

MS-630: Rabbi Alexander M. Schindler Digital Collection, 1953-1996.

Series B: Conference of Presidents of Major American Jewish Organizations, 1962-1996.

Box Folder 22 5

Mexico, 1976-1977.

For more information on this collection, please see the finding aid on the American Jewish Archives website.

# ANTI-DEFAMATION LEAGUE OF B'NAI B'RITH

315 LEXINGTON AVENUE, NEW YORK, N.Y. 10016, TEL. 689-7400

### NATIONAL COMMISSION

SEYMOUR GRAUBARD National Chairman

DORE SCHARY HENRY E. SCHULTZ Honorary Chairmen

DAVID A. ROSE Chairman, National Executive Committee

LEONARD L. ABESS
JACK A. GOLDFARB
LAWRENCE A. HARVEY
JACOB K. JAVITS
PHILIP M. KLUTZNICK
LEON LOWENSTEIN
ROBERT R. NATHAN
ABRAHAM A. RIBICOFF
MATTHEW B. ROSENHAUS
CHESTER H. ROTH
WILLIAM SACHS
MELVIN H. SCHLESINGER
THEODORE H. SILBERT

Honorary Vice-Chairmen
DOROTHY BINSTOCK
MERLE D. COHN
MORTON R. GODINE
CHARLES GOLDRING
BERNARD D. MINTZ
NORMAN J. SCHLOSSMAN
Vice-Chairmen

MAXWELL E. GREENBERG Vice-Chairman, National Executive Committee

BENJAMIN GREENBERG RICHARD M. LEDERER, JR. Honorary Treasurers

BURTON M. JOSEPH Treasurer

THOMAS D. MANTEL Assistant Treasurer

JOHN L. GOLDWATER Secretary NORMAN M. WALL Assistant Secretary BENJAMIN R. EPSTEIN National Director

DAVID M. BLUMBERG President, B'nai B'rith

RABBI BENJAMIN M. KAHN Executive Vice-President, B'nai B'rith

HELEN G. SMITH President, B'nai B'rith Women

ARNOLD FORSTER Associate National Director and General Counsel

NATHAN PERLMUTTER Assistant National Director Development, Planning

STAFF DIRECTORS
ABRAHAM H. FOXMAN

Leadership THEODORE FREEDMAN Program, Community Service

LYNNE IANNIELLO
Public Relations
J. HAROLD SAKS
Administration

January 9, 1976

Rabbi Alex Schindler U.A.H.C. 838 Fifth Avenue New York, N.Y.

Dear Alex:

At the request of Seymour Graubard, I am sending you a copy of the transcript of the meeting held with President Echeverria on December 12.

In giving a copy of this document to each of the organizations which participated in the delegation to Mexico, we asked of them as we ask of you, that they keep it in strictest confidence until the meeting of the Presidents Conference on January 14.

With best wishes,

Sincerely,

Rabbi Morton M. Rosenthal

MMR/cms Encl.

cc: Seymour Graubard Arnold Forster

January 9, 1978

### MEMBERS OF THE NATIONAL COMMISSION

ALFRED GOLDEN, Miami Beach, FL

DAVID ADLERSTEIN, Columbus, OH \*MIRIAM ALBERT, Washington, DC WILLIAM M. ALPER, Miami, FL HERBERT BARCHOFF, New York, NY JOSEPH G. BARKAN, Forest Hills, NY DAVID BERGER, Philadelphia, PA ELEANOR BERNSTEIN, Pittsburgh, PA KENNETH J. BIALKIN, New York, NY \*DOROTHY BINSTOCK, Pittsburgh, PA ISADORE E. BINSTOCK, Pittsburgh, PA \*DAVID M. BLUMBERG, Knoxville, TN DeVERA BLUMOFF, St. Louis, MO JACK E. BRATTER, Beverly Hills, CA JOSEPH L. BRECHNER, Orlando, FL MEYER A. BUSHMAN, Philadelphia, PA \*BARBARA BUCK, Houston, TX JOCELYN F. CHAIT, New York, NY ARTHUR G. COHEN, New York, NY HENRY COHN, Dallas, TX THEODORE H. DINERSTEIN, Houston, TX CAROL DRAGUL, Cincinnati, OH \*JERRY DUBROF, Atlanta, GA MEYER EISENBERG, Washington, DC \*BENJAMIN R. EPSTEIN, New York, NY RICHARD J. ESSEN, Miami, FL LEONARD FASS, Merchantville, NJ BENJAMIN FEIERMAN, Harrisburg, PA ROBERT FEINERMAN, Pacific Palisades, CA JOANNE LANDAU, Orange, CT \*MARTIN L.C. FELDMAN, New Orleans, LA STANLEY FIDANQUE, Panama, Republic of Panama ELLIOTT W. FINKEL, Pittsburgh, PA STANLEY R. FISHMAN, St. Joseph, MO SYLVIA FRANKLIN, Riverdale, NY WARREN FREEDMAN, New Bochelle, NY BARBARA B. FRIEDLAND, Atlanta, GA FREDERICK GASH, New York, NY
H. JOHN GERBER, Chicago, IL
HARVEY GERSTEIN, St. Louis, MO STANLEY A. GERTZMAN, Charlotte. NC BERNARD S. GEWIRZ, Washington, DC FELIX F. GLADWIN, Dover Heights, Australia \*MORTON R. GODINE, Chestnut Hill, MA

HAROLD S. GOLDMAN, Williamsville, NY \*CHARLES GOLDRING, Los Angeles, CA \*JOHN L. GOLDWATER, New York, NY baids \*J. LEO GORDON, Beverly Hills, CA \*SEYMOUR GRAUBARD, New York, NY NORMAN J. GRAY, Denver, CO \*MAXWELL E. GREENBERG, Los Angeles, CA ROBERT J. GREENEBAUM, Highland Park, IL YAACOV HASSON, Lima, Peru \*BRUCE I. HOCHMAN, Los Angeles, CA LILLIAN HOLSTEIN, Pittsburgh, PA JACIE C. HORWITZ, Toronto, Canada BEN HYMAN, Atlanta, GA PIDULES II BRESSLER, Englewood Gliffs, AUS QUI & T. D. \*LOUIS G. ISAACSON, Denver, COJ B 9 II P 9 T. LEONARD JED, Baltimore, MD . 1 1 9 V 9 1 5 WALLYE ROSENBLUTH, Springfield, MA \*BURTON M. JOSEPH, Minneapolis, MN \*RABBI BENJAMIN M. KAHN, Washington, DC \*\*MARKEL D. COHN, Dalias, IX

\*\*MERLE D. COHN, Seattle, WAS J. KAYGEY KASH. Los Angeles, CA ARTHUR C. SCHOULER, Chicago, TE
KAYGEY KASH. Los Angeles, CA ARTHUR C. SCHOIT, Livoma, MI
JOSHUA KHEEL, Los Angeles, CA
MORRIE S. KRAUS, San Diego, CA
CHARLES SEIBEL, New York, NY DIANE KREIMAN, Dolton, IL

DIANE KREIMAN, Dolton, IL

BERDIE KUDLER, Los Angeles, CA

\*MOE KUDLER, Los Angeles, CA

MERRILL M. KULLER, St. Paul, MN

CHARLES SCIBEL, New YOR, NY

HERBERTID, SETLOW, New Haven, CT

SIDNEY J. SEXNER, Chicago, IL

RVING SHAPIRO, Liberty, NY

ROSE SHAPIRO, New York, NY BERNARD A. KUTTNER, Newark, NJ ROBERT LATZ, Minneapolis, MN \*LARRY M. LAVINSKY, Rve. NY \*RICHARD M. LEDERER, JR., White Plains, NY PHILLIP A. LEON, Hamilton. Canada BURTON S. LEVINSON, Beverly Hills, CA HOWARD I. LIPSEY, Providence, RI THOMAS D. MANTEL, Indianapolis, IN THEODORE B. MARKS, Akron, OH MILDRED MARLOWE, Bethesda, MD ROSE MEHLMAN, Coram, NY SIGMUND O. MEYER, Butte, MT \*BERNARD D. MINTZ, New Orleans, LA EMIL MOGUL, New York, NY \*MILTON MOLLEN, Brooklyn, NY

WILLIAM MORRIS, Hamilton, Canada DONALD MYERS, Elizabeth, NJ ROBERT H. NAFTALY, Oak Park, MI \*BERNARD NATH, Chicago, IL ROBERT B. NATHAN, Chicago, IL MURIEL NATHANSON, Cleveland, OH DIFFE OVA EDIS PARKANS, Houston, TX \*LAWRENCE PEIREZ, Great Neck, NY MICTON POPKIN, Houston, TX GUTMAN RABINOVICH, Ramath Gan, Israel JEAN REFOWICH, Ft. Lauderdale, FL SEYMOUR D. REICH, New York, NY JACK S. RESLER, Columbus, OH BURTON P. RESNICK, New York, NY \*DAVID A-ROSE, Newton Centre, MA EDWARD A. ROSEN, Omaha, NE FRED ROSENBAUM, Portland, OR IDA G. RUBEN, Silver Spring, MD MARTIN RUBENSTEIN, Monroeville, PA \*SAMUEL O. SHAPIRO, New York, NY REUBEN N. SHEVITZ, Indianapolis, IN MATILDA SIMS, Oak Park, MI \*HELEN G. SMITH, Austin, TX RABBI RONALD B. SOBEL, New York, NY JOSEPH SOLSKY, Kansas City, MO DONALD R. SORKOW, Hackensack, NJ HAROLD STAENBERG, South Orange, NJ \*ALVIN J. STEINBERG, Kensington, MD MORRIS L. STRAUCH, Memphis, TN AMELIA STRAUSS, Knoxville, TN \*GEORGE J. TALIANOFF, Miami Beach, FL A. RAYMOND TYE, West Roxbury, MA \*NORMAN M WALL, Pottsville, PA LAWRENCE WEINBERG, Beverly Hills, CA PAUL L. WELTMAN, Chicago, IL BEATRICE WOLFE, Toronto, Canada

## HONORARY LIFE MEMBERS

JOSEPH COHEN, Kansas City, KS DAVID COLEMAN, Los Angeles, CA MAURICE N. DANNENBAUM, Houston, TX HERMAN FINEBERG, Pittsburgh, PA LOUIS FREEDMAN, White Plains, NY EDWARD GOLDBERGER, New York, NY ABE GOLDSTEIN, Atlanta, GA BENJAMIN GREENBERG, New York, NY

DAVID H. LITTER, New York, NY IRVING LOUIS, New York, NY EDWARD MILLER, Denver, CO PHILIP H. MITCHEL, Chicago, IL ALAN R. MORSE, Brookline, MA MAYER U. NEWFIELD, Birmingham, AL NORMAN N. NEWHOUSE, New Orleans, LA ANITA PERLMAN, Chicago, IL

JEFFERSON E. PEYSER, San Francisco, CA BURNETT ROTH, Miami Beach, FL MAX J. SCHNEIDER, New York, NY. ARMUND J. SCHOEN, Chicago, IL SIMON S. WEIL, Nashville, TN WILLIAM A. WEXLER, Ramat Ha Sharon, Israel LOUIS ZARA, New York, NY

<sup>\*</sup>Members National Executive Committee



December 24, 1975

Mr. Seymour Graubard Chairman ANTI-DEFAMATION LEAGUE OF THE B'NAI B'RITH 315 Lexington Avenue New York, N. Y. 10016

Dear Mr. Graubard:

Subsequent to our very cordial and productive meeting with you and other leaders of the Jewish organizations, President Aleman and I returned to Mexico in order to meet with President Echeverria.

I now have great pleasure in telling you that President Aleman met with President Echeverria during the past few days and as a result I have been instructed to travel to New York and to deliver to you personally this transcript of the meeting which took place at the Presidential residence in Mexico City and which contains the President's extensive explanation of Mexico's policy with regard to Zionism.

Cordially,

Miguel Guajardo

Co-Ordinator General

Spanish transcript delivered, English version to be delivered when translation completed.

LOS PINOS, December 12, 1975.

Audience with the President of Mexico, Mr. Luis Echeverría, given to the presidents of the Jewish groups from the United States of America. The following persons were present:

Mr. Seymour Graubard, National President of the Anti-Defamation League and Head of the Delegation; Mr. Bertram H. Gold, Vice-President of the American Jewish Committee; Mr. Phil Baum, Executive Director of the American Jewish Congress; Mr. Will Maslow, General Counsel of the American Jewish Congress; Mr. Burton S. Levinson, President of the Committee for Latin American Affairs; Rabbi Morton M. Rosenthal, Director of the Department of Latin American Affairs; Mr. Lawrence Peirez, Representative of the B'NAI B'RITH Organization and Member of the Board of Governors; Mr. Max Shecter, International Vice-President of the B'NAI B'RITH; Mrs. Rose Matzkin, National President of HADASSAH; Dr. Max N. Matzkin of HADASSAH Associates, Mrs. Thelma Morse, Representative of the National Congress of Jewish Women, Mr. Jacques Torczyner, President of the American Section of the World Jewish Congress; Mr. Samuel Brochstein, Treasurer of the Synagogue Council of America; Dr. Seymour Weisman, Executive Director and Consultant for Community Affairs Jewish War Veteran. Jewish Organizations; Mr. Max Shecter, International Vice-President of the B'NAI B'RITH; Mr. Max Shecter, National Executive of the Canadian Jewish Congress; Mr. Max Shecter, National Vice-President of the Canadian Zionist Federation. Mr. Miguel Aleman, former President of Mexico, was also present at this audience.

RABBI MORTON M. ROSENTHAL: I have the honor to present to you,
Mr. President, the members of this Delegation: (On your right)
Mr. Seymour Graubard from New York, who is president of the
Delegation; Mrs. Rose Matzkin, National President of the Board of
the Hadassah organization, who is accompanied by her husband;

Mrs. Thelma Morse, of the Organization of the National Council of Jewish Women.

Now the men: Mr. Will Maslow, who is Counsel of the American Jewish Congress Organization; from Canada, Mr. Max Shecter, who represents three National Organizations in Canada: B'nai B'rith, Canadian Jewish Congress and the Zionist Federation of Canada; Dr. Seymour Weisman, Representing the Jewish War Veterans; Mr. Richard Maass, who is National President of the Board of the American Jewish Committee Organization; Mr. Burton S. Levinson, who is President of the Commission for Latin American Affairs of the Anti-Defamation League of B'nai B'rith; Dr. Max N. Matzkin, who Represents HADASSAH Associates; Mr. Alex Livman, from who is Honorary Mexican Consul in New Jersey; Mr. Samuel Brochstein, who is Treasurer of the Synagogue Council of America.

Now, Mr. Jacques Torczyner, who is National President of the Board of the World Jewish Congress American Section; Mr. Bertram H. Gold, Vice-President of the American Jewish Committee; Mr. Phil Baum, who is Associate Executive Director of the American Jewish Congress. And on my right, Mr. Lawrence Peirez, who is a Member of the International Council of the B'nai B'rith; and

yours truly Rabbi Morton M. Rosenthal, Director of the Department of Latin American Affairs of the Anti-Defamation League of B'nai B'rith.

(Part 2 follows)

-

PRESIDENT ECHEVERRIA: I thank you very much, gentlemen, for having accepted my invitation to come so that I could explain to you not only how this vote of November 10 in the United Nations came about; what is Mexico's position and what are Mexico's intentions and what is the basis and the context which Mexico sees in this matter which is so complex in the world and is so serious at this moment.

Independent of what you might decide afterwards regarding tourists coming or on the matter of commercial questions or not regarding Mexico, I want to tell you that the preoccupation which made me invite you, which made me send the Foreign Secretary to Israel, is not, in the first place an economic one. Yes, this does concern us, but with all that concerns us there is something before this which is essential: it is something which, without using impressive words, I would describe as concern of political Morality, a political morality derived from the conviction that many things which have happened in recent years in the Middle East or events such as that of Portugal or Angola or many others, can indicate the possibility of general warfare. And in this something deep in human conscience is involved.

In order to give you a historical view, I would like to place the following before you. The delegates of countries who

are members of the United Nations frequently consult their Foreign Affairs Ministries or even the Chief of State on their votes; they have time to do so and other times they do not. And in these last few months there is a very special situation in the United Nations which has prompted mass voting at short notice. And delegates have general instructions, a general policy on which they base their vote.

In the case of Mexico, where the President of the Republic is responsible personally and constitutionally for foreign policy, notwithstanding the great ability of our delegate, who is an expert in international affairs, Mr. Alfonso Garcia Robles, apart from my giving him general policy by which all our votes would be guided, from the beginning of my Government, I have ordered that he should consult me personally. In this case of the vote of November 10 I personally ordered him to vote as he did.

I am telling you this with true friendship because afterwards I am going to give you an explanation. And in matters where one has personal responsibility one cannot delegate it either publicly or in conscience to other persons. What was missing was an explanation which would bring out the details and which would point out certain considerations, and for this

reason I sent the Secretary for Foreign Affairs to speak with Mr. Rabin, with Mr. Allon and with President Katzir, in Israel.

Now. Why did I do it? Not detached, as has been said, from the opinion of the majority of the people in Mexico, but intimately attached; not pressured, as has been said, by the petrodollars of the Arab countries - later on I will tell you, perhaps they know it, what we stated in Kuwait and in Saudi Arabia with regard to Israel - but within a general context, recognizing that explanations which are usually made at the time of voting were missing and which should be made by the officials who are there.

Before going into the general panorama which prompted my personal decision, I would like to interject something. Among the 72 affirmative votes which were cast, there are three groups: one, the Soviet Union and the countries politically involved with the Soviet Union which, in spite of their policy of expansion, are in a nuclear race with the United States, which activity of those socialist countries has determined the behaviour of the Soviet Union towards the Jews in the Soviet Union and towards Israel.

