09	0 . .	0 0.00	0 . .	0 0.00	3
24			0 0.00	0 0.00	0 . .	2 66.67	0 . .	3 10.34	5
36			0 0.00	2 6.06	0 . .	0 0.00	0 . .	1 3.45	3
60			0 0.00	1 3.03	0 . .	0 0.00	0 . .	0 0.00	1
180			0 0.00	0 0.00	0 . .	0 0.00	0 . .	1 3.45	1
TOTAL			1	33	.	3	.	29	66

STATISTICS FOR 2-WAY TABLES

WARNING: OVER 20% OF THE CELLS HAVE EXPECTED COUNTS LESS THAN 5.
 TABLE IS SO SPARSE THAT CHI-SQUARE MAY NOT BE A VALID TEST.

CHI-SQUARE	57.622	DF= 45	PROB=0.0982
PHI	0.934		
CONTINGENCY COEFFICIENT	0.683		
CRAMER'S V	0.539		
LIKELIHOOD RATIO CHISQUARE	51.085	DF= 45	PROB=0.2469

12:03 SATURDAY, OCTOBER 25, 1986

TABLE OF Q21_3 BY HHTYPE

Q21_3 HHTYPE

FREQUENCY							TOTAL	
COL	PCT	BJ-BJ	HBJ-WC	HBJ-WNJ	HC-WBJ	HNJ-WBJ	OTHER	
X		1334	29	16	11	22	233	.
.
1		2	30	0	1	0	20	53
		100.00	90.91	.	33.33	.	68.97	
2		0	3	0	2	0	9	14
		0.00	9.09	.	66.67	.	31.03	
TOTAL		2	33	.	3	.	29	67

STATISTICS FOR 2-WAY TABLES

WARNING: OVER 20% OF THE CELLS HAVE EXPECTED COUNTS LESS THAN 5.
 TABLE IS SO SPARSE THAT CHI-SQUARE MAY NOT BE A VALID TEST.

CHI-SQUARE	8.916	DF= 3	PROB=0.0304
PHI	0.365		
CONTINGENCY COEFFICIENT	0.343		
CRAMER'S V	0.365		
LIKELIHOOD RATIO CHISQUARE	8.835	DF= 3	PROB=0.0316

12:03 SATURDAY, OCTOBER 25, 1986

TABLE OF Q21_4 BY HHTYPE

Q21_4 HHTYPE

FREQUENCY							TOTAL	
COL	PCT	BJ-BJ	HBJ-WC	HBJ-WNJ	HC-WBJ	HNJ-WBJ	OTHER	
X		1335	37	16	11	22	239	.

1		0	3	0	2	0	8	13
	0.00	12.00	.	66.67	.	.	34.78	
2		1	22	0	1	0	15	39
	100.00	88.00	.	33.33	.	.	65.22	
TOTAL		1	25	.	3	.	23	52

STATISTICS FOR 2-WAY TABLES

WARNING: OVER 20% OF THE CELLS HAVE EXPECTED COUNTS LESS THAN 5.
 TABLE IS SO SPARSE THAT CHI-SQUARE MAY NOT BE A VALID TEST.

CHI-SQUARE	6.538	DF= 3	PROB=0.0882
PHI	0.355		
CONTINGENCY COEFFICIENT	0.334		
CRAMER'S V	0.355		
LIKELIHOOD RATIO CHISQUARE	6.597	DF= 3	PROB=0.0859

12:03 SATURDAY, OCTOBER 25, 1986

TABLE OF Q21_5 BY HHTYPE

Q21_5 HHTYPE

FREQUENCY		HHTYPE					TOTAL
COL	PCT	BJ-BJ	HBJ-WC	HBJ-WNJ	HC-WBJ	HNJ-WBJ	
X		1335	38	16	11	22	243
.
1		0	4	0	0	0	5
		0.00	16.67	.	0.00	.	26.32
2		1	20	0	3	0	14
		100.00	83.33	.	100.00	.	73.68
TOTAL		1	24	.	3	.	19
							47

STATISTICS FOR 2-WAY TABLES

WARNING: OVER 20% OF THE CELLS HAVE EXPECTED COUNTS LESS THAN 5.
 TABLE IS SO SPARSE THAT CHI-SQUARE MAY NOT BE A VALID TEST.

CHI-SQUARE	1.673	DF= 3	PROB=0.6429
PHI	0.189		
CONTINGENCY COEFFICIENT	0.185		
CRAMER'S V	0.189		
LIKELIHOOD RATIO CHISQUARE	2.380	DF= 3	PROB=0.4974

12:03 SATURDAY, OCTOBER 25, 1986

TABLE OF Q21_6 BY HHTYPE

Q21_6 HHTYPE

FREQUENCY		COL PCT	BJ-BJ	HBJ-WC	HBJ-WNJ	HC-WBJ	HNJ-WBJ	OTHER	TOTAL
X			1334	36	16	11	22	241	.
.
1			1	8	0	1	0	5	15
			50.00	30.77	.	33.33	.	23.81	
2			1	18	0	2	0	16	37
			50.00	69.23	.	66.67	.	76.19	
TOTAL			2	26	.	3	.	21	52

STATISTICS FOR 2-WAY TABLES

WARNING: OVER 20% OF THE CELLS HAVE EXPECTED COUNTS LESS THAN 5.
 TABLE IS SO SPARSE THAT CHI-SQUARE MAY NOT BE A VALID TEST.

CHI-SQUARE	0.772	DF= 3	PROB=0.8562
PHI	0.122		
CONTINGENCY COEFFICIENT	0.121		
CRAMER'S V	0.122		
LIKELIHOOD RATIO CHISQUARE	0.739	DF= 3	PROB=0.8640

12:03 SATURDAY, OCTOBER 25, 1986

TABLE OF Q21_7 BY HHTYPE

Q21_7 HHTYPE

FREQUENCY COL PCT	BJ-BJ	HBJ-WC	HBJ-WNJ	HC-WBJ	HNJ-WBJ	OTHER	TOTAL
X	1335	41	16	11	22	244	.
.
1	0	0	0	1	0	1	2
	0.00	0.00	.	33.33	.	5.56	
2	1	21	0	2	0	17	41
	100.00	100.00	.	66.67	.	94.44	
TOTAL	1	21	.	3	.	18	43

STATISTICS FOR 2-WAY TABLES

WARNING: OVER 20% OF THE CELLS HAVE EXPECTED COUNTS LESS THAN 5.
 TABLE IS SO SPARSE THAT CHI-SQUARE MAY NOT BE A VALID TEST.

