

Abba Hillel Silver Collection Digitization Project

Featuring collections from the Western Reserve Historical Society and The Jacob Rader Marcus Center of the American Jewish Archives

MS-4787: Abba Hillel Silver Papers, 1902-1989.

Series IV: Sermons, 1914-1963, undated.

Reel Box Folder 153 54 391

The intellectual, the emotional, and the ethical in religion, 1931.

THE INTELLECTUAL, THE EMOTIONAL AND THE ETHICAL IN RELIGION BY RABBI ABBA HILLEL SILVER AT THE TEMPLE, CLEVELAND SUNDAY, MARCH 8th, 1931. There are three facets to all religion, the intellectual, the emotional and the ethical. They are the three strands that are woven into the one chord. Or perhaps you may say that they are the three streams which run into the one main channel of religion. All three are vital and essential elements of religion. From time to time attempts are made to reduce religion to one or the other of these elements, to give a purely rationalistic interpretation of religion, or a purely emotional, or a purely ethical interpreation of religion. Whenever that is done, religion always suffers. Religion is always impoverished by such an attempt and frequently religion is driven into a blind alley by certain enthusiasts who insist upon defining religion in terms of one instead of all three of these elements. Thus for example, when there is an over-emphasis on the intellectual element in religion, there is the danger of reducing religion to a sort of a metaphysical speculation, a cold, abstract, wordy philosophy, lacking warmth and poetry, the propelling power which comes with religion which is also based upon experiences and not excessively upon thought. Whenever that is done, whenever the attempt is made to rationalize religion with an exposition of these

two other dangers, religion is in danger of dying of inanition.

To be sure, religion is a philosophy. Religion is a system of thought. But it is more than that. It is a faith. It is a hope. It is a feeling of dependence upon a wise and benevolent deity; a feeling that goes far beyond the boundaries of a mere intellectual idea.

On the other hand when an attempt is made to reduce religion to sentiment or to emotionalism there is the danger of driving it to a sort of an unbridled mysticism which becomes the seedbed of all kinds of superstition and all forms of obscurantism. Religion has in the past suffered a great deal from this emotionalism and sentiments driven to sentimentalism. When a feeling is uncorrected and unrectified by an idea, when you permit a feeling to run riot without holding it within the boundaries of a thought, within the discipline of an idea, that feeling becomes of personality, frequently even of character. And so many weird sects arose in nearly every historic religion. They didn't last very long. For a time they stampeded masses of people. And these sects most always were motivated by some unrestrained religious, passionate emotion which carried the believer off his feet, as it were, and darkened his reason. These sects and in the like the Holy Rollers, . Judaism had such sects. earlier days the Mohammedanism had such sects. That too, is not good for religion. Nor is it good for religion when it is reduced to a system of morals pure and simple; to an ethical formalism, without reference to a basic philosophy upon which this ethical code is to be built and without

taking cognizance of the emotional or devotional or prayerful side of human life. This spells the doom of religion, just as in the long run it spells the doom of morality itself. That's why the ethically cultural movements have never survived. They are abstract ideas, pure and simple. They lack the dynamics of the emotional religion. They lack the basis of the intellectual religious philosophy.

Now the great historic religions of mankind, whether it be Judaism, or Christianity, or Mohammedanism or Buddhism for that matter, manifested themselves in all these three phases and have therefore survived. These religions were at the same time, thought, feeling, action; an idea, a mood, a way of life. They resisted being monopolized by one interest.

Judaism, for example, resisted strenuously any attempt at rationalization, any attempt at being defined as a pure, intellectual set of ideas which can be readily proved and scientifically demonstrated.

For Judaism sensed very early that the source of religion is not the mind, and in the second place that the mind is inadequate to explain reality.

Judaism always placed a high value upon the intellect, it employed the intellect to correct, to modify, to rectify some of the religious intuitions but it never reduced faith to intellectualism. Judaism always maintained, as science maintains today, that the ultimate reality is unexplainable.