In this respect, I want to tell you that in the first year of this Government, after many years of interference by the

Soviet Union in Mexico's internal politics - which is of considerable interest because Mexico is a neighbo of the United States - a group of young p ople began to carry out assaults and kidnapings here. They were caught and they confessed proudly that they were studying at the "Patrice Lumumba" University in Moscow, that they wished to be revolutionaries and that they had been taken to North Korea where they were trained to organize urban guerrilla warfare. In North Korea, after their training, they were given funds to organize guerrilla They came home, they started to commit these assaults and crimes; they prepared another group which they sent to Korea, which in due course came back and joined them and they pointed out that they were proud to confess what they did because they believed that in this manner they could bring about a world revolution.

These youngsters confessed all of the details in such a manner that their relationship with some aspects of Soviet activities in Mexico, was obvious.

In the third week of March 1971, Mexico expelled the top five officials of the Soviet Embassy. The Ambassador was on vacation in the Soviet Union but his family left in 24 hours.

Two years later I went to Moscow. I spoke with Mr.

Brehznev, Mr. Kosygin and Mr. Podgorny, in a State Visit on commercial and cultural interchanges, and afterwards I spoke with them alone. This has been made public in Mexico but I am telling you about it. I told them: "I have come to ask you not to interfere in political disturbances in Mexico, because if you do, we will sever relations". They told me that they were not interfering; that their policy was not to intervene; that the social revolution should take place in each country according to its own particular circumstances and that Lenin's teaching was not to interfere. I told them: "I also know what Lenin said and I know that Lenin's doctrine is based on interference". I believe that since that time they have not interfered.

We have good diplomatic relations with the Soviet Union, with an increasing number of socialist countries, with Cuba and we are very careful about non-intervention.

The second group of countries which voted on November 10: the Arab countries and what they represent. We had no relations with the Arab countries before my visit almost four months ago. Because of what they produce and what we produce, there is no commercial interchange, only something insignificant and accidental.

Since some of them are important exporters of petroleum and are members of OPEC, Mexico, which has become an exporter of petroleum within the past twelve months, has simply kept an eye on the situation, watched how that organization operates and has sold its petroleum at the export market price established by OPEC.

The other group of countries is the Third World and is
the one which is of interest to us; they are countries considerably under-developed with problems brought about by underdevelopment much greater than Mexico; they are buying industrial products and spare parts at inflated prices and they are
selling their raw materials cheaply. These are the countries
which are of most interest to us.

But at the moment in the United Nations there has been confusion between these three groups and this is one of the reasons why the world organization is in a state of real crisis and in many of its activities and decisions there are resolutions or work formats which reveal a very confused situation.

The economic crisis; the illusion which socialist dictatorships represent for many new generations, notwithstanding the destruction of liberties; the nuclear race, the armaments race, make us feel that every day there is a new danger to peace. And that is what makes me talk to you of political morality. This is one chapter.

Another. In 1936 Nazism was increasing in Germany and in Europe; it was beginning to expand. In Mexico, as in the whole world, as in Latin America, as within the United States even, groups were organizing themselves inspired by the doctrine, by the illusion, of Hitlerism. And here the country began to feel subversive movements, which, combining with traditional doctrines akin to Hitler-type totalitarianism, began to undermine the republican system. This phenomenon clashed with the Spanish Republic and in Mexico it brought about decisive assistance to the Spanish Republic while at the same time we defended our liberal and republican institutions.

Mexico, up to this moment, maintains relations with the Spanish Republic in exile. Mexico afterwards entered the war on the side of the democracies and began to receive those seeking asylum as well as refugees among whom were, at first, Spaniards and later on, Jews from many parts of the world.

(Part 3 follows)

Never, not even before the war, nor up to this moment has there been any anti-Jewish or anti-Semitic act in any sector of the community; this is how things have been, until the critical events of these last years, among which the problem between Israel and the Arab countries has been a determining factor.

Among the countries of the Third World, there are some which are aware of their problems and there are others, former colonies which recently received their freedom, who do not even understand themselves and do not recognize the vicious circle responsible for their underdevelopment.

In Mexico there is a certain industrial initiative and a kind of Third World awareness because we are a neighbor of all Latin America alongside the great economic power which is the United States, with a border which is almost 2,000 miles long, this is obviously a very serious situation.

Three quarters of our market has been the United States; this does not suit either Mexico or the United States. In recent years, as we became more concerned with establishing a connection with almost all of the world, we became more deeply involved with international problems because they always affect us. For example these parameters or illusions which are

meaningful to younger generations and intellectuals, affect us. On one side, international communism which frequently confuses our young people and on the other hand we have the dehumanizing influences of the great capitalist and industrial society. We are also affected by the fact that raw materials are sent to market in New York or London or Tokyo at low prices while each day we pay higher prices for machinery and capital equipment which we need for our development; this instinctively has made us become more involved in international life.

In April 1972, in the face of these political and economical problems which endanger peace and represent the impoverishment of many countries including ours, we placed before the international community, a document to bring about a new international economic order; exactly one year ago today, December 12, this document was approved in the United Nations. This document, a collection of ideals to bring about real economic balance, was approved by 120 votes after two years of discussion.

And it is because of the actions of people that we are concerned not that Mexico, which is a relatively small country, might pretend to exert influence in an area which, let us say, is not one of political morality in the world but rather to exert influence perhaps to defend ourselves and to survive in

a world which, because of imbalance, economic chaos, confrontations between the great Powers at various points such as the Middle East or some parts of Latin America, Africa or Asia; such confrontation between the great Powers, because of economic imbalance, could bring the world to the brink of war and endanger our peace and security, particularly in the case of countries such as Mexico which are weak economically and militarily.

To give you some background on the action which the United States subsequently took, on October 6, 1971 we proposed in the United Nations that the Peking regime should be recognized; in the beginning, as you remember, the United States examined the situation very completely because it was a very basic matter, and while the Security Council recognized the government of Taiwan, recognition of two Chinas was proposed. In actual fact, the result was the recognition of the Peking regime because it represents 800 million people, one quarter of mankind. Now mainland China is represented in the Security Council of the United Nations; afterwards President Nixon, and just a few days ago, President Ford, continued a policy of getting closer to mainland China.

Because of our concern about all of these situations, I

recently made a trip. The trip included some Caribbean countries both on my way out as well as on my way back, Arab countries and Israel and African countries both Arab and black. When the trip was being planned, the Israeli Ambassador came to visit me and invited me to visit his country; here we have a friend of Mexico for many years, a friend of Mexico since this gentleman here was President of the country and a friend of mine; Dr. George Wise who is an excellent friend of Mexico and is pleased to be such and we are happy to be his friend. He insisted that I should accept an invitation which for me was a great honor, to the University of Tel Aviv. Then we included in the trip before going to Israel, a visit to Algeria, Kuwait, Saudi Arabia, Egypt, and after the visit to Israel,

In reality, very few people, perhaps no tourist, and very few Chiefs of State, could make this type of trip because of the situation in that area.

In the Arab countries we took a close look at their preoccupations and their problems; when we spoke about Israel
they were not sympathetic towards the fact that we were going
there; things took a different complexion.

Before leaving Mexico, I asked our ambassadors in the

Arab countries to see if they could arrange a meeting for me with Arafat, and a visit to some of the Palestinian refugee camps if there were some in any of the countries which we were going to visit. Forty-eight hours before arriving at the airport from Alexandria where the Egyptian Government had us stay, I had a conversation for more than an hour with Arafat and some of his lieutenants; I wanted to hear his point of view. Forty-eight hours later we arrived in Israel. President Katzir and Prime Minister Rabin received us and from the first moment, when we got into the automobile to go to Jerusalem after the usual protocol conversation in which mentioned the new forests on both sides of the highway, they are very beautiful, the red-hot question came up; I spoke to them about the Charter of Obligations and Economic Rights of the States. That night we had dinner with the Prime Minister and with Mr. Allon and went into the very delicate area of relations with Egypt. That same day we had taken our leave of President Sadat and, this leaked out to the Press, it is not secret, we tried to bring about a discussion, a meeting in an atmosphere of frankness and goodwill. Prime Minister Rabin and the Foreign Minister said that they could meet with Sadat next day. Emilio O. Rabasa, Secretary for Foreign Affairs,

flew to Alexandria, with a stop in Greece I believe: he spoke with Mr. Sadat who refused the meeting.

In Israel we were attended with every kindness, in every way we already had an excellent relationship; many Mexican groups had studied in the kibutzim areas, in the Baiswan Institute.

For many years we have shared studies on water desalinization and irrigation, because 40% of Mexico is desert, and here in this very house we have received many groups from Israel; a year ago a group of water desalinization specialists came here and had lunch with me and we found while talking that the Baiswan Institute was studying other technical questions.

We visited the Golan Heights, we went to various kibutzim including the one from which the Foreign Minister, Mr. Allon, came, alongside Lake Tiberias; another one nefaltzin very near the Gaza Strip from which you could actually see the Gaza Strip. As representatives of a country with Christian origins, although personally we are secular, we went to Jerusalem, to the Holy Sepulchre, we went to Bethlehem and we flew over a good part of Israel. In the official reception at the home of the President of Israel, we explained our ideas for a settle-

ment; speaking of a solution to the Palestinian problem, the solution is not necessarily; within our power, perhaps it means an effort of the imagination, it is the solution which Dr. Henry Kissinger recently proposed and was made public, that to establish peace, dialogue with the group represented by Arafat is necessary, in order to arrive at some solution.

Before we went to Israel, there was a meeting in Kampala, I believe it was the end of July or the beginning of August, it was a meeting of the African countries both Arab as well When we were in Saudi Arabia word was received that a proposal had been made to support in the United Nations the suspension of Israel's rights in the U.N: at first they had talked of expulsion but then along the lines of possible procedure within the United Nations, they proposed that the General Assembly could suspend the participation of a country. In Kuwait, in Saudi Arabia, in Egypt, in public and private conversations and in press conferences, we pointed out that to speak like this was a grave error and that this could not lead to peace; of course not, as Mexico pointed out, and it was only after a subsequent meeting in Kampala, which lasted 18 hours, that the idea was rejected, and in fact it appears that this was at Egypt's suggestion.

After Israel we went to Jordan, saw what was happening and looked at the situation in that country and then came back to Mexico.

We feel that this is where the essence of the vote is, differentiating between the three groups of countries which voted on November 10 in the United Nations, within the general panorama, that the principal area of tension, one of the 15 areas of tension which exist at the present time and which one way or another endanger peace, is precisely there; the behaviour of the Soviet Union towards Israel is no secret; who provides the arms which threaten Israel? is no secret; and on the other hand it is no secret that the United States helps Israel. Nor are the suggestions and sometimes the pressures and frequent discussions; which are made towards a possible settlement.

Now, we are not anti-Zionist. We understand the historical and honorable meaning of Zionism. Mexico has also suffered much and the human conscience rebels against the persecution of the Jews; the ancient persecution and particularly that of. Hitler, and we know what is the historical and moral significance of Zionism and we profoundly respect it, but at the same time we feel that if the Government of Israel is not a

little more flexible with regard to a settlement of this problem with the Palestinians, the situation will be prolonged whereas we believe that a settlement is possible. Such a settlement will require effort because otherwise there will never be agreement which will define the true interests of Israel as the traditional and historical home of the Jewish people, their survival and their safety, and settlement of a verybroad and complex situation which has existed for generations, complicated by the matter of the Palestinians and which, if it is not settled, will continue to be used as a cause and a pretext in the Arab world for interferences which could cause disruption in international life, of global dimensions.

(Part 4 follows)

It came to voting on November 10 and I ordered the vote, I cannot say I did not. Although it was a confidential discussion, I am telling you. Not even Mr. Rabasa said this when he went to Israel.

But if you ask me: "What do you think of Zionism?"

I tell you that it is profoundly honorable; that it has
been a pillar of salvation and a road to survival for the
Jewish people.

An explanation was missing there in the United Nations, because, I believe, of the way in which the United Nations has been functioning. Because it represented another appeal as part of our efforts in international politics, for a settlement. Yes, the explanation was missing and I am giving it to you truly and with affection at this moment, so that you can judge for yourselves and form your own opinion.

I could not take any other position, we are not antiZionists; we are friends of Israel. It was a personal
gesture of Dr. Wise but President Katzir was at the ceremony
and so was the wife of Prime Minister Rabin.

On the day I left Tel Aviv, from the airport, they gave me a Doctorate Honoris Causa at the University of Tel Aviv.

I heard about this afterwards that before I arrived at

the University there was a group of angry young people at
the University gates who carried placards saying: "We will
not admit one who has greeted Arafat! ". They very efficiently removed this group before I arrived, and when leaving we
got to the limousines which would take us - at my request to greet Mrs. Golda Meir whom we admire very much in Mexico.
Behind us there was a very solid line of police or soldiers.
Just as we were heading for the limousines I discovered that
the young people had returned and were in a garden about 20
meters behind the soldiers or police.

I was walking with a military aide-de-damp, an Israeli army colonel, and Dr. Wise and my family. I went around the limousine as if I were going to get in on the other side, I went between the soldiers to where the young people were and I said to them: "Tell me, what do you want? I want to hear you". They said to me: "We will not allow you into our University because you have met with Arafat! How can you come to Israel after having been with him in Alexandria? Look at these photographs", and they showed me albums of photographs of the remains of buildings and bodies and some of the attacks in the last two years by Palestinians or fedayin in Israeli territory and in some kibutzim on the border.

I said to them: "Look, fellows, if we do not establish a policy of dialogue, this matter will go on for centuries and on one side or another there are international forces which will lead to a war, which is much worse". I talked with them for a few minutes which greatly alarmed the Israeli authorities. They thought that such a discussion might bother me, no!, or that I might be personally attacked, I honestly never thought of such.

Now, I tell you - and this is being recorded and you can publish it - that Zionism is profoundly honorable, the Jewish people, their historical struggle.

For many years Jews distinguished in sciences, art, research, technology and business have been among our best friends. We have sent - and if they allow us we shall continue to send - many students to Israel. There has never been any problem; but we are all in a state of confusion in the United Nations. This is why the explanation of a vote ordered by me was missing.

There is doubt, I myself doubt - speaking with all sincerity - I myself have asked afterwards: "to what extent would an intelligent explanation by my delegate have really helped, no matter how lucid to clarify such a forcible declar-

ation as that approved by the United Nations. It would have required considerable dialectic effort, perhaps the explanation which I have tried to give you, because within that — I do not know how you are going to translate it into English — mixed-up mass of dough as we say when we are making bread, that mass consisting of the socialist countries, the Arab countries, and the countries of the Third World which includes Mexico.

How do you define the attitude of each group? Honestly and sincerely I can tell you: just as I tell you that I ordered the vote, it is that I believe that considerable effort would have been required, a broad effort on the part of our delegate to make that differentiation and explanation, which was the basis for the vote; in other words, independant of what you may think in the future as to whether American Jews should come to Mexico or not, that yes, we want them to come as tourists and for business and cultural activities, that is the truth and it is my personal responsibility, and I do not believe that even the trip by Mr. Rabasa was sufficient, but rather that it is necessary, apart from what I say as the individual responsible for our foreign policy and apart from my explanation, that what is happening

in the United Nations must change. There is a serious crisis and everyday unforeseen events are taking place.

I will give you another example, if you will allow me:

Spain is very close to us. For us it is our Motherland. As
a result of certain events, the execution of young rebels
ordered by Franco, which prompted the European countries to
recall their ambassadors and a serious rebuke by Pope Paul
VI. - very important for Spain because it is a Catholic
country - and contrary to what some people here recommended
that we should request that Spain be warned by the United
Nations, at the end of September - one month and three weeks
before the death of General Franco - Mexico asked the
Security Council to take drastic and prudent measures to
ensure that Spain did not once again become the scene of a
general confrontation; as was happening in those days.

I am telling you this so that you may see the confusion which I believe exists on the one hand in the Security Council and, on the other hand, in the General Assembly.

The Security Council replied that there was no reason to intervene since it was Spain's internal problem.

In reality, the rehearsals for World War II took place in Spain from 1936 to 1939. On one side you had Hitler's

troops and on the other Soviet assistance. Three and one half years later World War II broke out.

In October it was Mexico's turn to address the U.N.

and I went personally and in my speech I took the liberty

of telling the members of the Security Council, in the name

of this small country: "you are mistaken, you do not see

clearly. The Security Council must intervene in some way

because otherwise the place will become the scene of a con
frontation". Then, during the next two days, I spoke with

Mr. Moynihan, the representative of the United States, and

I met with the representatives of China, France, Britain,

with each member of the Security Council and with the

permanent representatives of the Soviet Union and they all

replied: "it is a serious matter, but we do not know how the

Security Council can intervene", and this was as far as

Mexico's futile effort qot.

Franco died, the King took over, Spain appears to be making a new start, as in many countries or areas in the world, in one way or another the objectives and the ideals of the great Powers are evident, the objectives and pressures

I feel are evident in the Middle East prevent a settlement.

I have spoken to you as a friend, whether the vote was erroneous or not. That is the truth and that is why we are so worried.

(Part 5 follows)

Mexico's Secretary for Foreign Affairs, a young enthusiastic official, went to Israel. He did not travel throughout the country because after a few hours he left Jerusalem and went to Egypt and he later caught up with me in Jordan; he did not know Israel and now he has just been there. He went to give an explanation. The press reported this fragmentarily. Foreign Minister Allon and he issued a joint communique which said: "Mr. Allon outlined the destructive intention of the Resolution and afterwards Mr. Rabasa explained that Mexico is not anti-Zionist". The joint communique appears to me to be incomplete.

I believe that the overall situation is what I have told you in explaining Mexico's vote for which I am responsible and not Rabasa who is my loyal supporter.

At the time when the vote was cast Rabasa was not in Mexico, he was in Columbia. Even the consultation with me was not made through him. But in view of the problem, I told him to go to Israel and he went, as if he had been the one involved. I was the one involved.