CHI-SQUARE	6.671	DF= 3	PROB=0.0831
PHI	0.394		
CONTINGENCY COEFFICIENT	0.366		
CRAMER'S V	0.394		
LIKELIHOOD RATIO CHISQUARE	4.634	DF= 3	PROB=0.2006

TABLE OF Q21_8 BY HHTYPE

Q21_8 HHTYPE

FREQUENCY		COL PCT	BJ-BJ	HBJ-WC	HBJ-WNJ	HC-WBJ	HNJ-WBJ	OTHER	TOTAL
X	.		1336	49	16	13	22	253	.
.
1	0		0	1	0	0	0	2	3
.	.		7.69	.	.	0.00	.	22.22	
2	0		0	12	0	1	0	7	20
.	.		92.31	.	.	100.00	.	77.78	
TOTAL	.		13	.	1	.	.	9	23

STATISTICS FOR 2-WAY TABLES

WARNING: OVER 20% OF THE CELLS HAVE EXPECTED COUNTS LESS THAN 5.
 TABLE IS SO SPARSE THAT CHI-SQUARE MAY NOT BE A VALID TEST.

CHI-SQUARE	1.147	DF= 2	PROB=0.5636
PHI	0.223		
CONTINGENCY COEFFICIENT	0.218		
CRAMER'S V	0.223		
LIKELIHOOD RATIO CHISQUARE	1.226	DF= 2	PROB=0.5417

12:03 SATURDAY, OCTOBER 25, 1986

TABLE OF Q22_1 BY HHTYPE

Q22_1 prayerbook hebrew HHTYPE

FREQUENCY		COL	PCT	BJ-BJ	HBJ-WC	HBJ-WNJ	HC-WBJ	HNJ-WBJ	OTHER	TOTAL
A				1	0	0	0	0	0	.
			
X				13	0	0	0	0	17	.
			
WELL				419	14	4	3	4	82	526
				31.69	22.58	25.00	21.43	18.18	33.47	
FAIR				331	18	3	5	5	64	426
				25.04	29.03	18.75	35.71	22.73	26.12	
SLIGHT				292	14	4	2	7	49	368
				22.09	22.58	25.00	14.29	31.82	20.00	
NONE				280	16	5	4	6	50	361
				21.18	25.81	31.25	28.57	27.27	20.41	
TOTAL				1322	62	16	14	22	245	1681

STATISTICS FOR 2-WAY TABLES

WARNING: OVER 20% OF THE CELLS HAVE EXPECTED COUNTS LESS THAN 5.
 TABLE IS SO SPARSE THAT CHI-SQUARE MAY NOT BE A VALID TEST.

CHI-SQUARE	9.135	DF= 15	PROB=0.8703
PHI	0.074		
CONTINGENCY COEFFICIENT	0.074		
CRAMER'S V	0.043		
LIKELIHOOD RATIO CHISQUARE	9.283	DF= 15	PROB=0.8623

TABLE OF Q22_2 BY HHTYPE

Q22_2 modern hebrew HHTYPE

FREQUENCY		COL	PCT	BJ-BJ	HBJ-WC	HBJ-WNJ	HC-WBJ	HNJ-WBJ	OTHER	TOTAL
X				120	3	2	0	1	44	.
	
WELL		132	10.86	0	0.00	1	1	3	25	162
						7.14	7.14	14.29	11.47	
FAIR		159	13.08	6	10.17	1	1	0	37	204
						7.14	7.14	0.00	16.97	
SLIGHT		303	24.92	16	27.12	2	2	7	56	386
						14.29	14.29	33.33	25.69	
NONE		622	51.15	37	62.71	10	10	11	100	790
						71.43	71.43	52.38	45.87	
TOTAL		1216		59		14	14	21	218	1542

STATISTICS FOR 2-WAY TABLES

WARNING: OVER 20% OF THE CELLS HAVE EXPECTED COUNTS LESS THAN 5.
 TABLE IS SO SPARSE THAT CHI-SQUARE MAY NOT BE A VALID TEST.

CHI-SQUARE	20.422	DF= 15	PROB=0.1563
PHI	0.115		
CONTINGENCY COEFFICIENT	0.114		
CRAMER'S V	0.066		
LIKELIHOOD RATIO CHISQUARE	29.283	DF= 15	PROB=0.0148

12:03 SATURDAY, OCTOBER 25, 1986

TABLE OF Q22_3 BY HHTYPE

Q22_3 yiddish HHTYPE

FREQUENCY COL PCT	HHTYPE						TOTAL
	BJ-BJ	HBJ-WC	HBJ-WNJ	HC-WBJ	HNJ-WBJ	OTHER	
X	119	3	1	1	2	37	.
.
WELL	68	2	0	0	0	18	88
	5.59	3.39	0.00	0.00	0.00	8.00	
FAIR	135	7	1	2	1	23	169
	11.09	11.86	6.67	15.38	5.00	10.22	
SLIGHT	378	15	7	3	8	69	480
	31.06	25.42	46.67	23.08	40.00	30.67	
NONE	636	35	7	8	11	115	812
	52.26	59.32	46.67	61.54	55.00	51.11	
TOTAL	1217	59	15	13	20	225	1549

STATISTICS FOR 2-WAY TABLES

WARNING: OVER 20% OF THE CELLS HAVE EXPECTED COUNTS LESS THAN 5.
 TABLE IS SO SPARSE THAT CHI-SQUARE MAY NOT BE A VALID TEST.

CHI-SQUARE	10.249	DF= 15	PROB=0.8038
PHI	0.081		
CONTINGENCY COEFFICIENT	0.081		
CRAMER'S V	0.047		
LIKELIHOOD RATIO CHISQUARE	12.833	DF= 15	PROB=0.6152

SAS

75
12:03 SATURDAY, OCTOBER 25, 1986

TABLE OF Q22_4 BY HHTYPE

Q22_4 Ladino HHTYPE

FREQUENCY		COL PCT	BJ-BJ	HBJ-WC	HBJ-WNJ	HC-WBJ	HNJ-WBJ	OTHER	TOTAL
X			231	6	2	1	4	64	.
	
WELL			2	0	0	0	0	1	3
		0.18	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.51	
FAIR			5	0	0	0	0	1	6
		0.45	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.51	
SLIGHT			18	0	0	2	0	6	26
		1.63	0.00	0.00	15.38	0.00	0.00	3.03	
NONE			1080	56	14	11	18	190	1369
		97.74	100.00	100.00	84.62	100.00	95.96		
TOTAL			1105	56	14	13	18	198	1404

STATISTICS FOR 2-WAY TABLES

WARNING: OVER 20% OF THE CELLS HAVE EXPECTED COUNTS LESS THAN 5.
 TABLE IS SO SPARSE THAT CHI-SQUARE MAY NOT BE A VALID TEST.