And here in Mexico they say - the newspapers have carried it - that first the Foreign Secretary does one thing in the United Nations and now he went to Israel to say some-

thing else.

It was not he, it was I, in the midst of this confusion.

At the moment he is in New York. There is a series of very complicated votes coming up. He will handle things personally.

After the clarification which he made in his conversations with President Katzir, Prime Minister Rabin and Foreign Minister Allon, he must now be especially concerned to ensure that no action on our part might appear to be anti-Zionist.

Within a complicated context - and it will continue with or without Mexico - in the United Nations; if these things continue in the Security Council and in the General Assembly, and if no element of order is introduced and the United Nations crisis increases, it will be a sad day for peace.

Rabasa is there. And we are concerned about seeing that any vote by Mexico leaves no doubt as to exactly what Mexico thinks.

I differ in some respects with what the joint communique by the Israel Foreign Minister and Mexico's Foreign Secretary said, because it implies that it refers to an isolated incident; it is not an isolated incident; it is part of an overall picture.

Among those 72 votes there are some - and Mexico is not one of them - who want to see Israel destroyed in one way or another. There are the votes of countries who have been persecuting the Jews in one way or another; there are the votes of the countries led by the Soviet Union and those of the Arab countries; and there are others, such as Mexico, who while we did not have any arrangement with the other countries who voted, agree with the idea that order clearly is missing in the world.

You understand that it was I, that is what the Constitution says and that is my job. In fact, although I am not very old, it is something which goes back a long time.

When Mr. Miguel Aleman was a candidate for the Presidency thirty years ago, I joined his political campaign. We used to go around in a truck through the streets at night-time putting up posters with his picture between midnight and 5 a.m. But I had already written my thesis for my law degree. The thesis was just as idealistic and just as concerned with political morality when I was 23 years old, as today. The subject was "The cause of the breakdown of

society". Now we are looking at the causes of the possible breakdown of the United Nations.

All of us are in a crisis. But that does not justify the absence of an explanation of a vote which was the common denominator of 72 countries.

want you to understand me. It never occurred to me to say to you: "Gentlemen, our delegate at the United Nations made a mistake; the Foreign Secretary made a mistake and for that reason I sent him to Israel to make a friendly clarification". Sincerely no, the responsibility is here with me, but within this context. That is the truth.

This does not solve the problem, but I say to you: some of my best friends are distinguished Jews in Mexico. They know what we have done for many years.

I was Undersecretary and Minister of the Interior. The other day eighteen or twenty leaders of the Jewish community in Mexico were here to have breakfast with me - I did not draw up the list - and we recognized each other, because we have dealt with each other over the years. And many of them were aware of our personal activities, of my own personal activity to solve the problems, sometimes very painful problems, of Jews who were persecuted.

The International Womens Conference took place in Mexico. You know the results.

Today or tomorrow there will be another vote in the United Nations. That is what we are looking at. That is why Rabasa is in New York, probably talking with the delegate from Israel, since he has just been to Jerusalem.

These are fine details, anecdotes, Mrs. Rabin

accompanied her husband. When she began her speech three
quarters of the Assembly walked out. My wife was present,
she went to all the meetings. She remained and when Mrs.
Rabin, who calmly remained until the end of her husband's
speech, descended from the rostrum, my wife went over to
her and kissed her.

What does this mean? Not much, perhaps.

(Part 6 follows)

In this hall each year the Souraski prize is awarded.

In 1924 or 1925, two Russian Jewish brothers, in spite of great difficulties and without a cent in their pockets, left the USSR and came to Mexico, and by hard work they made a fortune. One of them was a very good friend of my family. The other, the better known one who is still alive, a man in his sixties, established a foundation to grant prizes to scientific researchers. The prize is awarded in this hall every year.

If you tell me that the wording of that vote is not exactly in terms which you would agree would bring pressure to bear for a policy of dialogue in order to solve the Palestinian problem, I say to you: that is true. The explanation was missing and I do not feel that the joint communique explained it, neither what Mr. Allon said nor what Mexico's Foreign Secretary said. This whole clarification was missing. And I do not believe that we can forget this incident, because with Mexico or without Mexico, with Israel or without Israel, many things will happen in the United Nations, and it could even happen next year, which might provoke serious consequences in the world.

If you will be patient with me for five minutes more,

I want to add something else: perhaps as no other Chief

of State has been in four years, I have been trying to

diversify Mexico's relations with the world.

(Part 7 follows)

27

Within the United Nations it is mentioned that next year Mr. Waldheim's term as Secretary General will end: he is not considered particularly close to the Third World, and he really is not; he is a very impartial and cultured man. And in addition to his name for the next term and for which he could be re-elected - which I believe is most likely eight or ten other names are mentioned: a Latin American, an Indian, someone from Sri-Lanka and from other countries. Among those ten or twelve names I am mentioned and here and there the question is asked: "Is it a fact that this man wants to be Secretary General of the United Nations?". My answer is Yes and No. Why? Yes, because this is a fight and this is politics; it is not the job, it is the spirit you put into it; and this country, in order to survive and grow, will have to do much in international politics. No. because it is very difficult and I have very little possibility of success, in the midst of the powerful interests. But neither the charter proposal nor that vote so disputable and with so many aspects, which I have explained - have anything to do with this matter. In fact to take specific action at a given moment or to do anything clear or decisive is something which is not in accordance with the

discretion employed in politics - internal or internation, when it comes to handling people.

For me it is something - how could I say it - deep, political morality, believe me.

With the help of the United Nations we are establishing here the Third World University. It will be an institution for the interchange of technology, as we have seen in Israel, water desalinization, use of solar energy, conservation of petroleum, increase of fertilizers for food production, and hundreds of other activities. There will be a study center; I am personally encouraging this in view of the urgent economic problems of many countries in Latin America, Africa and Asia.

It is a healthy Third World concept; the one that brought about that vote is not, that is something else.

This is all I have to say to you, with all honesty and friendship. I want to ask you before replying to please think a little about what I have said.

It has been said: "Forget the incident". I would not want us to forget it but rather that we should think about it; yes, it is a very serious matter for the future.

Here in Mexico the members of the Jewish community suggested that I should arrange a meeting, breakfast or lunch, with two or three thousand people. I told them not to rush into things, not to try to simplify matters; that it must not look as if we do not understand matters and that we should meet and try to consider the problems of the world: how we might contribute, not only with moral support, to the survival of Israel and the peace of the world. Let us do it well.

This has been a long monologue.

(Part 8 follows)

MR. SEYMOUR GRAUBARD: Mr. President, I have the honor to reply in the name of all the delegates who are visiting you and enjoying your hospitality in this beautiful country.

The fact that I am speaking does not mean to say that I am more responsible or have a more outstanding personality than any of the delegates who are here present, because each of them, in his own right, is leader of his organization.

Mr. President, allow me to say that much of what you have told us has surprised us very much. In fact, the surprise began even long before we arrived in Mexico.

We recognize the great honor you have bestowed on us by inviting us, a group which is not official, to meet with you and discuss matters of mutual interest.

Likewise we were pleasantly surprised when en route to Mexico city upon accepting your invitation, we received some very pleasant news from Israel.

We are also surprised - and in this respect I am also speaking for myself personally - with the frank and direct manner in
which you have explained the circumstances which led to Mexico's
vote in the United Nations.

Another of our surprises - and it is not the least of them - has been the fact that you selected as a theme for this discuss-

ion the subject political morality or morality of politics, a subject on which you spoke with much ability, something which not many Chiefs of State could have done.

Mr. President, you are well known in our country. In the past your personality has been discussed by everybody and you have become known as a person who has made great efforts to improve the economic situation of Mexico's poor and also as a person who has taken the initiative to achieve peace in the world.

You have referred, Mr. President, to the fact that there is no anti-Semitic sentiment in Mexico and you have indicated, Mr. President, that as far as it is within your power there will be no anti-Semitism in Mexico.

We want you to know Mr. President that all of us in this group have been very happy tourists in your country in the past and that, therefore, we know that what you say is the truth.

Your many friends within the Jewish community in Mexico have assured us of this; that they consider you as their friend and they know that they are going to consider you their friend always.

Now, to get to the subject, you said from the beginning,
Mr. President, that you accept responsibility for the actions of
your Ministers. What I am going to say, Mr. President, I am

going to say to you as a great compliment; we had a President who for us was a great President, President Truman, who always said: "the buck stops here".

THE PRESIDENT: There is no other way, when it is like that.

MR. SEYMOUR GRAUBARD: Even when one of the greatest generals the United States ever had, disobeyed instructions, he fired him immediately.

Can we then presume, Mr. President, in view of the circumstances and the fact that the Foreign Minister continues in your Government, that he did not disobey instructions?

THE PRESIDENT: He did not have the opportunity to disobey.

MR. SEYMOUR GRAUBARD: We saw that the news from Israel contains six basic points and one of them says: "Zionism is not racism". And as you have repeated here today, you look with favor on the establishment of a Jewish homeland to shelter the Jewish people, and we have also been pleased to hear you say: "we are not anti-Zionists".

From that series of meetings in Israel there emerged an agreement on air transport; the cultural exchange agreement; the explanation of Mexico's position insofar as it concerns the strict application of Security Council resolutions 242 and 338,

and the invitation to Foreign Minister Allon and Mrs. Allon to visit Mexico.

Finally, and this comes from Foreign Minister Allon, there is the assurance that Mexico in the future will not support anti-Zionist resolutions.

Mr. President, my question is: is Foreign Minister
Allon's understanding that no more anti-Zionist resolutions
will receive Mexico's favorable vote, correct?

(Part 9 follows)

PRESIDENT ECHEVERRIA: Yes! I have told the Foreign Secretary and the delegate that they should be especially cautious because of the considerable confusion in the United Nations and that they distinguish our Third World policy from anything which might even resemble anti-Zionism.

MR. GRAUBARD: Mr. President, all the members of this delegation love Mexico; we want to come back to Mexico, we want our friends to be happy to come to Mexico. And when I say that I want our friend to continue to come to Mexico, I refer not only to our Jewish friends because many of our non-Jewish friends have told us that they do not understand the Mexican vote.

Mr. President, I would like to ask your help in answering a question for me. I am sure that all my friends here have other questions; but if they will allow me, I will ask mine first and ask your help in answering it.

Mr. President, when you referred to the vote which will take place on the Women's International Conference, and which will take place between now and Monday, does what you said mean that when Mexico's delegate votes, he will explain the vote.

PRESIDENT ECHEVERRIA: Yes! Mr. Rabasa, Foreign Secretary, traveled from Israel to New York precisely for that reason, in order to make an exception on the point about Zionism. That

is exactly how it is, since there is confusion in one of the points of the Resolution on women: in order to make sure that there is no doubt that Mexico's vote is not against Zionism.

Yes, I believe he discussed this with Mr. Allon because he told me that he wanted to stay in New York and at this moment he is in the United Nations.

MRS. ROSE MATZKIN: With your permission, Mr. President, and with the consent of the guests who are part of this delegation with me, I wish to express our profound thanks on behalf of those of us who are here and of the millions of Americans whom we unofficially represent.

It is my privilege to be President of the largest Zionist organization in the world; but we are an American organization and our contacts are with Jewish and non-Jewish Americans. We are very aware of the privilege of having heard you, the head of a large country because we do not consider Mexico to be a small country, with all due respect to our Canadian colleague. We believe that not only is Mexico's climate one of the great attractions which has brought us to love and admire Mexico.

It was very difficult for us to find the time to leave our activities and come here; but we are aware of the great honor which you have shown us, and since some of us were in Israel with the Foreign Minister on Friday last, for us it is a greater

privilege still to have the opportunity to be with you today.

It is with a feeling of real understanding and achievement that we will return to the United States and we will make every possible effort to restore the understanding which was blurred by the absence of clarification during recent weeks.

We are deeply grateful.

(Part 10 follows)

Note Will contract that I does there were made as offered

MR. SEYMOUR GRAUBARD: Mr. President, We have here a small gift which represents a spirit and a morality rather than material value.

If you wish to open it, please do so, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT ECHEVERRIA: It is very nice.

MR. SEYMOUR GRAUBARD: It is a book called "My Shalom", which means "My Peace". It contains poems and illustrations by Israeli children, both Jewish and Arab. Both Jews and Arabs, the children which this book represents, are all Israelis and they live together in peace.

And in view of your reputation, Mr. President, as a person who seeks "Shalom", we have dedicated it to you in the name of this delegation, as follows: "To His Excellency, a fighter for "Shalom" (Peace) and a generous host".

PRESIDENT ECHEVERRIA: I thank you very much.

LOS PINOS, December 12, 1975.

MR. SEYMOUR GRAUBARD.

Improvised remarks upon proposing a toast at the end of the luncheon.

MR. SEYMOUR GRAUBARD: In the name of the Delegation from the United States of America and Canada, we wish Mr. President, my host, we wish you to know that today has been very satisfying which strengthened the good relations between Mexico and its Jewish community and Americans and Canadians of Jewish origin. It strengthens us and the situation for all generations. Consequently, it is a day which will stand out in history and which will bear good results for Mexico, I am sure, and for you, Mr. President. We congratulate you and we extend our best wishes to you for a happy future; we include in these desires our good friends and colaborators who strived so hard to make this day a success, led by former President Aleman, Mr. Guajardo and our other good friends. And also Mr. Hodgins who has done so much for us. Therefore I would ask everybody to please stand and drink a toast to the President of Mexico.

LOS PINOS, December 12, 1975.

Mr. Luis Echeverria, President of Mexico.

Improvised remarks at the end of the luncheon in the Salon "Mexicano", in honor of the Jewish Delegation today.

In the ruins of Masada I thought of Mexico. In the spirit of the people there is something much deeper which helps them over superficial incidents. And that is what counts in the heart of a people.

Here in Mexico, in the State of Jalisco, there is a tribe which, during the Spanish conquest, retreated into the mountains and the historians tell us that they killed themselves, throwing themselves over precipices, rather than be captured or beaten.

In the kibutzim of Israel where incredible work and organization have transformed the desert, my Mexican companions and I, four months ago, were reminded of the deserts of Mexico.

And while talking with young Jewish people in Tel Aviv in a severe but cordial and wonderful conversation, I was reminded of our young people in Mexico.

It is only when one has deep culture and a strong spirit that you can persevere in the face of many trials, and the most vigorous feature of Mexico is also its oldest one.

For this reason, independent of international political events, we Mexicans sympathize with Israel. We are complex, devoted spirits and we know that our history is ancient, that

the future also holds much for us and we need strength of spirit.

I am very grateful for your visit and I want you to take back to your homes in the United States and to send to that marvellous people which is Israel, Mexico's cordial greetings.

These times of world change will pass and we must look for peace and coexistence. Let us fight for everything that is constructive, that our spirits may work together and that we may continue to improve our lot.

Many thanks, in the name of Mexico, gentlemen, for your visit.

A Toast to Israel!

\_\_\_\_\_

March 2, 1977

His Excellency, The Israel Ambassador Simcha Dinitz Embassy of Israel Washington, D.C.

Dear Simcha:

I think the enclosed letter will be of interest to you. I share it with you for transmittal to the Foreign Ministry and the Consel in Rame.

With warmest regards, I am

Sincerely,

Alexander M. Schindler

Encl.

March 2, 1977

His Excellency, The TM exican Ambassador Hausto Zapata Embassy of Mexico Rome, Italy

My Dear Ambassador Zapata:

It was a delight to hear from you and I am grateful for your warm comments and expression of friendship. I assure you I reciprocate the friendship fully.

The news of your appointment as Ambassador to Rome is very exciting and I want to wish you well in all your endeavors. May you find fulfillment and great satisfaction from your new responsibilities. You bring so many fine qualities of heart and mind to the task I know that your service will be a superb expression of your devotion to your nation and your people.

It's nice to know that I have a friend in Rome and in planning any overseas visits during the coming months I shall remember your presence. Hopefully, we will meet again in the not too distant future.

With every good wish and warmest regards, I am

Sincerely,

Alexander M. Schindler

Rabbi Alexander M. Schindler

Rabbi Balfour Brickner

The enclosed will be of interest to you. I had occasion so meet Zapata during the period of the Mexican Boycott. He is a young, dynamic personality and he is a friend. He means what he says in terms of "a friend in Rome."

#### FAUSTO ZAPATA SENADOR DE LA REPUBLICA

February 21, 1977

Mr. Rabbi:

I have had the privilege of building a friendship with you wich I greatly appreciate and hope will endure for many years to come.

President López Portillo has appointed me Ambassador to Rome, a post I shall assume in early March. In this new task in which I shall endeavor to serve my country as best as I can and honor the confidence placed in me by the President, I am writing to you with a dual purpose: first, to express my deep regard and gratitude for the considerations you have always shown me, and second, to assure you that in the next few years you will have a friend in Rome.

With warmest personal greetings, I

remain,

Cordially.

RABBI ALEXANDER SCHINDLER 838 FIFTH AVENUE New York, N. Y. telegram

11/18/76

Miguel Gajardo
Oficina Transmision
Pderes Presidenciales
Hotel Camino Real
Mariano Escobedo
700 Mexicon 5 d.f.

letter sent relaying regrets inability attend inauguration .

prior commitment makes it impossible. all good wishes

extended jou lopez portillo.