CHI-SQUARE	18.133	DF= 15	PROB=0.2557
PHI	0.114		
CONTINGENCY COEFFICIENT	0.113		
CRAMER'S V	0.066		
LIKELIHOOD RATIO CHISQUARE	12.066	DF= 15	PROB=0.6740

12:03 SATURDAY, OCTOBER 25, 1986

TABLE OF RQ23_1 BY HHTYPE

RQ23_1 god special concen oppressed HHTYPE

FREQUENCY COL PCT	BJ-BJ	HBJ-WC	HBJ-WNJ	HC-WBJ	HNJ-WBJ	OTHER	TOTAL
.	648	30	7	6	13	134	.
X	15	0	1	0	0	8	.
1	42	3	1	0	1	9	56
	6.24	9.38	12.50	0.00	11.11	7.50	
2	110	6	4	2	4	19	145
	16.34	18.75	50.00	25.00	44.44	15.83	
3	251	12	3	2	1	47	316
	37.30	37.50	37.50	25.00	11.11	39.17	
4	204	11	0	4	2	30	251
	30.31	34.38	0.00	50.00	22.22	25.00	
5	66	0	0	0	1	15	82
	9.81	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.11	12.50	
TOTAL	673	32	8	8	9	120	850

STATISTICS FOR 2-WAY TABLES

WARNING: OVER 20% OF THE CELLS HAVE EXPECTED COUNTS LESS THAN 5.
 TABLE IS SO SPARSE THAT CHI-SQUARE MAY NOT BE A VALID TEST.

CHI-SQUARE	24.249	DF= 20	PROB=0.2317
PHI	0.169		
CONTINGENCY COEFFICIENT	0.167		
CRAMER'S V	0.084		
LIKELIHOOD RATIO CHISQUARE	29.000	DF= 20	PROB=0.0878

TABLE OF RQ23_2 BY HHTYPE

RQ23_2 god in each of us HHTYPE

FREQUENCY								TOTAL
COL	PCT	BJ-BJ	HBJ-WC	HBJ-WNJ	HC-WBJ	HNJ-WBJ	OTHER	
.		648	30	7	6	13	134	.
.
A		1	0	0	0	0	0	.
.
X		8	0	1	0	0	7	.
.
1		218	10	1	4	2	46	281
		32.11	31.25	12.50	50.00	22.22	38.02	
2		300	13	6	3	3	50	375
		44.18	40.63	75.00	37.50	33.33	41.32	
3		121	7	1	0	2	20	151
		17.82	21.88	12.50	0.00	22.22	16.53	
4		30	2	0	1	2	4	39
		4.42	6.25	0.00	12.50	22.22	3.31	
5		10	0	0	0	0	1	11
		1.47	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.83	
TOTAL		679	32	8	8	9	121	857

STATISTICS FOR 2-WAY TABLES

WARNING: OVER 20% OF THE CELLS HAVE EXPECTED COUNTS LESS THAN 5.
 TABLE IS SO SPARSE THAT CHI-SQUARE MAY NOT BE A VALID TEST.

CHI-SQUARE	16.978	DF= 20	PROB=0.6544
PHI	0.141		
CONTINGENCY COEFFICIENT	0.139		
CRAMER'S V	0.070		
LIKELIHOOD RATIO CHISQUARE	16.048	DF= 20	PROB=0.7136

12:03 SATURDAY, OCTOBER 25, 1986

TABLE OF RQ23_3 BY HHTYPE

RQ23_3 god superstition HHTYPE

FREQUENCY		COL	PCT	BJ-BJ	HBJ-WC	HBJ-WNJ	HC-WBJ	HNJ-WBJ	OTHER	TOTAL
.	.	.	.	648	30	7	6	13	134	.
X	.	.	.	12	0	1	0	0	9	.
1	1.48	10	3.13	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	11
2	3.55	24	3.13	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.20	30
3	10.50	71	3.13	1	12.50	25.00	25.00	0.00	13.45	91
4	40.24	272	34.38	11	62.50	5	2	5	38	333
5	44.23	299	56.25	18	25.00	2	4	4	60	387
TOTAL		676		32		8	8	9	119	852

STATISTICS FOR 2-WAY TABLES

WARNING: OVER 20% OF THE CELLS HAVE EXPECTED COUNTS LESS THAN 5.
 TABLE IS SO SPARSE THAT CHI-SQUARE MAY NOT BE A VALID TEST.

CHI-SQUARE	15.621	DF= 20	PROB=0.7398
PHI	0.135		
CONTINGENCY COEFFICIENT	0.134		
CRAMER'S V	0.068		
LIKELIHOOD RATIO CHISQUARE	19.228	DF= 20	PROB=0.5071

TABLE OF RQ23_4 BY HHTYPE

RQ23_4 belief strengthens will of ill HHTYPE

FREQUENCY COL PCT	BJ-BJ	HBJ-WC	HBJ-WNJ	HC-WBJ	HNJ-WBJ	OTHER	TOTAL
.	648	30	7	6	13	134	.
.
X	8	0	1	0	0	7	.
.
1	188 27.65	9 28.13	3 37.50	3 37.50	2 22.22	38 31.40	243
2	308 45.29	16 50.00	4 50.00	4 50.00	3 33.33	47 38.84	382
3	138 20.29	6 18.75	1 12.50	1 12.50	3 33.33	30 24.79	179
4	37 5.44	1 3.13	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 11.11	4 3.31	43
5	9 1.32	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	2 1.65	11
TOTAL	680	32	8	8	9	121	858

STATISTICS FOR 2-WAY TABLES

WARNING: OVER 20% OF THE CELLS HAVE EXPECTED COUNTS LESS THAN 5.
 TABLE IS SO SPARSE THAT CHI-SQUARE MAY NOT BE A VALID TEST.

CHI-SQUARE	8.451	DF= 20	PROB=0.9884
PHI	0.099		
CONTINGENCY COEFFICIENT	0.099		
CRAMER'S V	0.050		
LIKELIHOOD RATIO CHISQUARE	9.866	DF= 20	PROB=0.9705

12:03 SATURDAY, OCTOBER 25, 1986

TABLE OF RQ23_5 BY HHTYPE

RQ23_5 god not aware our actions HHTYPE

FREQUENCY COL PCT	BJ-BJ	HBJ-WC	HBJ-WNJ	HC-WBJ	HNJ-WBJ	OTHER	TOTAL
.	648	30	7	6	13	134	.
.
X	11	0	1	0	0	8	.
.
1	56	0	0	0	0	10	66
	8.27	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	8.33	
2	123	4	1	0	0	22	150
	18.17	12.50	12.50	0.00	0.00	18.33	
3	204	13	3	3	2	40	265
	30.13	40.63	37.50	37.50	22.22	33.33	
4	207	10	4	3	5	27	256
	30.58	31.25	50.00	37.50	55.56	22.50	
5	87	5	0	2	2	21	117
	12.85	15.63	0.00	25.00	22.22	17.50	
TOTAL	677	32	8	8	9	120	854

STATISTICS FOR 2-WAY TABLES

WARNING: OVER 20% OF THE CELLS HAVE EXPECTED COUNTS LESS THAN 5.
 TABLE IS SO SPARSE THAT CHI-SQUARE MAY NOT BE A VALID TEST.