Rabbi Alexander M. Schindler



# Telegram

DCA 022) 1006() 1-005 188A321(PD 11/16/76 1004

ICS IPMDONT NYK

ZCZC Ø1026 )1757 2-176093G320( MEXICOTY 50/47 11-15 1530

ICS IPMDC31

XDB 142

RABBI ALEXANDER M SCHINDLER CHAIRMAN

CONFERENCE OF PRESIDENTES OF MAJOR

AMERICAN JEWISH ORGANIZATION ) DLR ERFUSED OVER FONE(

515 PARK AVE NYK

REGARDING PREVIOUS TELEGRAM INVITATION INAGUARATION JOSE LOPEZ

PORTILLO PRESIDENT OF MEIXO DECEMBER 1 COMMA PLEASE REPLY

VIA TELEX OR TELEGRAM TO MIGUEL GAJARDO OFICINA TRANSMISION

PDERES PRESIDENCIALES HOTEL CAMINO REAL MARIANO ESCOBEDO

700 MEXICO 5 D F STOP TELX 01772493 STOP

TELEPHONE 545-1768 OR 545-1261 STOP SICNERELY

MIGUEL GUAJARDO

SF-1201 (R5-69)

December 15, 1976

Lic. Gustavo Carvajal Moreno El Secretario Particular President of Mexico Mexico D.F.

Dear Mr. Carvajal Moreno:

Many thanks for your gracious letter in behalf of President Lopuz Portillo. I, too, am delighted that we had a chance to meet in Washington and found our meeting to be a most salutary experience.

Please convey my deep appreciation to the President for sharing with me a cipy of his book "Genesis y Teoria General dell Estado Moderno." It will be a treasured part of my library.

With every good wish, I am

Sincerely,

Alexander M. Schindler

November 10, 1976

Lic. Jose Lopez Portillo Constitutional President Elect of Mexico Hotel Camino Real Mariano Escobedo 700 Mexico 5, D.F.

Dear Mr. Lopez Portillo:

It is with profound personal regret that I must decline your most cordial invitation to attend the ceremonies marking your inauguration as President of Mexico. A long-standing prior commitment simply precludes my being in Mexico On December 1, 1976.

I am deeply grateful for the invitation and I also want to note that I am pleased to see some of the altered positions of Mexico within the United Nations. May I take this opportunity to express my warm good wishes to you and the prayer that you will lead your country into the future with confidence, compassion and strength.

With hearty congratulations and every good wish, I am

Sincerely,

Alexander M. Schindler

cc: Mr. Miguel Guajardo B.

Miguel Guajardo B.
Oficina de Transmision de
Poderes Presidenciales
Hotel Camino Real
Mariano Escobedo 700
Mexico 5, D. F.
(905) 545-6960

Miguel Guajardo B. c/o Vince Hodgins 405 Park Avenue - 1002 New York, N.Y. 10022 (212) 755-7212 Mexico City, October 1976.

Dear Mr. Shindler:

On next December 1st., I will have the honor of assuming the Constitutional Presidency of Mexico for the 1976-1982 period through the election of my fellow citizens which took place on July 4th.

In such a meaningful event and being aware of your friendship and interest in Mexico, I would be very pleased to begin my term with your attendance to the ceremonies covering the change of government. I am positive that this occasion will allow you to renew your warm feelings towards our country.

Sincerely,

Lic. José López Portillo Constitutional President

Elect of Mexico

November 1, 1976

Rabbi Alexander M. Schindler Chairman Conference of Presidents of Major American Jewish Organizations 515 Park Avenue New York, N.Y.

Dear Mr. Schindler:

As the result of the elections held on July 4 of this year, Mr. Jose Lopez Portillo will be inaugurated as President of the United States of Mexico on December 1, 1976 in Mexico City.

President-elect Lopez Portillo, aware of your friendship and interest in our country, has asked me to extend to you his cordial invitation to this ceremony and to the other public functions celebrating his inauguration, confident that this occasion will serve to strengthen these ties even further.

Please let me know if you will attend, so that we can send you the corresponding program. Also, please advise if you will be accompanied by a member of your family, in order that we may make the necessary arrangements.

We look forward to hearing from you and to the opportunity of being with you on that occasion.



c/017 m/ m/223



## **Telegram**

NYEO10(0044)(2-000495G314)PD 11/09/76 0043
ICS IPMIIHA IISS
IISS FM TCX 09 0043
PMS NEWYORK CITY NY
XDA045
UMNX CO MEME 075

MEXICO DF 75/73 & 2105

MR RABINO SCHINDLER

515 PARK AVE NEWYORK CITY NY

ON THE OCCASION OF THE TRANSMISSION OF THE EXECUTIVE
POWER AND KNOWING YOUR INTEREST IN MEXICO MR JOSE
LOPEZ PORTILLO PRESIDENT ELLECT OF MEXICO HAS INSTRUCTED
ME TO INVITE YOU TO THIS SIGNIFICATIVE EVENT THE 1ST OF
DECEMBER EXPECTING YOU WILL BE ABLE TO ATTEND THE METIONED

CEREMONY I WILL AWAIT YOUR REPLY BEFORE THE 15TH OF



## **Telegram**

NOVEMBER OUR TELEX NUMBERS ARE 017-9973 AND 017-9974

LIC GUSTAO CARVAJAL PRIVATE SECRTARY OF THE PRESIDENT ELECT OF MEXICO
COL 017-9973 017-9974

NNN
NNNN

## September 23, 4976

Mr. S. Lack 6867 Wynnwood Drive Houstonm Texas 77008

Dear Mr. Lack:

Al Vorspan has told me of his conversation with you and your concern regarding the relationship between the American Jewish community and the government of Mexico. I was pleased to learn of your interest and your desire to share some of your thoughts as to a process which might lead to amelioration of the problem.

I believe when Al spoke with you he indicated that we are quite hopeful that there will be a change in the relationship once the elections take place in Mexico and a new president is inaugurated. During recent days I have had some meetings with a few people who are very close to the president-elect, people who may well be quite important in the new administration, and I am indeed hopeful that the very near future will bring a positive change.

Needless to note, should this not be the case, I would welcome an opportunity to hear some of your suggestions as to ways and means of asserting pressure which might be of assistance. We could chat by telephone or, if you visit New York with any frequency, I would most certainly be delighted to meet you and have a chance to speak with you during such a visit to our city.

May I take this opportunity to extend to you and your dear ones every good wish for a happy, healthy and fulfilling New Year.

Sincerely.

## Alexander M. Schindler

P.S. After dictating this letter I had occasion to meet with the Mexican Ambassador and the president-elect of Mexico, with whom I was very much impressed. While there are no guarantees, I do feel that patience on our part is indicated.

## **MEMORANDUM**

To _	Rabbi A. M. Schine	dler From	A. Vorspan	Date _	9/20/76
CC: .			P50	_	

Sandy Lack, a member of the board of Temple Emanue! of Houston, is deeply involved in the subject of our relationship with Mexico. He wants some ideas from us on how to use "backdoor influence", such as working with the Roman Catholic church, to put pressure on the Mexican government. I told him not to do anything until I talked with you.

He is very wealthy and very pro-Union, and I think we should give him some attention. His address is 6867 Wynnwood Drive, Houston 77008.

Anyway, I told him to cool everything because of its delicacy, until Echeverria goes out at least. Nonetheless, I think a thoughtful letter from you would be much appreicated.

August 5, 1976

Mr. Edward T. Wilkes Apartado Postal 90 Ajijic, Jal. Mexico

Dear Mr. Wilkes:

As I am the present Chairman of the Presidents' Conference, Rabbi Miller has shared with me your letter of July 20.

I want to express my appreciation to you for writing as you did and for sharing your thoughts. We are deeply grateful and I want you to know that many of us feel just as you do in regard to the situation. It was thus good to have your comments underscore our own instinctive reactions.

With every good wish and kindest greetings, I am

Sincerely,

Rabbi Alexander M. Schindler Chairman

Apartado Postal 90 Ajijic, Jal. Mexico July 20, 1976

The Chairman Conference of Presidents of Major Jewish Organizations

### Esteemed Sir:

The enclosed cancelled check is only a means I chose to get you to listen to me. Those who help you when you need it have already proved that they are friends.

I am concerned that three times this country has placed itself by its vote in the U.N., as well as a recent statement condemning the Israeli rescue in Uganda, on the side of the enemies of Israel.

What I want to emphasize is that neither in the government here, or among the people is there anything that can be properly described as anti-Semitism. What there is, no doubt is something as bad or worse. I fear that certain persons, in order to further their personal ambitions, and achieve power in the Third World, with the help of Arabs and Communists, will continue to issue these unfriendly statements, and are willing to risk Jewish boycotts to achieve their ends.

It comes down to this - against the anti-Semite one can be constantly on the alert, but against people who hypocritically profess friendship, and then knife you when you least expect it, what can you do?

This is the situation as I see it.

Sincerely,

Edward E. Wilkes

Edward T. Wilkes

Graubard delighted you want meeting as he has 1 p.m. date with Alemain....set our meeting earlier at his request....

11 a.m. pres, conf, office - Karen to contact:

✓ Graubard Matzkin

Miller

### XXXXXXXXXXX

Torczyner

- ~ Rosenthal
  - Hellman

Chernin

Baum or Maslow or someone from Congress plus  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{AMS}}$ 

told her if anyone else special from the committee should be included she should do so......

136/

Arnold Forster

Morton M. Rosenthal

January 21, 1976

Mexico

President Echeveria was pleased by the Presidents Conference statement, according to Eodgins. He reports that instructions have gone out to all Mexican officials that they make no comment, while the Jewish community is in the process of reformulating its position.

Our statement did not appear in the Mexican press, despite its having been put on the AP wire and presumably that of other wire services; Modgins does not know why.

The Mexican Jewish community had not, as of yesterday afternoon, publicly reacted to our statement of last Wednesday, despite my phone conversations with Mexico on Thursday, 1/15, in which we agreed that the Central Committee would send the statement to JTA at once. I was on the phone again yesterday, trying to get the statement to JTA in time for the Wednesday deadline of most angle-Jewish papers.

Hodgins told me that the Mexican Tourist Council will dedicate a larger portion of its 1976 advertising budget to Anglo-Jewish publications.

HHR/oss

co: B. Epstein

S. Graubard

Y. Hellman

L. Peirez

A. Schindler -

## REPORT TO THE CONFER NCE OF PRESIDENTS OF MAJOR

## AMERICAN JEWISH ORGAN, VATIONS



by

The Delegation to Mexico

January 14, 1976

On November 10, 1975, the United Nations General Assembly adopted a resolution declaring that Zionism is equivalent to racism. Mexico was one of tose voting for the resolution. Subsequently, thousands of Americans of all dominations cancelled scheduled vacation trips to Mexico. At the request of their memberships, Jewish organizations that schedule tours to Mexico suspended their tours. Many major American firms also cancelled conventions scheduled for Mexico.

A former President of Mexico, Miguel Aleman, who is now the Director of the Mexican National Tourist Council, requested a meeting with leaders of Jewish organizations. On November 25, such a meeting was held in New York. Mr. Aleman assured them of Mexico's friendship for Israel and Jews and suggested reinstating tours to Mexico. Mr. Aleman invited the group to come to Mexico that weekend to discuss the problem with President Echeverria.

Refusal to accept an invitation extended by the President of a country with close ties to the United States and Israel might be interpreted as a gesture of ill-will. On the other hand, there was reluctance to accept without assurances that the government of Mexico would no longer support anti-Zionist measures and a positive statement from the President of Mexico.

By December 10, we had assurance that this would be forthcoming, and it was decided to accept the invitation. Israel's Foreign Minister, Yigal Allon had expressed to the press satisfaction with Mexico's "retraction" on the issue of racism as expressed by Mexico's Foreign Minister, Emilio Rubasa, then on a visit to Israel.

On December 11, a delegation of representatives of major Jewish organizations with the approval of Rabbi Israel Miller, Chairman of the Presidents' Conference left for Mexico.

In Mexico, we were further encouraged by the tone and content of the joint communique issued the previous day, at the end of Mr. Rabasa's visit to Israel. It stressed mutual interest in closer cooperation and enumerated a number of positive developments: the opening of air routes between Mexico and Israel was to be negotiated; the Israeli theatre group was to visit Mexico; Mexico pledged to support efforts to secure peace in the Middle East in accord with U.N. Resolutions 242 and 338 and Foreign Minister Yigal Allon was officially invited to visit Mexico.

On the following day, we had an extended conference with President Echeverria. The remarks made by President Echeverria in the course of that conference were recorded; quotations set forth below were taken from the official transcript of the conference:

"Now, we are not anti-Zionist. We understand the historical and honorable meaning of Zionism. Mexico has also suffered much and the human conscience rebels against the persecution of the Jews; the ancient persecution and particularly that of Hitler, and we know what is the historical and moral significance of Zionism and we profoundly respect it."

"But if you ask me: 'What do you think of Zionism?' I tell you that it is profoundly honorable; that it has been a pillar of salvation and a road to survival for the Jewish people ..."

"Now, I tell you -- and this is being recorded and you can publish it -- that Zionism is profoundly honorable, the Jewish people, their historical struggle. For many years, Jews distinguished in sciences, art, research, technology and business have been among our best friends. We have sent -- and if they allow us we shall continue to send -- many students to Israel. There has never been any problems."

In further discussion with members of the delegation, the President affirmed that Foreign Minister Rabasa, in the course of his visits to Jerusalem last month, acted under his instructions when he stated that Zionism is not racism, and entered the various agreements mentioned in the joint communique. He also affirmed that Mexico, in the future, will not support any other anti-Zionism resolutions.

After the meeting with President Echeverria, a public statement was prepared in English, translated into Spanish and shown to the President, who agreed that it was a fair representation of his position. This statement, which was read at an official press conference, said, in part, "The President told that delegation that he in no way identifies Zionism with racism and that the votes of his government at the United Nations were not intended to convey that impression. He emphasized the right of the Jewish people to a national homeland in Israel." He also told us that he had taken steps "to insure that future votes by Mexico cannot be misinterpreted or misunderstood as equating Zionism with racism."

Since then, there have been several international meetings at which Mexico did not support resolutions which included references to the November 10 resolution attacking Zionism. Mexico absented herself from the vote at the December 17 UNESCO meeting in Paris on a resolution calling for insertion into an official UNESCO document of references to the November 10 resolution. Mexico, as well as the United States and other western powers, was absent from the vote at the International Civil Aviation Organization meeting in Montreal last month when a similar situation arose.

Contrary to the belief expressed by some that the resignation of Foreign Minister Rabasa meant a change in policy regarding Zionism and Israel, the new Foreign Minister, Alfonso Garcia Robles, stated that the change of ministers "does not imply any modification in the foreign policy of Mexico". President Echeverria, on January 2, similarly stated "The international policy will be the same, he who conducts it is the President of the Republic, who is the one responsible for foreign policy".

Speaking to reporters on January 8, Garcia Robles blamed the international news media for distorting Mexico's position on Zionism. Referring to the December 15 vote, he repeated the statement made by the Mexican delegate "our vote should by no means be interpreted as acceptance of the equation which some would make between Zionism and other policies, which like colonialism and "apartheid", Mexico has always condemned."

Another indication of Mexico's adherence to the policy enunciated by Foreign Minister Rabasa while in Israel and by the President to our delegation is the fact that the official transcript of our meeting was delivered to us by a special emissary of the government of Mexico on December 24, nine days after the U.N. vote which was accompanied by much misunderstanding and confusion.

The members of the delegation recognize that Mexico, alone among the 72 nations that supported the November 10 resolution, has taken the initiative to reestablish good relations between the peoples of Mexico and the United States. Encouraged by President Echeverria's frank remarks and by the actions taken by the Mexican Government since our maeting, we believe that President Echeverria, who alone is responsible for the Foreign Policy of Mexico, has made it clear beyond doubt that Mexican Foreign Policy will hereafter emphasize the positive aspects of Zionism, and will extend to Jews throughout the world the same friendship, affection and understanding which has long existed within Mexico itself.

#### NATIONAL JEWISH COMMUNITY RELATIONS ADVISORY COUNCIL

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE
Meeting of January 18, 1976

### Report on Status of FOREIGN AID BILL\*

Tomorrow, the Congress will reconvene after its long mid-winter recess and attention will be focused once again on what should be a priority for all of us, namely, passage of the Foreign Aid Bill, including the full \$2 and a quarter billion for Israel.

Thus far, progress on the bill has been satisfactory from our point of view. Just prior to the Congressional recess, the Senate Foreign Relations Subcommittee on Foreign Assistance, chaired by Senator Humphrey, completed its consideration of the aid bill and approved the full \$1 and a half billion in Administration-requested military credits and \$740 million in economic assistance for Israel.

This action is all the more encouraging when you consider the fact that in order to meet the guidelines set by the recently created Senate Budget Committee, it was necessary to reduce the overall foreign aid bill by almost half a billion dollars.

Insofar as aid to Middle Eastern countries is concerned, Egypt's assistance was reduced from \$750 million to \$725 million; Syria was cut from \$90 million to \$35 million; and Jordan was cut from \$77.5 million to \$52.5 million in economic aid and from \$176 million to \$126 million in military aid. Israel was the only country which suffered no cuts whatsoever.

In addition, the Senate Subcommittee adopted an amendment by Senator McGee requiring the Administration to waive repayment of one half of the one and one half billion dollars military credits to Israel and also requiring that the remaining \$750 million be lent to Israel on favorable terms with a ten year grace period to be followed by a twenty year repayment period.

Action by the House International Relations Committee was no less encouraging. The House Committee adopted an amendment by Representative Fascell of Florida that essentially paralleled the McGee amendment. Moreover, the House Committee not only approved the full amount for Israel but added an additional \$15 million in economic aid.

Over and beyond the dollar amounts involved, the Senate Subcommittee adopted an amendment cutting off military sales or programs in which a foreign country discriminates against U. S. citizens on the basis of race, religion, sex or national origin. The amendment does not provide for an overall ban but on a project by project basis. In the House, where an overall non-discrimination amendment has been defeated, an effort will be made to add the language of the Senate amendment. Consideration is also being given in the House to an amendment dealing with emigration of Syrian Jews.