CHI-SQUARE	19.791	DF= 20	PROB=0.4711
PHI	0.152		
CONTINGENCY COEFFICIENT	0.150		
CRAMER'S V	0.076		
LIKELIHOOD RATIO CHISQUARE	27.556	DF= 20	PROB=0.1203

12:03 SATURDAY, OCTOBER 25, 1986

TABLE OF RQ23_6 BY HHTYPE

RQ23_6 god dosent hear prayers HHTYPE

FREQUENCY							TOTAL	
COL	PCT	BJ-BJ	HBJ-WC	HBJ-WNJ	HC-WBJ	HNJ-WBJ	OTHER	
.	.	687	25	7	12	12	125	.
X
1	3.30	21 3.30	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	3 2.31	24
2	10.52	67 10.52	4 11.11	0 0.00	0 0.00	2 20.00	13 10.00	86
3	28.10	179 28.10	6 16.67	3 37.50	0 0.00	3 30.00	27 20.77	218
4	40.50	258 40.50	19 52.78	3 37.50	0 0.00	5 50.00	52 40.00	337
5	17.58	112 17.58	7 19.44	2 25.00	2 100.00	0 0.00	35 26.92	158
TOTAL		637	36	8	2	10	130	823

STATISTICS FOR 2-WAY TABLES

WARNING: OVER 20% OF THE CELLS HAVE EXPECTED COUNTS LESS THAN 5.
 TABLE IS SO SPARSE THAT CHI-SQUARE MAY NOT BE A VALID TEST.

CHI-SQUARE	24.661	DF= 20	PROB=0.2147
PHI	0.173		
CONTINGENCY COEFFICIENT	0.171		
CRAMER'S V	0.087		
LIKELIHOOD RATIO CHISQUARE	26.707	DF= 20	PROB=0.1437

12:03 SATURDAY, OCTOBER 25, 1986

TABLE OF RQ23_7 BY HHTYPE

RQ23_7 diff right wrong inspired by god HHTYPE

FREQUENCY COL PCT	BJ-BJ	HBJ-WC	HBJ-WNJ	HC-WBJ	HNJ-WBJ	OTHER	TOTAL
.	687	25	7	12	12	125	.
X	17	0	1	0	0	5	.
1	70 11.08	3 8.11	0 0.00	1 50.00	0 0.00	25 18.94	99
2	198 31.33	17 45.95	3 37.50	1 50.00	4 40.00	37 28.03	260
3	181 28.64	7 18.92	3 37.50	0 0.00	5 50.00	36 27.27	232
4	158 25.00	10 27.03	1 12.50	0 0.00	1 10.00	32 24.24	202
5	25 3.96	0 0.00	1 12.50	0 0.00	0 0.00	2 1.52	28
TOTAL	632	37	8	2	10	132	821

STATISTICS FOR 2-WAY TABLES

WARNING: OVER 20% OF THE CELLS HAVE EXPECTED COUNTS LESS THAN 5.
 TABLE IS SO SPARSE THAT CHI-SQUARE MAY NOT BE A VALID TEST.

CHI-SQUARE	25.420	DF= 20	PROB=0.1858
PHI	0.176		
CONTINGENCY COEFFICIENT	0.173		
CRAMER'S V	0.088		
LIKELIHOOD RATIO CHISQUARE	27.953	DF= 20	PROB=0.1105

12:03 SATURDAY, OCTOBER 25, 1986

TABLE OF RQ23_8 BY HHTYPE

RQ23_8 bible just moral teachings HHTYPE

FREQUENCY COL PCT	BJ-BJ	HBJ-WC	HBJ-WNJ	HC-WBJ	HNJ-WBJ	OTHER	TOTAL
.	687	25	7	12	12	125	.
X	16	0	0	0	0	8	.
1	39 6.16	2 5.41	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 10.00	11 8.53	53
2	183 28.91	13 35.14	3 33.33	0 0.00	4 40.00	36 27.91	239
3	63 9.95	5 13.51	2 22.22	0 0.00	1 10.00	20 15.50	91
4	246 38.86	8 21.62	1 11.11	0 0.00	3 30.00	40 31.01	298
5	102 16.11	9 24.32	3 33.33	2 100.00	1 10.00	22 17.05	139
TOTAL	633	37	9	2	10	129	820

STATISTICS FOR 2-WAY TABLES

WARNING: OVER 20% OF THE CELLS HAVE EXPECTED COUNTS LESS THAN 5.
 TABLE IS SO SPARSE THAT CHI-SQUARE MAY NOT BE A VALID TEST.

CHI-SQUARE	25.954	DF= 20	PROB=0.1673
PHI	0.178		
CONTINGENCY COEFFICIENT	0.175		
CRAMER'S V	0.089		
LIKELIHOOD RATIO CHISQUARE	23.803	DF= 20	PROB=0.2511

12:03 SATURDAY, OCTOBER 25, 1986

TABLE OF RQ23_9 BY HHTYPE

RQ23_9 god show cares because israel HHTYPE

FREQUENCY COL PCT	BJ-BJ	HBJ-WC	HBJ-WNJ	HC-WBJ	HNJ-WBJ	OTHER	TOTAL
.	687	25	7	12	12	125	.
X	16	0	1	0	0	9	.
1	26 4.11	1 2.70	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	8 6.25	35
2	100 15.80	9 24.32	3 37.50	0 0.00	2 20.00	30 23.44	144
3	229 36.18	15 40.54	5 62.50	0 0.00	6 60.00	52 40.63	307
4	216 34.12	11 29.73	0 0.00	1 50.00	2 20.00	26 20.31	256
5	62 9.79	1 2.70	0 0.00	1 50.00	0 0.00	12 9.38	76
TOTAL	633	37	8	2	10	128	818

STATISTICS FOR 2-WAY TABLES

WARNING: OVER 20% OF THE CELLS HAVE EXPECTED COUNTS LESS THAN 5.
 TABLE IS SO SPARSE THAT CHI-SQUARE MAY NOT BE A VALID TEST.

CHI-SQUARE	30.063	DF= 20	PROB=0.0688
PHI	0.192		
CONTINGENCY COEFFICIENT	0.188		
CRAMER'S V	0.096		
LIKELIHOOD RATIO CHISQUARE	34.434	DF= 20	PROB=0.0233

12:03 SATURDAY, OCTOBER 25, 1986

TABLE OF RQ23_10 BY HHTYPE

RQ23_10 god works ways dont understand HHTYPE

FREQUENCY								TOTAL
COL	PCT	BJ-BJ	HBJ-WC	HBJ-WNJ	HC-WBJ	HNJ-WBJ	OTHER	
.		687	25	7	12	12	125	.
.
X		10	0	1	0	0	4	.
.
1		216	18	3	1	2	60	300
		33.80	48.65	37.50	50.00	20.00	45.11	
2		280	14	5	1	3	50	353
		43.82	37.84	62.50	50.00	30.00	37.59	
3		100	3	0	0	4	16	123
		15.65	8.11	0.00	0.00	40.00	12.03	
4		28	1	0	0	1	7	37
		4.38	2.70	0.00	0.00	10.00	5.26	
5		15	1	0	0	0	0	16
		2.35	2.70	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
TOTAL		639	37	8	2	10	133	829

STATISTICS FOR 2-WAY TABLES

WARNING: OVER 20% OF THE CELLS HAVE EXPECTED COUNTS LESS THAN 5.
 TABLE IS SO SPARSE THAT CHI-SQUARE MAY NOT BE A VALID TEST.