The full Senate Foreign Relations Committee is likely to complete action on the bill within the next two weeks and floor action can be expected sometime

<sup>\*</sup>Based on information obtained from the American-Israel Public Affairs Committee (AIPAC) and its Director, Morris Amitay

around mid-February. As of now, it appears likely that the full authorization will be approved.

However, as you know, authorization is only one stage in the legislative process. Action must then be taken by the respective House and Senate Appropriations Committee and, of course, by both bodies as a whole. In the light of the economic situation here at home and the pressures on members of Congress in an election year to show budgetary restraints, it is very likely that cuts will be made in the appropriations stage. From all indications, it appears that the Administration will not oppose all cuts and, indeed, passage of the bill with less than a 10 per cent cut would represent a very significant victory. On the other hand, cuts above that amount would be disastrous for Israel and would require an all-out effort on our part.

There is one additional complicating factor that could have very serious consequences. The bill now under consideration is for the current fiscal year ending June 30. The Administration is called upon now to submit its budget requests for the 1977 fiscal year (beginning July 1) and there is a move to combine the 1976 and 1977 aid measures in a single package.

Such a move would be disastrous. If the Congress is presented with a request for \$7 to \$8 billion in foreign aid in a single package, the pressures for cuts, including cuts for Israel, will be overwhelming. Efforts are being made with the Administration and with Chairman Morgan of the House International Relations Committee not to follow this route. We should know the results of these efforts within the next week or ten days.

The immediate target, as indicated before, is the full Senate Foreign Relations Committee. Senators who are members of that Committee should receive a significant volume of mail from their constituencies urging them to support the bill as reported on by the Subcommittee.

#### Senate Foreign Relations Committee

Joseph R. Biden, Jr., Tennessee Joseph R. Biden, Jr., Delaware Clifford P. Case, Jew Jersey Frank Church, Idaho Dick Clark, Iowa Robert P. Griffin, Michigan Hubert H. Humphrey, Minnesota Jacob K. Javits, New York

Mike Mansfield, Montana
Gale W. McGee, Wycming
George S. McGovern, South Dakota
James B. Pearson, Kansas
Claiborne Pell, Rhode Island
Charles H. Percy, Illinois
Hugh Scott, Pennsylvania
Stuart Symington, Missouri

hn
011976
0,X,A,R INT(c)
ITF(c), EAC, CJF-EX

#### COMMUNITY RELATIONS ADVISORY COUNCIL

55 WEST 42 STREET, NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10036 . LO 4-3450

memo

January 19, 1976

TO:

NJCRAC and CJFWF Member Agencies

FROM:

Albert D. Chernin, Executive Vice Chairman

RE:

Important Timely Information from Executive Committee Meeting of January 18

This is the first of several contemplated transmittals of reports of proceedings of the NJCRAC Executive Committee meeting held yesterday (Sunday) in New York City. Included at this time are materials on:

- . Policy on Mexico
- . Status of Foreign Aid Legislation
- . Resolution on Attempted UN Bombings in New York City.

#### POLICY ON MEXICO

Last Wednesday (January 14) the Conference of Presidents, after receiving a report from the delegation that had gone to Mexico last December 12 to confer with Mexican President Echeverria about Mexico's support of anti-Zionist UN resolutions, took the following action:

"The Conference of Presidents of Major American Jewish Organizations notes an encouraging series of actions recently taken by the government of Mexico in its relationship to the Jewish people.

"In the light of these developments, a special committee has been appointed to reassess the Presidents Conference policy and to make appropriate recommendations in the near future."

At our Executive Committee meeting yesterday, Seymour Graubard, Chairman of the National Commission of the Anti-Defamation League of B'nai B'rith, who had been chairman of the delegation to Mexico, reported on the background of that action by the Presidents Conference. The gist of his presentation is emobied in the enclosed report, which was distributed to organizations comprising the Presidents Conference (of which the NJCRAC is one) by Rabbi Morton Rosenthal of ADL, as Secretary of the Presidents Conference Committee on Mexico. It reached us today.

Our Executive Committee directed that the member agencies be promptly apprized of this development, so that they would be in position to convey the information to their respective constituencies and others, in preparation for a possible change in policy. Please note, however, that the enclosed report is for your information, to be drawn upon to inform your membership, but not for reproduction, dissemination or release.

We shall continue to keep all of you closely informed, of course, as further developments occur.

#### THE FOREIGN AID BILL

The report to the Executive Committee by Theodore R. Mann, NJCRAC Israel Task Force Chairman, on the status of the legislation, is enclosed.

Also enclosed, as an aid in addressing communications to members of the Senate Foreign Relations Committee, is a list of the members of that committee. They may be addressed at: Senate Office Building, Washington, D.C.

We shall continue to keep you informed of developments, and will recommend interpretive activities when and as required.

#### RESOLUTION ON ATTEMPTED UN BOMBINGS

As most of you know from news reports, explosive devises were found last week in one of the UN buildings and at headquarters of foreign legations. The timing and locations of the attempted bombings led to speculation that they might have been the work of pro-Israel, anti-Arab elements. The Executive Committee wished to make clear the total opposition of the Jewish community relations agencies to terroristic violence, whatever its source, its motives or its objects. A copy of the resolution of the Executive Committee is enclosed. It is being released to the press and anything you can do to give it currency will be helpful.

We will send you further reports in the near future, from the Executive Committee proceedings, about the UN Security Council Middle East debate (with an address by Ambassador Simcha Dinitz), Soviet Jewry, and changing interreligious relationships.

## Mational Jewish Community Relations Advisory Council



CONSTITUENT ORGANIZATIONS: American Jewish Committee, American Jewish Congress, B'nai B'rith Anti-Defamation League, Jewish Labor Committee, Jewish War Veterans of the USA, National Council of Jewish Women, Union of American Hebrew Congregations, Union of Orthodor Jewish Congregations of America, United Synagogue of America — and state, county and local Jewish community relations councils in cities throughout the United States

55 West 42nd Street, New York, N.Y. 10036

(212) 564-3450

Samuel Spiegler, Director of Information

#### FOR RELEASE ON RECEIPT

New York, N. Y., January 19, 1976 -- A broadly representative council of Jewish organizations yesterday (Sunday) denounced those responsible for the attempted bombings last week of the UN and offices of foreign nations in New York City.

The Executive Committee of the National Jewish Community Relations Advisory Council, at its quarterly meeting, said that, while it deemed the presence of the "murderously terroristic PLO" at the UN Security Council "morally indefensible and injurious to progress toward Middle East peace," this does not justify violence in protest.

The national organizations that are affiliated with the NJCRAC, and all of which joined in the statement, are

American Jewish Committee

American Jewish Congress

B'nai B'rith--Anti-Defamation League

Jewish Labor Committee

Jewish War Veterans of the U.S.A.

National Council of Jewish Women

Union of American Hebrew Congregations

Union of Orthodox Jewish Congregations of America

United Synagogue of America

The text of the statement of denunciation follows:

"Terrorism in all its manifestations is abhorrent, whoever its perpetrators or its victims and whatever its objectives. The national Jewish organizations and Jewish community relations councils in cities throughout the United States that comprise the National Jewish Community Relations Advisory Council have consistently denounced and condemned all forms of terrorism.

"We now join in condemnation of the attempted bombings of UN buildings and foreign consulates last week during the meeting of the UN Security Council.

"The presence at the Security Council meeting of the murderously terrorist PLO -- the participation of which we regard as morally
indefensible and injurious to all hopes for progress toward Middle East
peace, as well as contrary to the UN Charter -- does not justify the use
of violence in protest.

"Such violence is not only reprehensible. Its perpetrators are grossly mistaken in their calculation of its effects. It can only inflame passions and impede peaceful resolutions.

"Happily, discovery of the bombs last week before they detonated prevented loss of life or injury or severe damage to property. Their placement nevertheless were criminal acts and we hope that those responsible will be apprehended and punished."

hn 011976 0,X,A JTA, NYP, Neg.Pr. Be that as it may, I do want to thank you once again for your gracious hespitality and hope that you will remember me to your good wife, she made a most charming dinner companion, you are lucky.

With every good wish and kindest greetings, I am

Sincerely,

Alexander M. Schindler

bcc: Ambassador Simcha Dinitz Yehuda Hellman

February 12, 1976

His Excellency, The Israel Ambassador Simcha Dinitz Embassy of Israel 1621 22nd Street, Northwest Washington, D.C. 20008

Dear Simcha:

The enclosed is self-explanatory. It was a most pleasant evening. On at least five or six occasions the Ambassador urged us individually or collectively to fly to Mexico as soon as possible and as the guests of the Government. We demurred on the basis of our many prior commitments but in that connection offered the hope that some overt actions by the Mexican Government, indicating its reversal in policy, would make us really want to go on such a trip. I may stop in to see the Ambassador next time I am in Washington. He indicated that his brother-in-law will be the new Mexican Ambassador to the United Nations. His name, I believe, is Rosenzweig Diaz (?).

With warmest regards, I am

Sincerely,

Alexander M. Schindler

P.S. Hope you feel better! The Miller function was very nice.

#### February 12, 1976

His Excellency, The Mexican Ambassador Jose Juan de Olloqui Embassy of Mexico 2829 16th Street, Northwest Washington, D.C.

My Dear Mr. Ambassador:

Thank you very much for your warm hospitality. It was a delighful evening, thanks to the graciousness with which you received it.

Most likely you saw an article which appeared on page 5 of today's New York Times which relates to the lenghty page 2 article which appeared in the January 28 issue of the Times.

Then, I reported for the Sub-Committee of the Conference of Presidents of Najor American Jewish Organizations, which I head as its Chairman, and that report recommended that our Organizations call off the boycott. The enclosed article of February 12 indicates that my organization, the Union of American Habrew Congregations, which cancelled some thirty trips last November, has now determined to resume its tours to Mexico.

I cannot underscore enough what I tried to indicate to you last night, that it is of signal importance that the Mexican Government express its truer feelings toward the Jewish people whenever it is possible to do so in the Council of Nations. In that connection, may I remind you also of my suggestion about the Brussels Conference which will be held February 17-19 and which will discuss the problem of Soviet Jewry. While I understand the problem which you explained, you ought to remember that this is not an Israeli cultural event, that its theme is the freedom of people to migrate in our world and urges all nations, and in particular the Soviet Union, to abide by the many Conventions, including the Helsinki declaration on human rights of which they are a signator. If your Ambassador cannot appear, perhaps your country can do what President Ford has done and that is to write a letter to the American delegation to the Conference, conveying his good wishes for success to them rather than to the total assembly and, as you know, a number of Mexican Jewishhcitizens will attend the Conference in Brussels.

February 25, 1976

Mr. Bennet Kleinman 1300 Bond Court Building East 9th Street at St. Clair Cleveland, Ohio 44114

Dear Mr. Kleinman:

The many questions which you raise in your letter of February 10 merit a detailed answer and I hope that you will forgive me if I give you a kind of telegraphic response, merely to assure you that I do not take your request for information lightly and that I deem its substance of importance.

1) I did not say that the Conference of Presidents of Major American Jewish Organizations has been too subservient to the desires and the requests of the Government of Israel. What I did say was that "it does not serve the cause of Israel well if this Conference were to be regarded in Washington and elsewhere as an extension or instrumentality of the State of Israel rather than as the spokesmen for the American Jewish Community."

I hope that you fully understand the force of the distinction between the statement as I made it and as you perceived it.

2) I did not say that the Conference would now take a stand in opposition to Israeli proposals. What I did say is the following! "It is our obligation to tell Israel the truth as we perceive it, to offer to Israel the very best of our thinking and never to say to Israelis only what we think Israel wants to hear."

I think that you will agree that such an honest approach is most helpful. Self-delusion grows when it is re-echoed. Unhappily, I have too often been at meetings with Israelis during which American leaders said one thing and then when they met around a different table they said an entirely different thing and when challenged responded by saying, "oh, what's the use."

Mr. Bennet Kleinman Page -2-February 25, 1976

- 3) I do not agree with you if I really understand you correctly, that Israel and the American Jewish community are completely interdependant, that whatever Israel does effects the American Jewish community and whatever American Jewry does effects our brothers in Israel. We both of us, it seems to me, have the right to say to one another just how these actions might have their impact to one of these two interdependant groups and then reach our decisions independantly with that further knowledge.
- 4) As to the matter of tourism to Mexico and the boycott, I want to make it clear that the Presidents' Conference never called the boycott and therefor could not cancel the boycott as it could not undue what it did not do in the first instance. A number of organizations with tour programs determined each for themselves to cancel yours to Mexico and in most instances the constituency of the organization called for the boycott.

There was a sub-committee of the Presidents' Conference which studied this matter and they recommended to the organizations of the Conference that a change in the Mexican government policy toward Israel and the Jewish people has taken place and that this change warranted reconsideration of the boycott, but each organization was and is free to decide as it deems fit. The men and women who sit on the sub-committee are all of them devoted Jews and it was only after a real struggle of mind and heart that they reached their decision. To this I must also add a note that there were many compelling and good and sufficient reasons for a reversal of policy, many of which cannot be put into writing.

With kindest greetings, I am

Sincerely,

Alexander M. Schindler

#### February 13, 1976

Mr. Bennet Kleinman 1300 Bond Court Building East 9th Street at St. Clair Cleveland, Ohio 44114

Dear Mr. Kleinman:

Rabbi Schindler left early this morning for engagements in the midwest to be followed by a series of meeting out-of-the-country. He will not return to the office until the 1st of March.

Your letter of February 10, which arrived after his departure, will be held for his return.

With kindest greetings, I am

Sincerely,

Edith J. Miller Assistant to the President

KAHN, KLEINMAN, YANOWITZ & ARNSON ATTORNEYS AT LAW BENNET KLEINMAN BENNETT YANOWITZ 1300 BOND COURT BUILDING ARMOND D. ARNSON HAROLD H. KAHN BERNARD R. HOLLANDER (1906-1970) SHELDON BERNS EAST NINTH STREET AT ST. CLAIR AVENUE BERNARD J. STUPLINSKI LAWRENCE C. SHERMAN CLEVELAND, OHIO 44114 ROBERT G. MARKEY NEIL KURIT (216) 696-3311 THOMAS L. DETTELBACH GERALD I. ARNSON LAURENCE GLAZER JEFFREY L. KLEIN MICHAEL H. DIAMANT GARY D. GREENWALD February 10, 1976 Rabbi Alexander Schindler, 838 Fifth Avenue, New York, New York 10021.

Dear Rabbi Schindler:-

I have been reading recently some of the releases in the newspapers quoting you with regard to several matters which have given me some concern.

I am sure you are aware that your statement, that you believe that the Conference of Presidents of Major Jewish Organizations has been too subservient to the desires and requests of the Government of Israel, has received considerable circulation.

You indicate that the Conference would now take a different stand in this respect and the implication is that it would not be as agreeable with Israeli proposals as has been true in the past.

I am much concerned about these kind of expressions, since I believe that no one who does not live in Israel can conscientiously tell Israel how they should run their affairs, particularly as they regard matters of security.

I would, therefore, like very much to hear from you as to precisely what activities of the Conference you have reference to, and as to what matters you would take different positions than has been true in the past. It would also interest me to know just how the opinions and positions of the Conference would be made available to the Israeli Government, that is to say, whether in private conferences or in public statements.

The other matter I have reference to relates to the change in position of the Conference with regard to tourism to Mexico.

It appears to me that if the Jewish "boycott" of tourism to Mexico was ever justified - and I believe that most

American Jews believe that to be so - then there does not appear to me to be any change in the attitude of the Mexican Government towards Israel and the Zionist movement which would call for an elimination of the "boycott."

If you have information to the contrary, it would be of great interest to me to hear from you just what changes have taken place to call for this change in position.

Your response to these questions would be greatly appreciated.

Very truly yours,

Bennet Kleinman

BK:MA

February 13, 1976

Mr. Joel Kirsch 139 Evans Road Bloomfield, N.J. 07003

Dear Mr. Kirsch:

Your letter of February 8 makes assumptions which are erroneous on several counts and it would be helpful to everyone concerned if you were to ascertain the facts before your condemn.

- a) The Presidents' Conference never called the boycott and the Presidents' Conference never cancelled the boycott as it could not undo what it did not do in the first place.
- b) A sub-committee of the Presidents' Conference recommended to our constituency that a change in the Mexican government's policy toward Israel and the Jewish people has taken place and that this change warranted reconsideration of the present policy, but each organization is free to decide as it sees fit. The men and women who sit on the sub-committee are all of them devoted Jews and if you had seen the real struggle of mind and heart which led them to this decision you would react quite differently, and hoperully more judiciously. We Jews have enough problems from those who hate us without attacking each other.
- c) Obviously, there were many good and sifficient reasons for reversing our stand, many of which cannot be put into print.

Sincerely,

Alexander M. Schindler Chairman

Tel. 8, 1976 alexander Skindler: Cloudy & Believe myeyes when I read the N.y. Times story of how you and your Lacto boysott of merico. How quickly you forget 11 Imagine a country like Mexico voting to equate all Italians with Corruption. Would the leading talian groups forget a months later and Build up the ountry's economy. your midlette was tragic. I call upon you

## CONFERENCE OF PRESIDENTS OF MAJOR AMERICAN JEWISH ORGANIZATIONS

515 PARK AVENUE NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10022

Cable Address: COJOGRA

PLaza 2-1616

Members associated in the Conference of Presidents of Major American Jewish Organizations

From: Arthur Levine, Acting Chairman

We believe you will be interested in the following statement issued today by the Presidents Conference.

The Conference of Presidents of Major American Jewish Organizations deplores the letter sent on July 9 to the President of the United Nations Security Council by Mexico's Permanent Representative to the U.N.

In that letter, the Mexican Ambassador to the U.N. -- who made clear that he was acting under the direct instructions of President Echevarria -- expressed the view that Israel's act of self-defense in rescuing its citizens from Uganda was a "flagrant violation" of the U.N. Charter and of international law and would "create precedents of incalculable danger for all civilized coexistence."

We regard that comment as a false and misleading statement of international law and an immoral assessment of the meaning of the rescue at Entebbe, an assessment which can serve only to encourage future terrorist activity. In condemning Israel for striking a blow against Arab terrorism, President Echevarria condones the action of governments that cooperate with terrorists, as Uganda clearly did in the case of the hijacked Air France plane. In opposing the right of Israel to defend the lives of

its citizens, President Echevarria joins hands with the very terrorists he claims to oppose.

We are confident that these views do not represent the sentiments of the Mexican people but rather the personal ambition of Mr. Echevarria, a lame-duck president whose term expires within a few months and who is apparently still seeking to court favor with the Afro-Asian bloc whose votes he needs to win election to a sought-after U.N. post.

Earlier this year, Mr. Echevarria gave assurances to American Jewish community leaders that Mexico's vote in support of the anti-Zionism resolution at the United Nations did not represent any anti-Israel sentiment on the part of his government. These assurances have now been violated. Indeed, the statement in his letter to the U.N., which accepts at face value the denial by the terrorist P.L.O. of any responsibility for the hijacking, is nothing less than a whitewash of the P.L.O., which has vowed to destroy Israel. Mr. Echevarria knows that responsibility for the hijacking was claimed by the Popular Front for the Liberation of Palestine, a member of the P.L.O.

That part of the Echevarria letter to the U.N. professing to condemn terrorism is hollow and empty of meaning. Well-established principles of international law accept the right of a state to rescue its citizens from any other state unwilling to protect them against terrorism.

A new President will take office in Mexico in December. We look forward to a more enlightened policy when that new administration assumes power, one that is in keeping with the long and honorable tradition of the Mexican people in support of the principles of international law, respect and friendship among nations, and human dignity.

XXX

7/14/76

CONFIDENTIAL.

September 9, 1976

His Excellency, The Israel Ambassador Simcha Dinitz Embassy of Israel Washington, D.C.

Dear Simcha:

Mr. Zapata from Mexico came to see me and we had a very pleasant and possibly fruitful conversation.

The thrust of his remarks was twofold:

A/ That there are absolutely no racial overtones to anything which Mexico did. Everything which it did was rooted in its overall foreign policy approach; and

B/ That one or two swallows do not a summer make and that Mexico's actions must be measured in wider terms.

In my response I pointed to that special bond which binds us to the Jewish people as a whole, making an attack on one of its parts an attack on the whole. I hit very hard on the fact that neither the anti-Zionist vote nor the post-Entebbe statement could be understood in Mforeign policy terms but, of course, I agreed with him that the past is the past, the future is important and that we on our part desire nothing more than full normalization of our relationship and a return to the friendship which obtained in the past.

We spoke a little bit about the issues which will come up before the United Nations in its coming session and I urged him to execute great care lest any action exacerbate the situation. I also suggested to him that the new President, in his inaugural address, might seize the occasion to say something and that if either of these were to transpite ---something positive in the U.N. and on the President's part --- the American Jewish leadership would certainly react favorably and publicly.

Ambassador Simcha Dinitz Spptember 9, 1976 Page -2-

I told Mr. Zapata how much we appreciate his past helpfulness (Chanan Aynor called me a day or so ago to tell me this is in fact so). Mr. Zapata expects to be in Israel in December. He speaks with a self-assurance and an authority which belie his years, he is a young man clearly on the move and with good sponsorship. His first wife was Jewish.

With warmest regards, I am

Sincerely,

Alexander M. Schindler

# CONFERENCE OF PRESIDENTS OF MAJOR AMERICAN JEWISH ORGANIZATIONS

515 PARK AVENUE

NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10022

Cable Address: COJOGRA

PLaza 2-1616

#### MEETING OF THE PRESIDENTS CONFERENCE Wednesday, 21 July 1976

The meeting was called to order by Acting Chairman Arthur Levine at 3:00 p.m.

Last week, on Wednesday, 14 July, a meeting was convened of those organizations maintaining travel programs to and offices in Mexico for the purpose of drawing up some guidelines in the wake of Mexico's submission of an unsolicited letter to the U.N. Security Council which accused Israel of having violated Uganda's sovereignty by means of its raid on Entebbe airport. A statement and a press release were prepared on the basis of that meeting. Normal Presidents Conference procedures were not followed in order to forestall accusations of being "the tail on the dog."

While the Mexican protest delivered by Ambassador Roberto de Rosenzweig Diaz was directed against Israel as a nation, the Presidents Conference statement was carefully worded so its thrust was not directed against either the Mexican people or the Mexican government but against President Luis Echeverria as a person. Nowhere in the statement was there any mention of a boycott.

Mr. Levine went into a brief analysis of the status of the Mexican Jewish community and their fears of a boycott and discussed the recent overt indications of what may well be the beginnings of a concerted anti-Semitic campaign in that country (the principals involved in a tax-fraud investigation now receiving prominent headlines in the Mexican press are, by chance, only Jewish; anti-Semitic cartoons are appearing in the Mexican dailies; and the silencing of Excelsior, a leading liberal Mexican newspaper and its conversion into little more than a house-organ for the Echeverria government which took place only days before the letter to the U.N. Security Council. Excelsior had criticized Mexico's anti-Zionist stand in the U.N. General Assembly and it had been anticipated that it would renew its criticism and oppose Echeverria's policy over Uganda; hence, the take-over.)

It was Mr. Levine's hope that out of this meeting the Presidents Conference would evolve some course of action based on what we know is happening and what we can reasonably predict may happen, thereby anticipating events rather than behaving reactively.

Rabbi Joseph Karasick (WJC) called the 30,000-member Jewish community living in Mexico a "hostage community" and stated his belief that it would be a bad idea to become embroiled in a "Mexican situation" without prior consultation with its Jewish community.

21 July 1976 Page two

It was Judge Paul Ribner's contention (JWV) that, inasmuch as Mexico is as anti-American as it is anti-Israel, we should appeal to the larger American community not to travel to Mexico. Judge Ribner went on to say that the Presidents Conference should issue a statement, the gist of which would be that until we see positive action on Mexico's part we shall encourage the American people to avoid: Mexican travels.

Charlotte Jacobson (WZO) opposed proclaiming a boycott, stating that one should simply and quietly not travel to Mexico.

Rabbi Balfour Brickner (UAHC) expressed the opinion that the Presidents Conference statement was "gornish." He went on to say that the people are way ahead of us. They feel they've been gulled and conned and, on their own, aren't going. We should, therefore, also follow our instincts and go along with what our constituents are saying and doing.

Bernard Weisberg (LZA) stated that he had been extremely disturbed to read about last week's Presidents Conference meeting in the New York Times rather learn about it from the Conference. "Thank God the statement was meaningless." Mr. Weisberg concurred with Rabbi Brickner about the memberships being ahead of their leaders. The LZA has received many phone calls from travel agencies as well as from its members asking for guidance.

Charlotte Stein (Pioneer Women) said that her organization has been swamped with calls and letters with regard to Mexico and emphasized that Pioneer Women has very quietly cut out its Mexican trips even though there is a Pioneer Women chapter in that country.

Rabbi Wolfe Kelman (RA) stated "it would be tragic if the Presidents Conference becomes involved" and felt that it was up to the individual organizations to make a decision.

Rabbi Walter Wurzburger (RCA) agreed with Rabbi Kelman, feeling it would not be a tragedy if the Presidents Conference did not take a stand. He felt that the best thing in this situation would be not to take action, leaving it up to the individual organizations. It was also his feeling that all mention of this particular meeting be striken from the record.

David Steifel (NAJYC) relt we should take extreme measures. The boycott was effective the last time and should be continued.

Al Chernin (NJCRAC) hoped we would examine this issue in a less charged atmosphere. Who is responsible for Mexico's policies today, he asked. Echeverria is a lame-duck president and will be relinquishing his position in December. Despite that, the mood of the Jewish community is right out of Clifford Odets' "Waiting for Lefty" -- "Strike!" Mr. Chernin also asked "What is the best strategy?" It was his feeling that we should quietly wait for the new president to take office. If we take a public stance we are going to force the incoming president into a corner whereby he'll feel he has no choice but to leap to Mexico's defense.

MEETING 21 July 1976 Page three

Consequently, perhaps it would be best for us to plan for a meeting with the Mexicans in December rather than lunge for the jugular to achieve an immediate catharsis.

Rose Matzkin (Hadassah) said "if we think that a Presidents Conference delegation to Mexico is going to affect Mexico's foreign policy, then we are deceiving ourselves." She stated that we should be just as angry with other nations (Brazil, other South American countries) and shouldn't act as though Mexico is the only unfriendly nation. But, she asked, what is the purpose of this meeting? What are we going to do? Issue another statement? Boycott? Individual organizational reactions? Would someone please clarify.

Rabbi Ira Youdovin (RA) said that now is not the time for a boycott; rather we should search for a half-way measure with which to establish credibility. In the meanwhile, organizations should quietly, without publicity, cancel travel arrangements to Mexico.

Dr. Harris Schonberg (B'nai B'rith) also felt that any contemplated actions should be left up to the individual organizations and, Arthur Levine, speaking in his capacity as president of the United Synagogue of America, agreed.

Phil Baum (AJCongress) interjected with a clarification of terminology, explaining that there is crucial difference between a boycott and a decision not to send tours to Mexico.

Rabbi Brickner suggested that the Presidents Conference issue a private memorandum fir dissemination among its constituent members, fully cognizant that it would become public knowledge. The statement he suggested was in effect that "while the Presidents Conference itself does not sponsor travel to Mexico, it does not look with disfavor upon those organizations who decide not to encourage further tourism to Mexico."

Rabbi Morton Rosenthal (ADL) reminded the Presidents Conference that the incoming president of Mexico wants greater American investments in that country and also wants the friendship of the American Jewish community. Rabbi Rosenthal went on to state that, while Mexico is an ostensible democracy, in actuality it is a dictatorship and its government is the rule of one man. For us to take an action which would create an unfavorable climate for him, he said, would make things that much more difficult. Therefore, the Presidents Conference should stand by the statement as is.

Dr. Aron Weinberger (JNF) said that while we should not encourage tourism to Mexico, the last thing we should do is take the public utterances of a "captive community" (as exists in Mexico) at face value. As a refugee from Poland he knows full well that minority communities often issue statements their governments wish to hear.

Rabbi Kelman once again stated that we should be quiet and leave well enough alone.

MEETING 21 July 1976 Page four

Charlotte Jacobson's feeling was that silence is wrong and extremism is also wrong. The dliemma facing us, however, is that our silence may be misinterpreted and therefore some statement should be made.

Phil Baum expressed the opinion that the meeting was "turning in on itself" and that further discussion would be fruitless. Nevertheless, he emphasized, the most salitory point would be to remain silent at this juncture.

Rabbi Brickner returned to his original suggestion, turning it into a motion for consideration by the Presidents Conference. The motion he proposed was that a statement be issued by the Presidents Conference for the use of its own constituents "not looking with disfavor upon those organizations who decide not to encourage further tourism to Mexico."

The motion was seconded.

With one person per organization voting on the motion, the vote was five in favor and twelve opposed.

The motion was defeated.

The meeting was adjourned at 4:45 p.m.

respectfully submitted by Joanne Jahr

August 24, 1979

Mr. Theodore R. Mann, Chairman National Jewish Community Relations Advisory Council 55 West 42nd Street New York, New York 10036

Dear Ted:

Once again, I urgently and formally request that a meeting of the NJCRAC Executive Board be convened. In addition to a full discussion of the substantive issues confronting our community, I ask that procedures also be placed on the agenda for careful scrutiny.

- 1/ Now nearly two weeks into the crisis, the organizations of the NJCRAC have not been convened in full to achieve a consensus of thought and action. Requests that such a meeting be called have consistently been ignored.
- 2/ Rump meetings of individual organizations were held in which the lay and/or professional leaders of the NJCRAC participated, but as of this writing the constituent organizations of the NJCRAC have not been informed concerning the ideas and directions emerging from these discussions.
- 3/ The NJCRAC published a statement in whose development we were not involved and which we never approved. And yet, the Union's name appears in these public documents as co-endorsee.

It is clear that the NJCRAC has failed to function in this crisis either effectively or in a professional manner.

Kindest greetings.

Sincerely,

Alexander M. Schindler

- 1. Now nearly two weeks into the crisis, the organizations of the NJCRAC have not been convened to achieve a concenus of thought and action. Requeststs that such a meeting be convened have been ignored.
- 2. Rump meeting of individual organizations were held in which the professional and lay leadership of the NJCRAC participated, but as of this writing the constituent organization of the NJCRAC have not been informed concering the thoughts and directions emerging from \*kix\* these discussions.
- 3. The NJCRAC pUblished a statement \*\*mx@Mxxmaxmex in whose development we were core not involved and which we never approved. A nd yet, the Union's name appears in these public documents a co-endorsee.

It is clear, that the NJRAC failed to function in this criss wither effectively or in a professional manner.

Market John Mark

gh/

Union of American Property Convinces

August 14, 1979

Mr. Theodore Mann Mann & Ungar 1711 Rittenhouse Square Philadelphia, PA. 19103

Dear Ted:

Our brief conversation at the conclusion of last Friday's meeting did not give us sufficient time to resolve the matter we discussed. In any event, I raised a procedural matter and the substantive issues which confronted us then were too important to be delayed. Still, the issue I raised is of sufficient weight from the long range point of view to compel me to voice my objection in writing.

As I told you, I do not want to have the Pæsidents' Conference reduced to be an adjunct of the NJCRAC. As the meeting evolved this is precisely what took place. In your opening remarks you indicated that you convened the Israel Task Force to pre-digest the problem and to recommend action to the Presidents' Conference. Al Chernin then got up and announced what "we were going to &o." At no point were we asked to approve or disapprove these suggestions, we were merely to comment, to modify, but not to decide.

This impression is further reinforced by your nationally distributed memorandum on the letterhead of the NJCRAC which begins with the sentence "The Presidents Conference, acting on the recommendations from the Stæategy Committee of the NJCRAC Israel Task Force...".

All of this is a denigration of the role of the Presidents' Conference. Why should any president of a national organization attend a meeting in which he or she is asked merely to rubberstamp the action of others. You left us with a veto, maybe; but you certainly denied us any deliberative role.

I am afmaid that you are confounding your two-fold function as chairman of the Presidents' Conference and chairman of the NJCRAC and you will have to make up your mind as to which master you are serving. You have no right unilaterally to strengthen the NJCRAC at the expense of the Presidents' Conference. As chairman of the Presidents' Conference you represent all of the national organizations which join that body and not only the NJCRAC nor only the defense agencies.

If. as you say, you would like to see a formal connecting link between the Pres. Conf. and the Israel Task Force, you have every right to have the procedural alteration considered by the Presidents' Conference but then you should formally present the matter to us for consideration. You

Mr. Theodore Mann August 14, 1979 Page -2-

have no right to establish this linkage by flat.

On another matter entirely, I am not happy to learn that the plans which were evolved last Friday and before that by the Israel Task Force have now been unilaterally discontinued (ads, etc.). I think that this is a serious mistake. I do not believe that anything that transpired over the week-end indicates a change in the Administration's position. It was a tactical move not a substantive alteration. The pressures should be continued.

Sincerely,

Alexander M. Schindler

cc: Mr. Yehuda Hellman

bcc: Mrs. Charlotte Jacobson



#### NATIONAL JEWISH COMMUNITY RELATIONS ADVISORY COUNCIL

55 WEST 42nd STREET, NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10036 . LOngacre 4-3450

#### URGENT!

#### REQUIRES YOUR IMMEDIATE ACTION!

August 10, 1979

TO:

NJCRAC and CJF Member Agencies

FROM:

Theodore R. Mann, Chairman

RE:

PLO, Arab Blackmail, Carter Administration and the Security Council.

The Presidents Conference, acting on the recommendations from the Strategy Committee of the NJCRAC Israel Task Force, called today for a major national effort to convey to the White House prior to the meeting of the U.M. Security Council on August 23 the profound apprehension of the Jewish community about a possible shift in American foreign policy toward the PLO.

This decision reflects the concern we expressed in Al Chernin's memorandum of August 3 "that the Administration's eagerness to involve the Palestinians in negotiations could lead to U.S. accommodations that would in the end legitimize the PLO." In short, what we fear is a tendency to appeare the PLO in response to Arab blackmail.

This tendency centers today on the negotiation of a resolution on the Palestinians to be considered by the Security Council on August 23. Thus, the immediate goal should be a clear and unambiguous demonstration of the U.S. rejection of cooperation with the PLO through an American veto on August 23 of a Security Council resolution on the Palestinians, even if such a resolution should use cosmetic language that might disguise its intent of enabling the PLO to affect the negotiating process.

#### RECOMMENDATIONS

This is a fundamental issue and will go beyond August 23 but what is required now in the next seven days are intensive activities on a national and local level that the White House will hear the message that the United States must not cooperate, directly or indirectly, with the PLO and change the ground rules of the Middle East peace process so carefully constructed in Resolutions 242 and 338 and at Camp David. To this end, we are calling for:

1. Massive outpouring of thousands of letters from the grassroots throughout the United States to the President of the United States; it means a concentrated use of resources, locally and nationally, in the next 7 days to activate the membership of constituent organizations of CRCs and chapters of national organizations. In August, memos by mail are not sufficient; it means direct contact with your constituencies, at least by telephone squads working nationally and locally.

- 2. Stimulate page one editorials in the Anglo-Jewish press for publication the weekend of August 17 -- the White House press digest includes the Anglos. Such editorial also should call for letters to the White House.
- 3. Special emphasis should be given to finding a prominent and authoritative voice in your area, possibly in academia or public affairs, to do an op-ed piece on the dangers of the U.S. drift toward the PLO. Nationally, similar efforts are being undertaken.
- 4. Encourage letters to the editor, particularly from prestige figures including members of Congress.
- 5. Daily newspapers also should be encouraged to editorialize about the PLO and Arab blackmail.
- 6. As we recommended in our August 3 memorandum, use the August recess of Congress as opportunity to meet with Congressmen on this issue (enclosed is a report from Houston about such a meeting).