CHI-SQUARE	21.803	DF= 20	PROB=0.3513
PHI	0.162		
CONTINGENCY COEFFICIENT	0.160		
CRAMER'S V	0.081		
LIKELIHOOD RATIO CHISQUARE	25.157	DF= 20	PROB=0.1955

12:03 SATURDAY, OCTOBER 25, 1986

TABLE OF RQ23_11 BY HHTYPE

RQ23_11 god hears prayers HHTYPE

FREQUENCY COL PCT	BJ-BJ	HBJ-WC	HBJ-WNJ	HC-WBJ	HNJ-WBJ	OTHER	TOTAL
.	659	34	9	7	12	136	.
.
X	19	0	0	0	0	9	.
.
1	67 10.18	4 14.29	0 0.00	3 42.86	0 0.00	20 17.09	94
2	142 21.58	8 28.57	1 14.29	0 0.00	7 70.00	31 26.50	189
3	253 38.45	12 42.86	3 42.86	4 57.14	1 10.00	42 35.90	315
4	143 21.73	2 7.14	2 28.57	0 0.00	2 20.00	16 13.68	165
5	53 8.05	2 7.14	1 14.29	0 0.00	0 0.00	8 6.84	64
TOTAL	658	28	7	7	10	117	827

STATISTICS FOR 2-WAY TABLES

WARNING: OVER 20% OF THE CELLS HAVE EXPECTED COUNTS LESS THAN 5.
 TABLE IS SO SPARSE THAT CHI-SQUARE MAY NOT BE A VALID TEST.

CHI-SQUARE	37.892	DF= 20	PROB=0.0091
PHI	0.214		
CONTINGENCY COEFFICIENT	0.209		
CRAMER'S V	0.107		
LIKELIHOOD RATIO CHISQUARE	39.330	DF= 20	PROB=0.0061

12:03 SATURDAY, OCTOBER 25, 1986

TABLE OF RQ23_12 BY HHTYPE

RQ23_12 people just part of evolution HHTYPE

FREQUENCY		COL	PCT	BJ-BJ	HBJ-WC	HBJ-WNJ	HC-WBJ	HNJ-WBJ	OTHER	TOTAL
.	.	.		659	34	9	7	12	136	.
A	.	.		1	0	0	0	0	0	.
X	.	.		20	0	0	0	0	9	.
1	56	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	7.69	66
2	134	6	2	3	21.43	28.57	42.86	0.00	21	166
3	125	6	0	0	19.05	21.43	0.00	50.00	20	156
4	229	12	3	3	34.91	42.86	42.86	30.00	40	290
5	112	4	2	1	17.07	14.29	28.57	10.00	27	147
TOTAL	656	28	7	7				10	117	825

STATISTICS FOR 2-WAY TABLES

WARNING: OVER 20% OF THE CELLS HAVE EXPECTED COUNTS LESS THAN 5.
 TABLE IS SO SPARSE THAT CHI-SQUARE MAY NOT BE A VALID TEST.

CHI-SQUARE	20.002	DF= 20	PROB=0.4578
PHI	0.156		
CONTINGENCY COEFFICIENT	0.154		
CRAMER'S V	0.078		
LIKELIHOOD RATIO CHISQUARE	25.761	DF= 20	PROB=0.1738

12:03 SATURDAY, OCTOBER 25, 1986

TABLE OF RQ23_13 BY HHTYPE

RQ23_13 forgive sins torah com atonement HHTYPE

FREQUENCY COL PCT	BJ-BJ	HBJ-WC	HBJ-WNJ	HC-WBJ	HNJ-WBJ	OTHER	TOTAL
.	659	34	9	7	12	136	.
X	19	0	0	0	0	7	.
1	90 13.68	5 17.86	1 14.29	1 14.29	2 20.00	19 15.97	118
2	297 45.14	14 50.00	4 57.14	5 71.43	5 50.00	57 47.90	382
3	167 25.38	6 21.43	0 0.00	1 14.29	1 10.00	27 22.69	202
4	75 11.40	3 10.71	2 28.57	0 0.00	2 20.00	14 11.76	96
5	29 4.41	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	2 1.68	31
TOTAL	658	28	7	7	10	119	829

STATISTICS FOR 2-WAY TABLES

WARNING: OVER 20% OF THE CELLS HAVE EXPECTED COUNTS LESS THAN 5.
 TABLE IS SO SPARSE THAT CHI-SQUARE MAY NOT BE A VALID TEST.

CHI-SQUARE	13.032	DF= 20	PROB=0.8760
PHI	0.125		
CONTINGENCY COEFFICIENT	0.124		
CRAMER'S V	0.063		
LIKELIHOOD RATIO CHISQUARE	17.269	DF= 20	PROB=0.6355

12:03 SATURDAY, OCTOBER 25, 1986

TABLE OF RQ23_14 BY HHTYPE

RQ23_14 cant believe after holocaust HHTYPE

FREQUENCY COL PCT	BJ-BJ	HBJ-WC	HBJ-WNJ	HC-WBJ	HNJ-WBJ	OTHER	TOTAL
.	659	34	9	7	12	136	.
X	12	0	0	0	0	8	.
1	12 1.80	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	3 2.54	15
2	26 3.91	1 3.57	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	7 5.93	34
3	98 14.74	2 7.14	1 14.29	1 14.29	0 0.00	17 14.41	119
4	326 49.02	15 53.57	4 57.14	5 71.43	9 90.00	56 47.46	415
5	203 30.53	10 35.71	2 28.57	1 14.29	1 10.00	35 29.66	252
TOTAL	665	28	7	7	10	118	835

STATISTICS FOR 2-WAY TABLES

WARNING: OVER 20% OF THE CELLS HAVE EXPECTED COUNTS LESS THAN 5.
 TABLE IS SO SPARSE THAT CHI-SQUARE MAY NOT BE A VALID TEST.