Within the next 10 days, the Conference of Presidents will publish an ad in
The New York Times on the themes of "no appeasement of PLO terror and no surrender
to Arab blackmail." If communities are interested in running similar ads, please
call our office for the text.

We know it's difficult this time of year, but we cannot underestimate the importance of undertaking this crash seven-day program now.

#### ANALYSIS

Currently before the Security Council is a resolution on the Palestinians drafted with the approval of Arafat and introduced by Kuwait. That resolution, nodding to Security Council Resolutions 242 and 338 in its Preamble, calls for self-determination and national independence for the Palestinians. While the United States has stated that it will veto this resolution, it successfully sought a postponement of the previously scheduled meeting of the Security Council on this resolution in the hope that it could reach an understanding with the PLO through intermediaries on a mutually acceptable alternative resolution.

Even the attempt to reach agreement with the PLO on such a resolution is one more step in the "greening" of the PLO (as Nathan Perlmutter of the ADL put it) and one more step away from a clearly defined U.S. commitment to Israel that it will not deal with the PLO, unless two critical conditions were met. Those conditions are that the PLO must accept Security Council Resolutions 242 and 338 as the framework for negotiations and, equally important, recognize Israel's right to exist as a sovereign state. That commitment was explicitly statedin a U.S.-Israel Memorandum of Agreement. Secretary of State Henry Kissinger pledged to Israel Foreign Minister Yigal Allon in September 1975, in connection with the second Sinai Disengagement Agreement, that the United States would not recognize or negotiate with the PLO so long as it does not recognize Israel's right to exist and does not accept Security Council Resolutions 242 and 338. The Carter Administration has reaffirmed this commitment as recently as this past week.

Despite the reaffirmation of this commitment, Administration officials appear to continue to harbor the hope that it might get the PLO to implicitly accept Resolution 242 and Israel's right to exist through a new Security Council resolution whose operative paragraphs would make seemingly innocuous statements on the rights of Palestinians. This would represent an end around run of the U.S. commitment to Israel.

The reasoning of the United States seems to be along the following lines:

- 1. Essential progress must be made in the negotiations and that progress must be made soon -- reportedly in the next 10 weeks, according to The New York Times article of August 2.
- 2. Such progress requires the participation of the Palestinians.
- 3. To get the Palestinians to participate requires the cooperation or at least the acquiescence of the PLO.
- 4. This would induce Palestinian moderates to step forward to participate in the negotiations.
- 5. This would lead to an agreement which would cause the PLO to lose its grip and then disappear. To the state of the stat

This scenario looks at the PLO and the Middle East through "rose colored glasses," but it is not the reality of the Middle East. Moderates have been intimidated into silence by PLO terrorism and the acceptance of the PLO by the U.S. would reinforce that silence. Rather than cause the PLO to lose its grip, it would increase the power of the PLO over the Palestinian role in the negotiations ending in the emergence of a new Palestinian state, which President Carter himself believes would be a source of instability and a radical threat to other Arab states.

The framework for peace and responding to Palestinian aspirations exists in Security Council Resolutions 242 and 338, and is spelled out in detail in the Camp David agreement. Pulling a few phrases out of their Camp David context would undermine the significant achievement of Camp David of giving meaning in precise definitions to those phrases and thus retard the fulfillment of Palestinian aspirations. Palestinian moderates understand this; increasing the stature and influcence of PLO will not embolden moderates to speak on behalf of the Palestinians; just the opposite.

Despite the statement by Assistant Secretary of State Harold Saunders that there is an "evolution" in PLO attitudes towards Israel, even the State Department still concedes that Fatah, headed by Arafat, is the dominant element of the PLO and that "therefore major elements and important leaders of the PLO clearly advocate terrorism." It is known that Secretary of State Vance himself recognizes that a third condition, in addition to 242 and recognition of Israel's right to exist, that he stipulated in February 1977 is unlikely to be implemented, namely, that the PLO must revise its national covenant calling for Israel's elimination. What should be clear is that the PLO has not abandoned its goals nor its terrorist tactics. In its posture at the U.N. or Western Europe, it may trim its sails in order to appear respectable to the civilized world, following Lenin's tactics of two steps forward, one step backward, as it moves towards its ultimate goal of the destruction of Israel.

The Administration's justifiable concern about U.S. oil supplies has led them to analyses that blur these realities. The fact is that the long run U.S. energy crisis is not a function of the Arab-Israel conflict. The soaring cost of oil and its increasing scarcity is a direct result of economic considerations and the inherent instability of the suppliers as witness what took place in Iran. Thus caving into the Arab blackmail neither advances the movement toward peace in the

Middle East nor meets the energy needs of the U.S. It is a high price to pay just to meet temporary, short run dislocations. The American people understand that this great nation, if it is to continue its greatness, cannot permit itself to be a pawn of tinhorn despots.

\* \* \* \* \* \*

This is the message to get across to the White Houseprior to August 23. Obviously, it is a message which we will have to continue to stress after August 23 because of the fundamental nature of the problem.

Enclosed is a memorandum that the American Jewish Committee has sent to editors and broadcasters throughout the U.S. that provides a compendium of the key statements of U.S. officials concerning the Palestinians and the PLO. We also refer you to the material we sent with our August 3 memorandum, including a backgrounder, prepared by the San Francisco Jewish Community Relations Council on "The West Bank, the PLO and the U.S."

The NJCRAC Israel Task Force will meet on Friday morning, August 24, the day after the meeting of the Security Council, and we, of course, will continue to keep you advised of its assessments. In the meantime, please share with us reports of your letter-writing campaign; of meetings with members of Congress; and clippings of editorials, letters-to-the-editor and "op-ed" pieces.

April 7, 1976 Mr. Ely I. Bergmann Executive Director Bergmann Enterprises, Ltd. 215 North Flores Street San Antonio, Texas Dear Mr. Bermann: Your letter of 3/11 which reached me on my return from a brief journey to Israel, still does not persuade that we were in error in our judgment on Mexico, nor does it alter my view that there are reasons for this action which had better not appear in public print. I did not say that I would not share these reasons with you (that's the CIA way of which you accuse us). I'll be glad to share them with you - if you are in New York or I in San Antonio - or else you can feel free to pick up the phone. Sincerely,

Alexander M. Schindler

Chairman

From the desk of YEHUDA HELLMAN

YH has already read this.

515 Park Avenue

New York 22, N. Y.



#### BERGMANN ENTERPRISES, LTD.

215 N. FLORES ST.

SAN ANTONIO, TEXAS

ELY I. BERGMANN EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

March 11, 1976

Mr. Alexander M. Schindler, Chairman Conference of Presidents of Major American Jewish Organizations 515 Park Ave.
New York, N. Y. 10022

Dear Mr. Schindler:

Your letter of March 5th makes many assumptions which are erroneous, and it would be helpful for everyone concerned if you were to ascertain the facts before you began to preach. First of all, the information that was obtained, was so noted in the press and the press alone, without any qualifiers or qualifications such as you stated. If the news was so reported as to cause me to react, blame the bad press and not me. I am tired of having Jews become second rate citizens by extending their other cheek after the other had been duly slapped by a world body, and, at the same time the nation (Mexico) who voted against us, still maintains the integrity of her negative vote against Zionism.

Your lofty allusion to "many good and sufficient reasons for reversing our stand, MANY OF WHICH CANNOT BE PUT INTO PRINT", smacks of CIA-type stands taken to justify illegal actions. What are you now afraid of putting into print? Mexico put her vote on the line in the open, what you are doing is no better by being afraid to put it into print.

Let me tell you Eastern liberals something...my son went to the University of the Americas in Mexico City for 1 year; I have friends who have lived there for 30 continuous years and have been to Mexico over 100 times both as a tourist, and to visit my son at the university. The stories then, some 6 years ago, about that nation, reflect the most dictatorial police state second only to Brazily. In the event your press up there did not print the story about the situation in Mexican jails, I am enclosing an article for you to read. You want me to act more judiciously??? Don't be hilarious...I know a bit too much about Mexico, more than the average person, having attended Presidential inauguration of Lopez Mateos as a guest of the government and other official functions...over 10 years. It was good hearing from you,

Ely I. Bergmann

incerely,

## San Antonio Light

FIRST IN TEXAS COMMUNITY SERVICE

SUNDAY, FEBRUARY 29, 1976



# U.S. Suicide in Mazatlan Jail

## Torture Revealed On Tapes

By LARRY D. HATFIELD
Special to The Light

SAN FRANCISCO—A young American committed suicide in a Mexican jail last month rather than face torture for his part in an aborted escape attempt, according to tape recordings made by his fellow prisoners and smuggled to America.

The tapes, which were smuggled to the San Francisco Examiner, a Hearst newspaper, are from some of the 39 Americans in the federal prison in Mazatlan. They contain charges that U.S. agents stood by while Mexican police tortured arrested Americans and that a U.S. consular official advised prisoners not to complain to visiting state department investigators or there would be serious repercussions.

The Americans — mostly young and serving terms of five years or more for drug violations — repeated charges heard from other Mexican prisons of extortion, subhuman health and sanitation conditions and constant physical abuse.

Copies of the smuggled tapes are being supplied to Rep. Fortney Stark, (D-Calif.), who has been demanding that the U.S. government pressure Mexico to end widespread abuse of Americans in Mexican jails.

The information also will be furnished to the State Department, which has been attempting to document charges—of blackmail, torture and other abuses that were exposed in a series of Examiner stories from Mexico City 14 months

Accounts of the suicide were carried in the Mazatlan papers. The tape recorder was smuggled into the prison after a substantial bribe was paid to a prison guard. The recording sessions themselves were conducted at night, with lookouts watching for guards and loud music being played to conceal the interviews.

Names, ages and hometowns in the following account have been disguised to protect, insofar as possible, the American prisoners involved from retaliation.

"This is no joke," said one of the Americans involved in the clandestine taping. "This could get us killed. People in the States have a hard time realizing that."

In the compendium of terror on the contraband tapes, the most chilling story is that of Jack Closse, an American about 30 years old who shot himself to death Jan. 30 following an aborted escape attempt.

Vice Consul Don Parker, at the U.S. Consulate in Mazatlan, said he could not give details, including his hometown, about Closse because of newly enacted privacy laws. He said he could not discuss details of either Closse's incarceration or his death.

The Mazatlan tapes, however, offer a dramatic telling of what happened

(Continued, Page 20, Col. 1.)

## Tapes Tell of Suicide

(Continued from Page 1.)

to Closse, who was serving a 19-year prison term for drug and escape charges.

"The reason the guy committed suicide is because he didn't want to be tortured again," said a fellow American who said he watched Closse go into his cell following the break-up of the Jan. 30 escape attempt in which two Mexican prisoners were shot and killed.

Seconds later, there was a shot and when other inmates reached the cell, Closse's body was found laying face down in a pool of blood. He had shot himself with a 9mm pistol said to have been smuggled in by a Mexican inmate.

Fellow inmates in the "grande" section of the prison said Closse had "confessed" to a previous escape attempt two years ago. "Every escape in here always comes down on the Americans," said one prisoner. "The Americans always get the blame." That apparently is what happened in the 1974 attempt.

Closse was not involved in that attempt, other inmates said, but he was questioned about it by prison guards. During the questioning, they said, his arm was broken. The arm had been crippled and malformed in childhood by polio.

He confessed and his sentence was doubled to 19 years. "He just couldn't face going through it again," said a bitter fellow inmate.

Other Americans were tortured following the latest escape attempt, according to the tapes. One inmate, it was said, was "put in a burlap sack and dunked in the ocean until he confessed."

Most of those incarcerated in

Mazatlan were beaten when arrested, the young American reported.

Typical was a San Francisco resident who said he was arrested on drug possession charges, even though no marijuana or other drugs were found in his apartment.

He was beaten for two hours, he said, including being shocked on the testicles with electric prods and being pistol whipped "closing one eye and tearing my ear really bad." He said he signed a confession in Spanish, and was never offered the services of either a lawyer or interpreter.

Not until 10 days later did a representative of the U.S. Consulate show up, he said. "He (the vice consul) has caused more problems than he has straightened problems out," this inmate said.

Vice Consul Parker was the target of most of the prisoners' criticisms. Several of those speaking on the tapes said Parker repeatedly told them there was "nothing I can do."

A group of several of the American inmates said they had made what they considered confidential reports to Parker, only to suffere repercussions from the prison administration and the inmate committee which effectively runs the prison.

They also claimed Parker warned them about making complaints to visiting state department officials who visited various Mexican jails recently seeking to authenticate charges of abuse. The prisoners said as a result of the warning, they were circumspect with the investigators.

Several Americans also said agents of the U.S. Drug Enforcement Administration stood by, and in a couple of instances offered advice, while they were being tortured after their arrests.

There were also reports of evil sanitation conditions. One inmate said he had run out of money so was forced to house himself in "the pit," a tar-paper covered, concrete-floored area between the two main cellblocks of the prison. If an inmate can pay enough to the Mexican convicts who run the prison under the aegis of the warden, they can have private or semi-private cells, American inmates said. If not, they go to the pit.

"It isn't so bad," this inmate said, "you get used to sleeping skin-to-skin with fellow inmates and to being kicked in the head and other parts by people making it to the john. But it has some drawbacks.

"As a matter of fact, there are two sick guys upstairs with hepatitis," he said. "And they wash all their fecal matter and vomit down on top of us and it leaks on my bed and everywhere, on the ground. It's pretty gross."

The question of health care was one of the inmates' most urgent concerns. Several said they were denied medical care for various ailments, including one who has suffered from symptoms of amoebic dysentary for five months without being treated. Frequent appeals: to American consular officials have yielded no results, the inmates charged.

Like in most Mexican (and American) prisons, drug traffic is unregulated. One midwestern inmate in his mid-20s said on the tape he has become a junkie since going to the Mazatlan prison.

He was arrested on drug charges but was not a heavy drug user, the inmate said. He did confess to being an addict because under Mexican law, that carries lighter penalties.

hopefully more judiciously. We Jews have amough problems from those who hate us without attacking each other.

- d) Obviously, there were many good and sufficient reasons for reversing our stand, many of which cannot be put into print.
- e) In terms of the UAHC tour program and travel to Mexicon the matter received full and thorough discussion by our Officers and Executive Committee. They made the decision to resume tours to Mexico, it was not a unilateral decision on my part.

Sincerely,

Alexander M. Schindler Chairman

EXECUTIVE OFFICES



#### BERGMANN ENTERPRISES, LTD.

215 N. FLORES ST.

SAN ANTONIO, TEXAS

ELY I. BERGMANN EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

February 25, 1976

Rabbi Alexander M. Schindler, Chairman Presidents' Conference Conference of Presidents of Major American Jewish Organizations 838 5th Ave. New York 10021

Dear Rabbi Schindler:

article & apolicy of the littede cal As-When I opened my Temple Beth-El Bulletin, I noted an article of American Jews towards Mexico, after Mexico's vote in the General Assembly regarding Zionism and their abstention on the SAME ISSUE in the United an article of American Jews towards Mexico, after Mexico's vote in the General Assembly regarding Zionism and their abstention on the SAME ISSUE in the United an article of American Jews towards Mexico, after Mexico's vote in the General Assembly regarding Zionism and their abstention on the SAME ISSUE in the United an article of American Jews towards Mexico, after Mexico's vote in the General Assembly regarding Zionism and their abstention on the SAME ISSUE in the United an article of American Jews towards Mexico, after Mexico's vote in the General Assembly regarding Zionism and their abstention on the SAME ISSUE in the United American Jews towards Mexico, after Mexico's vote in the General Assembly vote, they did not have the dealers of the contract of the contract

where do you people get the audacity and authority to speak for the Jewish people? You do not speak for me or my family; you are nothing but a pack of blind group of well-meaning souls who are totally ignorant on the real Mexico. Your farcical pronouncement is ludicrous as well as ill-timed. Mexican Jews have never. REPRAT NAMED 1 as first class citizens as Mexicans; in the press they are referred to as "extranjeros" or foreigners although they have been fully naturalized and/or born in Mexico. Furthermore, hundreds of Americans are languishing in filthy jails waiting for trials on trumped up charges and have to bribe jailers and wardens to get food. You call this a liberal country? What are you Rabbis doing up there, thinking with your hearts or with your brains?

This was an excellent opportunity to wrong many rights; it showed that we Jews have a clout but you misguided religious liberals, totally ignorant in the facts of life decided that "we are no longer confronting an adversary, but have regained a friend." You are frankly nuts or crazy or both. There are some 40,000 Jews in Mexico but Mexico affronted millions of Jews in the United States and throughout the world and you have the chutzpah to overlook this? Mexico's vote is a matter of legal record and until she abrogates her vote publically, I do not intend to follow your instructions; furthermore, my wife and I belong to some 6 Jewish organizations, and the first one that organizes trips to Mexico will cause me to resign at once and each group that goes into Mexico will lose a member. Once I am called a racist, and my enthic group is so identified, nothing will change my mind until the scum who made the prouncement is out of office, official apologies are made and a positive stand is taken ... Mexico has not done that. If you and your ilk wish to love Mexico and go there, that's your business but don't you ever presume that you are talking for all Jews and especially me.

Very truly yours,

Ely I. Bergmann

Mr. Ely I. Bergmann 215 North Flores Street San Antonio, Texas

Dear Mr. Bergmann:

Your letter of February 25 makes assumptions which are erroneous and it would be helpful for everyone concerned if you were to ascertain the facts before you condemn.