CHI-SQUARE	12.409	DF= 20	PROB=0.9013
PHI	0.122		
CONTINGENCY COEFFICIENT	0.121		
CRAMER'S V	0.061		
LIKELIHOOD RATIO CHISQUARE	15.685	DF= 20	PROB=0.7360

12:03 SATURDAY, OCTOBER 25, 1986

TABLE OF RQ23_15 BY HHTYPE

RQ23_15 souls live on after death HHTYPE

FREQUENCY COL PCT	BJ-BJ	HBJ-WC	HBJ-WNJ	HC-WBJ	HNJ-WBJ	OTHER	TOTAL
.	659	34	9	7	12	136	.
.
X	21	0	0	0	0	7	.
.
1	85	3	1	0	1	14	104
	12.96	10.71	14.29	0.00	10.00	11.76	
2	171	6	1	5	4	42	229
	26.07	21.43	14.29	71.43	40.00	35.29	
3	229	14	1	1	3	45	293
	34.91	50.00	14.29	14.29	30.00	37.82	
4	127	3	3	1	2	11	147
	19.36	10.71	42.86	14.29	20.00	9.24	
5	44	2	1	0	0	7	54
	6.71	7.14	14.29	0.00	0.00	5.88	
TOTAL	656	28	7	7	10	119	827

STATISTICS FOR 2-WAY TABLES

WARNING: OVER 20% OF THE CELLS HAVE EXPECTED COUNTS LESS THAN 5.
 TABLE IS SO SPARSE THAT CHI-SQUARE MAY NOT BE A VALID TEST.

CHI-SQUARE	25.294	DF= 20	PROB=0.1904
PHI	0.175		
CONTINGENCY COEFFICIENT	0.172		
CRAMER'S V	0.087		
LIKELIHOOD RATIO CHISQUARE	26.299	DF= 20	PROB=0.1562

TABLE OF RQ23_16 BY HHTYPE

RQ23_16 dont have to believe in god HHTYPE

FREQUENCY		COL PCT	BJ-BJ	HBJ-WC	HBJ-WNJ	HC-WBJ	HNJ-WBJ	OTHER	TOTAL
.	.	.	680	35	9	3	7	129	.
X
1		12.63	82 12.63	3.70 29.63	28.57 14.29	0.00 36.36	6.67 20.00	13.71 30 24.19	103 259
2		32.82	213 32.82	8 29.63	1 14.29	4 36.36	3 20.00	30 24.19	259
3		13.41	87 13.41	3 11.11	0 0.00	2 18.18	3 20.00	24 19.35	119
4		25.73	167 25.73	5 18.52	2 28.57	4 36.36	7 46.67	29 23.39	214
5		15.41	100 15.41	10 37.04	2 28.57	1 9.09	1 6.67	24 19.35	138
TOTAL			649	27	7	11	15	124	833

STATISTICS FOR 2-WAY TABLES

WARNING: OVER 20% OF THE CELLS HAVE EXPECTED COUNTS LESS THAN 5.
 TABLE IS SO SPARSE THAT CHI-SQUARE MAY NOT BE A VALID TEST.

CHI-SQUARE	26.880	DF= 20	PROB=0.1387
PHI	0.180		
CONTINGENCY COEFFICIENT	0.177		
CRAMER'S V	0.090		
LIKELIHOOD RATIO CHISQUARE	27.597	DF= 20	PROB=0.1193

12:03 SATURDAY, OCTOBER 25, 1986

TABLE OF RQ23_17 BY HHTYPE

RQ23_17 no divine purpose to life HHTYPE

FREQUENCY COL PCT	BJ-BJ	HBJ-WC	HBJ-WNJ	HC-WBJ	HNJ-WBJ	OTHER	TOTAL
.	680	35	9	3	7	129	.
X	16	0	0	0	2	10	.
1	37 5.78	2 7.41	1 14.29	0 0.00	0 0.00	8 6.50	48
2	127 19.84	2 7.41	2 28.57	2 18.18	3 23.08	19 15.45	155
3	198 30.94	7 25.93	1 14.29	4 36.36	6 46.15	38 30.89	254
4	217 33.91	14 51.85	2 28.57	4 36.36	4 30.77	42 34.15	283
5	61 9.53	2 7.41	1 14.29	1 9.09	0 0.00	16 13.01	81
TOTAL	640	27	7	11	13	123	821

STATISTICS FOR 2-WAY TABLES

WARNING: OVER 20% OF THE CELLS HAVE EXPECTED COUNTS LESS THAN 5.
 TABLE IS SO SPARSE THAT CHI-SQUARE MAY NOT BE A VALID TEST.

CHI-SQUARE	13.285	DF= 20	PROB=0.8648
PHI	0.127		
CONTINGENCY COEFFICIENT	0.126		
CRAMER'S V	0.064		
LIKELIHOOD RATIO CHISQUARE	15.882	DF= 20	PROB=0.7239

12:03 SATURDAY, OCTOBER 25, 1986

TABLE OF RQ23_18 BY HHTYPE

RQ23_18 torah word of god HHTYPE

FREQUENCY COL PCT	BJ-BJ	HBJ-WC	HBJ-WNJ	HC-WBJ	HNJ-WBJ	OTHER	TOTAL
.	680	35	9	3	7	129	.
X	15	0	0	0	0	8	.
1	81 12.64	5 18.52	2 28.57	2 18.18	1 6.67	24 19.20	115
2	230 35.88	14 51.85	3 42.86	4 36.36	5 33.33	49 39.20	305
3	134 20.90	4 14.81	0 0.00	5 45.45	3 20.00	27 21.60	173
4	149 23.24	3 11.11	1 14.29	0 0.00	6 40.00	17 13.60	176
5	47 7.33	1 3.70	1 14.29	0 0.00	0 0.00	8 6.40	57
TOTAL	641	27	7	11	15	125	826

STATISTICS FOR 2-WAY TABLES

WARNING: OVER 20% OF THE CELLS HAVE EXPECTED COUNTS LESS THAN 5.
 TABLE IS SO SPARSE THAT CHI-SQUARE MAY NOT BE A VALID TEST.

CHI-SQUARE	26.576	DF= 20	PROB=0.1476
PHI	0.179		
CONTINGENCY COEFFICIENT	0.177		
CRAMER'S V	0.090		
LIKELIHOOD RATIO CHISQUARE	31.065	DF= 20	PROB=0.0543

12:03 SATURDAY, OCTOBER 25, 1986

TABLE OF RQ23_19 BY HHTYPE

RQ23_19 jerusalem no more holy jews others HHTYPE

FREQUENCY COL PCT	BJ-BJ	HBJ-WC	HBJ-WNJ	HC-WBJ	HNJ-WBJ	OTHER	TOTAL
.	680	35	9	3	7	129	.
X	15	0	0	0	0	11	.
1	43 6.71	2 7.41	4 57.14	0 0.00	0 0.00	7 5.74	56
2	196 30.58	6 22.22	0 0.00	5 45.45	6 40.00	45 36.89	258
3	86 13.42	4 14.81	2 28.57	2 18.18	5 33.33	13 10.66	112
4	208 32.45	10 37.04	0 0.00	4 36.36	3 20.00	32 26.23	257
5	108 16.85	5 18.52	1 14.29	0 0.00	1 6.67	25 20.49	140
TOTAL	641	27	7	11	15	122	823

STATISTICS FOR 2-WAY TABLES

WARNING: OVER 20% OF THE CELLS HAVE EXPECTED COUNTS LESS THAN 5.
 TABLE IS SO SPARSE THAT CHI-SQUARE MAY NOT BE A VALID TEST.