- 2) I did not speak for you nor for the Jewish people in making my report on travel to Mexico. I spoke for those organizations which elected me to a leadership position.
- b) The Presidents' Conference never called the boycott and the Presidents' Conference never cancelled the boycott as it could not undo what it did not do in the first place.
- c) A sub-committee of the Presidents' Conference recommended to our constituency that a change in the Mexican Government's policy toward Israel and the Jewish people has taken place and that this change warranted reconsideration of the present policy, but each organizations is free to decide as it sees fit. The men and women who sit on the sub-committee are all of them devoted Jews and if you had seen the real struggle of mind and heart which led them to this decision you would react quite differently, and hopefully more judiciously. We Jews have amough problems from those who hate us without attacking each other.
- d) Obviously, there were many good and sufficient reasons for reversing our stand, many of which cannot be put into print.
- e) In terms of the UAHC tour program and travel to Mexicon the matter received full and thorough discussion by our Officers and Executive Committee. They made the decision to resume tours to Mexico, it was not a unilateral decision on my part.

Sincerely,

Alexander M. Schindler Chairman March 30, 1976

Mrs. Daniel Nathans 2227 Crest Road Baltimore, Md. 21209

Dear Mrs. Nathans:

Please forgive the delay in responding to your letter in regard to travel to Mexico. I have been doing a great deal of travelling during the past few months and I trust you will forgive this late response.

First I must clarify the fact that the Presidents' Conference never called a boycott of travel to Mexico. This was done by the various organizations with travel programs. The Presidents' Conference itself is not involved in any way in tour programs to any country.

A sub-committee of the Presidents' Conference did undertake a study of the situation regarding Mexican travel and they recommended to the constituency that a change in the Mexican Government's policy toward Israel and the Jewish people had taken place and that this change warranted reconsideration. However, each organization had to determine its own policy. I can tell you that the men and women who sit on the sub-committee are all of them devoted Jews and they reached their decision only after a real struggle of mind and heart.

With kindest greetings, I am

Sincerely.

Alexander M. Schindler, Rabbi Chairman Mr. Alex Schnoller, Pres. Conference of Presidents of Major Lewish Organization 515 Park Ave. New York, N. Y. 10022

Dear Mr. Schnidler,

A recent item in the JTA weekly News

Disent stated that the Conference is now recommending
that each dewish organization decide In itself whether or

not to continue the travel boycott against Muxico. I would
like you to send me whateve information you can as to why

the boycott should be lifted.

Thank you for your cooperation.

Yours truly,
Joanne G. Nathans (m. Daniel)
2227 Gest Rd.
Baltimore, Maryland 21209

Re mexicos March 8, 1976 Mr. A. D. Simons 210 No. Maguire Tucson, Arizona 85710 Dear Mr. Simons: It was thoughtful of youtto write as you did regarding Mexico and I appreciate your seeking to find out the facts. As you can understand, much of what transpired in meetings with the officals of the Mexican government cannot be committed to print and I therefore must use the utmost caution in responding to letters such as yours. As it happens, I am going to be in Tucson this coming week-end and this gives me an opportunity to tell you orally what I am loathe to put into a letter. I am speaking at Temple Emanu-El on Friday night and will be in Tucson till Sunday morning. At the moment I don't know where I shall be staying, but I am sure a call to the Temple will provide that information. Or, if you are at services on Friday night do come over to chat with me at the Oneg Shabbat. It may be that I will recognize you, but I'm not certain for it is a long time since we last saw each other. With kindest greetings, I am Sincerely, Alexander M. Schindler

S. G. SIMONS CO., INC.
1618 MAIN ST. • SPRINGFIELD, MASS. 01103

For the second of the second o

Robbi Alexander Schudler 838 Fifth ave New York City Dear Robbi

I'm sure you don't remerable me by marne though we've med peveral times. I am a member of Sciai Temple in Oppring field, mass and am winter vacation wig in Tulson aring with mercio only 68 miles are by 9 at he come in volved in aupporting your paset ion on fews visit ing Mexces because of 3 commission as to why?

Jundentally Duret you and four Gour Good wife at Robbi Dry ders home in Apring field & was there with the late Larry Friedman - father of Elmon Freedman Kline - my bliantes in

Edvoure for your info, and my personal regards to your or yours wife.

Similarly yours

2, D. Junious

210 No. Maquire

Tueson, arigonal

Apt 214 85710

100

and the state of

#### THE BROWNSVILLE SECOND HAND STORE

1125 EAST ADAMS STREET BROWNSVILLE, TEXAS 78520

Let showed by

March 3, 1976.

Rabbi Alexander M. Schindler c/o Union American Hebrew Congregations 838 Fifth Ave. New York. N.Y. 10021.

Dear Rabbi Schindler:

I need your help. Not general help. Explicit help.

My wife and I live in Brownsville, Texas, which is on the border with Mexico. We know Mexico and have traveled there extensively. But we have not entered Mexico since its anti-Israel display at the United Nations.

Now we have read that you and your organization say it is fine to travel in Mexico and spend our money there.

But you do not say why.

I am interested in the why. Yes, I read the news stories that spoke of a meeting some Jews had with some officials of Mexico. But so far as I can find, there is no mention of what was said at that meeting. Just some vague generalities have been reported.

I am asking you to tell me what the Mexicans said that made you and your group feel that tourism to Mexico is fine again. I am not trying to contest you. My wife and I are anxious to go back to Mexico. We enjoy traveling there.

But first I want to know what changed your feeling on the matter. And as I say, I want something concrete, not just an announcement that some people met together and all is now well.

I see that many Jewish organizations are divided on the subject. That is why I feel it is important to have some specifics.

I realize that you are a busy man. Nevertheless, I am asking that you sit flown to your typewriter and explain this to me. It is important.

Muchas gracias y buena suerte.

Saul Levinson

1125 E. Adams St.

Brownsville, Texas 78520.

SPECIAL DELIVERY REGISTERED MAIL

February 18, 1976

His Excellency, The Mexican Ambassador Jose Juan de Olloqui Embassy of Mexico 2829 16th Street, Northwest Washington, D.C.

My Dear Mr. Ambassador:

Rabbi Schindler has just telephoned me from Brussels where he is attending an international Conference on Soviet Jewry. More than one thousand delegates from thirty-two nations are participating in this Conference.

Rabbi Schindler has asked me to advise you of his great distress, shared by Conference participants, at learning that a P.L.O. office is to be opened in Mexico City. He was most distumbed by this report and on his return to the States Rabbi Schindler will be seeking to meet with you in order to discuss this matter. He should be back by the end of next week and will be telephoning you in order to set a mutually convenient meeting time.

With kindest greetings.

Yours Very Truly,

Edith J. Miller Assistant to the President

RABBI ALEXANDER M. SCHINDLER UNION OF AMERICAN HEBREW CONGREGATIONS
PRESIDENT 838 FIFTH AVENUE NEW YORK, N.Y. 10021 (212) 249-0100

SPECIAL DELIVERY REGISTERED MAIL

February 18, 1976

His Excellency, The Mexican Ambassador Jose Juan de Olloqui Embassy of Mexico 2829 16th Street, Northwest Washington, D.C.

My Dear Mr. Ambassador:

Rabbi Schindler has just telephoned me from Brussels where he is attending an international Conference on Soviet Jewry. More than one thousand delegates from thirty-two nations are participating in this Conference.

Rabbi Schindler has asked me to advise you of his great distress, shared by Conference participants, at learning that a P.L.O. office is to be opened in Mexico City. He was most disturbed by this report and on his return to the States Rabbi Schindler will be seeking to meet with you in order to discuss this matter. He should be back by the end of next week and will be telephoning you in order to set a mutually convenient meeting time.

With kindest greetings.

Yours Very Truly,

Edith J. Miller Assistant to the President February 25, 1976

Mr. J. Seymour Margulies Apartado Postel 5-126 Guadalajara, Jalisco Mexico

Dear Mr. Margulies:

Thank you for your letter. I much appreciate your words of caution. However, I do want you to know that there were compelling reasons for us to do what we did, even though we were aware of some of your own reservations. Moreover, nothing was done without involving a spectrum of the leaders of the Mexican Jewish community.

With kindest greetings, I am

Sincerely,

Alexander M. Schindler

February 13, 1976

Mr. J. Seymour Margulies Apartado Postal 5-126 Guadalajara, Jalisco Mexico

Dear Mr. Margulies:

Your letter of January 28th reached our office but today, after Rabbi Schindler's departure for a series of meetings in and out-of-the country. He will not be back in the office until early March.

Be assured your letter will be brought to his attention on his return.

Sincerely,

Edith J. Miller Assistant to the President

### Los Judíos Ponen fin al Boicot

#### Se Recomienda Normalizar las Relaciones con Nuestro País

NUEVA YORK, enero 27 (UPI). — "No sólo hemos dejado de confrontar a un adversario, sino que hemos recuperado un amigo", declaró hoy el rabino Alexander N. Schindler haciendo referencia a México.

Al reunirse la conferencia de presidentes de organizaciones judías de Estados Unidos, el rabino Schindler, que la preside, recomendó que las relaciones entre los grupos judíos que actúan en este país y México se normalicen plenamente.

Schindler dio a conocer a

Schindler dio a conocer a los delegados a la reunión un informe sobre las relaciones con México, el que dice en

parte Hemos estudiado las acciones pertinentes y declaraciones del gobierno de México, y especialmente las del Presidente Luis Echeverría, y estamos satisfechos de que ha ocurrido un viraje decidido en la actitud de México hacia el sionismo, Israel y el pueblo judío.

judío. "Es nuestra recomendación, por consiguiente, que nues-tras relaciones se normalicen plenamente

Las treinta y ocho organiza-ciones reunidas hoy en Nueva York, fueron las mismas que hicieron un llamamiento a los nicieron un llamamiento a los judios residentes de este país, para que boicotearan los viajes de turismo a México, como consecuencia del voto de México en las Naciones Unidas, el diez de noviembre, en favor de una resolución que equiparaba al sionismo con el racismo.

equiparaba al sionismo con el racismo.

En la conferencia se indicó que después de ese voto, México se ausentó el diecisiete de diciembre de una reunión de la Organización para la Educación, la Ciencia y la Cultura de las Naciones Unidas (UNESCO), en París, en que se sometió a votación una resolución por la que UNESCO adoptaría como documento propio la resolución contra el sionismo, adoptada por la Asamblea General de la organización mundial.

También quedó evidenciado que México se había abstenido cvando fue sometida a votación en la Asamblea General una ponencia que pedía a os estados miembros, en

for los árabes, que respal-

#### Los Judíos

(Viene de la Primera Página)

general, que desistieran de suministrar armas o ayuda económica a Israel; y que también se abstuvo de votar en una reunión de la Organi-zación Internacional de Avia-ción Civil, en Montreal, en que se propuso la adopción como documento propio de la resolución de la Asamblea General contra el sionismo.

**APARTADO POSTAL 5-126** GUADALAJARA, JALISCO MEXICO Jan. 28, 1975 Kabbi Schindler-I have Read the enclosed in El Informador today and Ronot helieve a word of it. The lapare has not changed his opats — and neither has bolieven. I do not think that by and large the Merican people are anti-pennetie, Deit L. E. H. is an opportunit and has indoorsed the destruction I de Raef and havin no way \ Changed from his o signine pasition

J. SEYMOUR MARGULES

Despite the second vote, the denial, and not your lohy must we faw he so Omesely years, Ilyun Arenzen

February 20, 1976

Mr. Leonard Levy, President Shir Ha-Ma'alot Harbor Reform Temple 1400 W. Balboa Boulevard Newport, Beach, California 92663

Dear Mr. Levy:

As you know, Rabbi Schindler is out of the country. Since he is not due back until the end of next week I am taking the liberty of replying to your letter of the 13th.

First, I must note that the Presidents' Conference never called the boycott of tours to Mexico and since it cannot undo what it did not do in the first instance, the Presidents' Conference did not cancel the boycott. You should know that the Presidents' Conference does not sponsor tour programs to any country. A number of its constituent organizations do have tour programs.

A sub-committee of the Presidents' Conference recommended to the constituency that a change in the Mexican government's policy toward Israel and the Jewish people has taken place and that this change warranted reconsideration of the present policy, but each organization is free to decide as it sees fit.

I am enclosing a few press clippings on this subject which will indicate the position of some of the consistuent organizations of the Presidents' Conference. Since you specifically mention B'nai B'rith, I can tell you that they have not made a decision as of this time. They are polling their membership and will not announce any decision until that undertaking has been completed. The Anti-Defamation League, as an arm of B'nai B'rith, does not have its own tour program, while they do from time to time have special tours, usually to Israel, they are just that, special events, and therefore no statement has been made by the ADL. I am also enclosing the January 26, 1976 report of the Presidents' Conference Committee on Mexican Relations. I trust this information will be of assistance to you.

With kindest greetings, I am

Sincerely,

Edith J. Miller Assistant to the President

## Shir Ha-Ma'alot harbor reform temple

1400 w. balboa blvd.

newport beach, california 92663

(714) 675-7230

February 13, 1976

Rabbi Alexander M. Schindler 838 - 5th Avenue New York, N.Y. 10021

Dear Rabbi Schindler:

I'm writing in response to an article written in the "B'Nai B'Rith Messenger" in the Friday, January 30, 1976 issue. In the article entitled, "Presidents Call Off Mexico Ban", you were quoted as follows:

"We are satisfied that a decided reversal of Mexico's attitude toward Zionism and the Jewish people has, in fact, taken place."

As the President of Shir Ha-Ma'alot Harbor Reform Temple, I've been called on by many in our community to respond to the current position toward the Mexico vote in the United Nations regarding the Zionism issue.

Because of our close proximity to Mexico and my responsibility in my community, this issue is of paramount importance to me.

As spokesman for Presidents of major American Jewish organizations, I'd appreciate your letting me know what the official position toward the issue is. As important, I would appreciate any official statement made by any organization that you might possess -- particularly those made official by B-Nai B'Rith or the Anti-Defamation League.

I understand from Norm Eichberg, President of the Pacific Southwest Region of UAHC that you are currently visiting Israel. I know that you'll be trying to catch up upon your return and would appreciate your answer as soon as conveniently possible.

Thanking you in advance.

Sincerely,

Leonard Levy

President, Board of Trustees

Shir Ha-Ma'alot

January 30, 1976

Ms. Naomi Levine American Jewish Congress 15 East 84th Street New York, N.Y. 10028

Dear Naomi:

I have your letter of January 28, and I hasten to assure you that the press report as it appeared in the pages of the New York Times dismayed me as much as it dismayed you. It certainly did not reflect the intent of our release, or even its letter, for that matter.

I am told that you are out of town. Please call me when you return so that I can tell you. I am certain that you will be satisfied with my explanation.

I attach a copy of the statement which I released immediately on my return from Washington.

With warmest regards, I am

Sincerely,

Alexander M. Schindler

STEPHEN WISE CONGRESS HOUSE • 15 EAST 84TH STREET • NEW YORK, N. Y. 10028 • (212) 879-4500

OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

January 28, 1976

Rabbi Alexander Schindler Chairman Presidents Conference 515 Park Avenue New York, New York 10022

Dear Alex:

I was surprised to read in this morning's <u>Times</u> the statement allegedly emanating from the Presidents Conference that "American Jewish leaders have decided to call off their travel boycott to Mexico." The <u>Times</u> story specifically cites you as issuing a statement "explaining the ending of the boycott."

Phil and Will tell me that you presided at the meeting of the Subcommittee at which there was a unanimous decision not to announce the lifting of the travel ban at this point. You will recall that some organizations voiced the need for time to prepare their membership for this decision. I understood that it was agreed further that at the appropriate time those organizations that had travel programs would together decide how and when to announce the revocation of the policy of suspending tours to Mexico.

The Presidents Conference runs no travel programs nor did it initially announce the imposition of a suspension of travel.

I therefore cannot understand how this story could have emerged in the form in which it was published or how you could have permitted yourself to be associated with the announcement it contains.

This places us all in a strange and equivocal position. You are depicted as having declared an end to the travel suspension while the rest of us who actually run such programs have yet to announce such action.

It is all very puzzling and disturbing; and I wonder if you could be good enough to tell me how it all came about.

Sincerely, Naomi Levine



Rabbi Alexander Schindler, President
President's Conference on Major Jewish
Organizations
515 Park Avenue
New York, New York 10022

Dear Alex:

May I extend personal congratulations and best wishes upon your election to this highest office in the American Jewish Community.

You need no reminder from me of the critical period which we face and will challenge American Jewish leadership in the days ahead. The task you have accepted is formidable and I know that it will be skillfully dealt with. You can expect the utmost cooperation from all of us.

In regard to the question of Mexico, I am sure that it is recognized there will be difficulty to convert a parities in a serious in the serious serious community.

In regard to the question of Mexico, I am sure that it is recognized there will be difficulty to convey a positive image to the American Jewish community even if the facts substantiate and warrant this shift in attitude. I have no specific recommendation except that it is my feeling that statements by themselves will not suffice. The ideal answer would be a new action or statement by Mexico. The Jewish community grass roots would find it much easier to understand a new shift in attitude and I believe we can then logically accept a positive action. Until this is forthcoming I believe that it will be a difficult task to change moods in the forseeable future.

Many good wishes in your important new office and hopefully you will be able to make your engagement to be at Temple Israel in Dayton as scheduled.

With best wishes.

Sincerely,

PF:JG cc: Yehuda Hellman Paul Flacks, Director Public Affairs Department

Note - 1/23/14



#### $\mathbb{Z}$ ionist $\mathbb{O}$ rganization of $\mathbb{A}$ merica

**ZOA HOUSE** 

4 EAST 34th STREET • NEW YORK, N.Y. 10016







Rabbi Alexander Schindler, President Union of American Hebrew Congregations 838 Fifth Avenue New York, New York 10021

Wear it With Pride!