CHI-SQUARE	48.003	DF= 20	PROB=0.0004
PHI	0.242		
CONTINGENCY COEFFICIENT	0.235		
CRAMER'S V	0.121		
LIKELIHOOD RATIO CHISQUARE	38.720	DF= 20	PROB=0.0072

12:03 SATURDAY, OCTOBER 25, 1986

TABLE OF RQ23_20 BY HHTYPE

RQ23_20 belief false sense of security HHTYPE

FREQUENCY							TOTAL	
COL	PCT	BJ-BJ	HBJ-WC	HBJ-WNJ	HC-WBJ	HNJ-WBJ	OTHER	
.		680	35	9	3	7	129	.
.
X		8	0	0	0	0	10	.
.
1		8	0	0	0	0	2	10
	1.23	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.63	
2		39	0	0	2	1	3	45
	6.02	0.00	0.00	18.18	6.67	2.44		
3		70	0	0	0	2	15	87
	10.80	0.00	0.00	0.00	13.33	12.20		
4		343	15	5	7	8	50	428
	52.93	55.56	71.43	63.64	53.33	40.65		
5		188	12	2	2	4	53	261
	29.01	44.44	28.57	18.18	26.67	43.09		
TOTAL		648	27	7	11	15	123	831

STATISTICS FOR 2-WAY TABLES

WARNING: OVER 20% OF THE CELLS HAVE EXPECTED COUNTS LESS THAN 5.
 TABLE IS SO SPARSE THAT CHI-SQUARE MAY NOT BE A VALID TEST.

CHI-SQUARE	26.547	DF= 20	PROB=0.1485
PHI	0.179		
CONTINGENCY COEFFICIENT	0.176		
CRAMER'S V	0.089		
LIKELIHOOD RATIO CHISQUARE	32.560	DF= 20	PROB=0.0377

12:03 SATURDAY, OCTOBER 25, 1986

TABLE OF Q24_1 BY HHTYPE

Q24_1 satisfaction being jewish HHTYPE

FREQUENCY COL PCT	BJ-BJ	HBJ-WC	HBJ-WNJ	TOTAL
	12	1	3	.

EXTREMELY IMPORT	767	29	4	969
	57.93	47.54	30.77	
VERY IMPORTANT	385	24	8	504
	29.08	39.34	61.54	
SOMEWHAT IMPORTA	123	4	1	151
	9.29	6.56	7.69	
NOT VERY IMPORTA	39	3	0	47
	2.95	4.92	0.00	
NOT AT ALL IMPOR	10	1	0	13
	0.76	1.64	0.00	
TOTAL	1324	61	13	1684

(CONTINUED)

TABLE OF Q24_1 BY HHTYPE

Q24_1 satisfaction being jewish HHTYPE

FREQUENCY COL PCT	HC-WBJ	HNJ-WBJ	OTHER	TOTAL
	0	0	12	.

EXTREMELY IMPORT	8 57.14	10 45.45	151 60.40	969
VERY IMPORTANT	5 35.71	10 45.45	72 28.80	504
SOMEWHAT IMPORTA	1 7.14	2 9.09	20 8.00	151
NOT VERY IMPORTA	0 0.00	0 0.00	5 2.00	47
NOT AT ALL IMPOR	0 0.00	0 0.00	2 0.80	13
TOTAL	14	22	250	1684

STATISTICS FOR 2-WAY TABLES

WARNING: OVER 20% OF THE CELLS HAVE EXPECTED COUNTS LESS THAN 5.
 TABLE IS SO SPARSE THAT CHI-SQUARE MAY NOT BE A VALID TEST.

CHI-SQUARE	17.026	DF= 20	PROB=0.6513
PHI	0.101		
CONTINGENCY COEFFICIENT	0.100		
CRAMER'S V	0.050		
LIKELIHOOD RATIO CHISQUARE	17.554	DF= 20	PROB=0.6168

12:03 SATURDAY, OCTOBER 25, 1986

TABLE OF Q24_2 BY HHTYPE

Q24_2 owe to ancestors HHTYPE

FREQUENCY COL PCT	BJ-BJ	HBJ-WC	HBJ-WNJ	TOTAL
	18	6	3	.

EXTREMELY IMPORT	353 26.78	8 14.29	3 23.08	425
VERY IMPORTANT	413 31.34	7 12.50	7 53.85	505
SOMEWHAT IMPORTA	340 25.80	9 16.07	3 23.08	429
NOT VERY IMPORTA	133 10.09	10 17.86	0 0.00	174
NOT AT ALL IMPOR	79 5.99	22 39.29	0 0.00	133
TOTAL	1318	56	13	1666

(CONTINUED)

TABLE OF Q24_2 BY HHTYPE

Q24_2 owe to ancestors HHTYPE

FREQUENCY COL PCT	HC-WBJ	HNJ-WBJ	OTHER	TOTAL
	0	0	19	.
EXTREMELY IMPORT	3 21.43	4 18.18	54 22.22	425
VERY IMPORTANT	3 21.43	5 22.73	70 28.81	505
SOMEWHAT IMPORTA	3 21.43	10 45.45	64 26.34	429
NOT VERY IMPORTA	1 7.14	3 13.64	27 11.11	174
NOT AT ALL IMPOR	4 28.57	0 0.00	28 11.52	133
TOTAL	14	22	243	1666

STATISTICS FOR 2-WAY TABLES

WARNING: OVER 20% OF THE CELLS HAVE EXPECTED COUNTS LESS THAN 5.
 TABLE IS SO SPARSE THAT CHI-SQUARE MAY NOT BE A VALID TEST.

CHI-SQUARE	114.632	DF= 20	PROB=0.0001
PHI	0.262		
CONTINGENCY COEFFICIENT	0.254		
CRAMER'S V	0.131		
LIKELIHOOD RATIO CHISQUARE	83.385	DF= 20	PROB=0.0001

12:03 SATURDAY, OCTOBER 25, 1986

TABLE OF Q24_3 BY HHTYPE

Q24_3 deny hitler his vicrory HHTYPE

FREQUENCY COL PCT	BJ-BJ	HBJ-WC	HBJ-WNJ	TOTAL
	44	3	3	.

EXTREMELY IMPORT	295 22.83	13 22.03	1 7.69	377
VERY IMPORTANT	181 14.01	3 5.08	0 0.00	230
SOMEWHAT IMPORTA	221 17.11	8 13.56	4 30.77	275
NOT VERY IMPORTA	253 19.58	8 13.56	3 23.08	312
NOT AT ALL IMPOR	342 26.47	27 45.76	5 38.46	443
TOTAL	1292	59	13	1637

(CONTINUED)

TABLE OF Q24_3 BY HHTYPE

Q24_3 deny hitler his victory HHTYPE

FREQUENCY COL PCT	HC-WBJ	HNJ-WBJ	OTHER	TOTAL
	0	1	24	.
EXTREMELY IMPORT	5 35.71	3 14.29	60 25.21	377
VERY IMPORTANT	0 0.00	4 19.05	42 17.65	230
SOMEWHAT IMPORTA	2 14.29	5 23.81	35 14.71	275
NOT VERY IMPORTA	2 14.29	5 23.81	41 17.23	312
NOT AT ALL IMPOR	5 35.71	4 19.05	60 25.21	443
TOTAL	14	21	238	1637

STATISTICS FOR 2-WAY TABLES

WARNING: OVER 20% OF THE CELLS HAVE EXPECTED COUNTS LESS THAN 5.
 TABLE IS SO SPARSE THAT CHI-SQUARE MAY NOT BE A VALID TEST.

CHI-SQUARE	28.186	DF= 20	PROB=0.1051
PHI	0.131		
CONTINGENCY COEFFICIENT	0.130		
CRAMER'S V	0.066		
LIKELIHOOD RATIO CHISQUARE	31.729	DF= 20	PROB=0.0463

12:03 SATURDAY, OCTOBER 25, 1986

TABLE OF Q24_4 BY HHTYPE

Q24_4 examples to world HHTYPE

FREQUENCY COL PCT	BJ-BJ	HBJ-WC	HBJ-WNJ	TOTAL
	26	3	3	.
	.	.	.	
EXTREMELY IMPORT	299 22.82	14 23.73	1 7.69	395
VERY IMPORTANT	304 23.21	13 22.03	2 15.38	383
SOMEWHAT IMPORTA	357 27.25	16 27.12	6 46.15	454
NOT VERY IMPORTA	237 18.09	9 15.25	4 30.77	289
NOT AT ALL IMPOR	113 8.63	7 11.86	0 0.00	140
TOTAL	1310	59	13	1661

(CONTINUED)

TABLE OF Q24_4 BY HHTYPE

Q24_4 examples to world HHTYPE

FREQUENCY COL PCT	HC-WBJ	HNJ-WBJ	OTHER	TOTAL
	0	0	19	.
EXTREMELY IMPORT	2 14.29	4 18.18	75 30.86	395
VERY IMPORTANT	3 21.43	5 22.73	56 23.05	383
SOMEWHAT IMPORTA	5 35.71	3 13.64	67 27.57	454
NOT VERY IMPORTA	4 28.57	5 22.73	30 12.35	289
NOT AT ALL IMPOR	0 0.00	5 22.73	15 6.17	140
TOTAL	14	22	243	1661

STATISTICS FOR 2-WAY TABLES

WARNING: OVER 20% OF THE CELLS HAVE EXPECTED COUNTS LESS THAN 5.
 TABLE IS SO SPARSE THAT CHI-SQUARE MAY NOT BE A VALID TEST.

CHI-SQUARE	28.567	DF= 20	PROB=0.0966
PHI	0.131		
CONTINGENCY COEFFICIENT	0.130		
CRAMER'S V	0.066		
LIKELIHOOD RATIO CHISQUARE	29.408	DF= 20	PROB=0.0800

12:03 SATURDAY, OCTOBER 25, 1986

TABLE OF Q24_5 BY HHTYPE

Q24_5 born jew, no reason to change HHTYPE

FREQUENCY COL PCT	BJ-BJ	HBJ-WC	HBJ-WNJ	TOTAL
	22	12	4	.
	.	.	.	
EXTREMELY IMPORT	613 46.65	12 24.00	2 16.67	752
VERY IMPORTANT	314 23.90	2 4.00	4 33.33	381
SOMEWHAT IMPORTA	206 15.68	12 24.00	3 25.00	252
NOT VERY IMPORTA	108 8.22	3 6.00	3 25.00	138
NOT AT ALL IMPOR	73 5.56	21 42.00	0 0.00	124
TOTAL	1314	50	12	1647

(CONTINUED)

12:03 SATURDAY, OCTOBER 25, 1986

TABLE OF Q24_5 BY HHTYPE

Q24_5 born jew, no reason to change HHTYPE

FREQUENCY COL PCT	HC-WBJ	HNJ-WBJ	OTHER	TOTAL
	1	0	26	.
EXTREMELY IMPORT	5 38.46	4 18.18	116 49.15	752
VERY IMPORTANT	3 23.08	8 36.36	50 21.19	381
SOMEWHAT IMPORTA	3 23.08	2 9.09	26 11.02	252
NOT VERY IMPORTA	1 7.69	7 31.82	16 6.78	138
NOT AT ALL IMPOR	1 7.69	1 4.55	28 11.86	124
TOTAL	13	22	236	1647

STATISTICS FOR 2-WAY TABLES

WARNING: OVER 20% OF THE CELLS HAVE EXPECTED COUNTS LESS THAN 5.
 TABLE IS SO SPARSE THAT CHI-SQUARE MAY NOT BE A VALID TEST.

CHI-SQUARE	142.365	DF= 20	PROB=0.0001
PHI	0.294		
CONTINGENCY COEFFICIENT	0.282		
CRAMER'S V	0.147		
LIKELIHOOD RATIO CHISQUARE	101.185	DF= 20	PROB=0.0001

12:03 SATURDAY, OCTOBER 25, 1986

TABLE OF Q25_1 BY HHTYPE

Q25_1 chosen people HHTYPE

FREQUENCY COL PCT	BJ-BJ	HBJ-WC	HBJ-WNJ	TOTAL
	23	0	0	.

CHOSEN BRING KNO	221 16.83	21 33.87	2 12.50	295
SPECIAL ROLE IN	1042 79.36	39 62.90	12 75.00	1303
MUST BE BETTER	7 0.53	0 0.00	0 0.00	10
VICTIMS OF CIRCU	43 3.27	2 3.23	2 12.50	62
TOTAL	1313	62	16	1670

(CONTINUED)

TABLE OF Q25_1 BY HHTYPE

Q25_1 chosen people HHTYPE

FREQUENCY COL PCT	HC-WBJ	HNJ-WBJ	OTHER	TOTAL
	0	0	19	.

CHOSEN BRING KNO	4 28.57	2 9.09	45 18.52	295
SPECIAL ROLE IN	10 71.43	20 90.91	180 74.07	1303
MUST BE BETTER	0 0.00	0 0.00	3 1.23	10
VICTIMS OF CIRCU	0 0.00	0 0.00	15 6.17	62
TOTAL	14	22	243	1670

STATISTICS FOR 2-WAY TABLES

WARNING: OVER 20% OF THE CELLS HAVE EXPECTED COUNTS LESS THAN 5.
 TABLE IS SO SPARSE THAT CHI-SQUARE MAY NOT BE A VALID TEST.

CHI-SQUARE 26.863 DF= 15 PROB=0.0299
 PHI 0.127
 CONTINGENCY COEFFICIENT 0.126

CRAMER'S V
LIKELIHOOD RATIO CHISQUARE

0.073
24.805 DF= 15 PROB=0.0526