"Canst thee by searching find me out? My thoughts are not your thoughts, neither are your ways, my ways." There is a gulf that separates the finite from the infinite, the created from the Creator, and the mind is not the bridge which can connect these two.

Even Maimonides, the greatest of Jewish rationalists, who maintained that the intellect is man's supreme quality, who tried to harmonize philosophy with religion, faith and reason, even he, in his "Guide to the Perplexed" actually gave twenty-six proofs for the existence and the unity of God. Even Maimonides, the greatest of Jewish philosophers concludes by saying that the essence of divinity must forever lie outside of mankind's comprehension; that God can not be known and can not be defined. You are not warranted in describing any attributes to God. The most you can say is that He exists. You may describe Him negatively; you may say what He is by things which He is not.

Judaism, to my mind, displayed a wonderful foresight in not basing its authority upon the mandates of logic or reason. For many centuries later, mankind discovered that its intellect is in reality a very faulty instrument for discovering truth; that it operates only in the physical sphere of physical phenomenon. It can go so far and no further. It can not explain everything. In fact, it can not explain anything which concerns the real essence of substance or essence of the real problem of origin and destiny.

But the intellectual element in Religion cannot be ignored.

Religion is as much an answer to the questions which the mind of man propounds as it is a satisfaction for the spiritual hunger of the human heart. Man has always sought to understand the universe in which he lives, to fathom its genesis, to probe its meaning and its destiny. Man has always attempted to define his own position in the universe, his own relation to

the things about him, to co-relate, as it were, his world of values to the world of cosmic values.

If it is true that primitive man was driven to religion by fear, as some maintain, although I question it, - it it is true that primitive man was driven to religion by fear, it is true also that thinking man, from the dawn of his reflective life was driven to religion through religious conviction, by postulates of his mind, by the cures which he inevitably was forced to posit. As soon as man began to think, he began to think about his world, about the universe in which he lived. He began to ask himself why, whence, whither, how? Andhe tried to enswer these questions to the best of his ability and knowledge. And the answers to these questions fall into two catagories, - religious and non-religious, - the spiritual and the material things. (quote Hebrew)

"Lift up your eyes and see, who created these?"

That's a normal question for a thinking man to ask himself. And

Seneca writing to one of his friends in his famous letter on "The

First Cause" says to his friend who has been criticizing him for

wasting a lot of time on such speculations:

"You will reply: what pleasure do you get from wasting your time on these problems, which relieve you of none of your emotions, route none of your desires? So far as I am concerned I treat and discuss them as matters which contribute greatly toward calming the spirit, and I search myself first, and then the world about me. And not even now am I, as you think, wasting my time.

As I read this please keep in mind Chapter thirty-eight of Job which I read to you this morning.

"Do you forbid me to contemplate the universe? Do you compel me to withdraw from the whole and restrict me to a part? May I not ask what are the beginnings of all things, who moulded the universe, who took the confused and conglomerate mass of sluggish matter, and separated it into its parts? May I not inquire who is the Master-builder of this universe, how the mighty bulk was brought under the control of law and order, who gathered together the scattered atoms, who separated the disordered elements and assigned an outward form to elements that lay in one vast shapelessness? Or whence came all the expanse of light? And whether it is fire, or something even brighter than fire? Am I not to ask these questions? Must I be ignorant of the heights whence I have descended? Whether I am to see this world but once, or to be born many times? What is my destination afterwards? What abode awaits my soul on its release from the laws of slavery among men? Do you forbid me to have a share in heaven?"

Almost the exact questions propounded by Job and by the way, the questions which all the modern phycisists are asking themselves.

"In other words, do you bid me live with my head

And when men ask themselves these questions, and they began asking them thousands and thousands of years ago, and by the way, the answers which they gave to these questions are just as valid and just as good and just as true as the answers which we are likely to give to these questions, - the most satisfying answer which they could give was that there is a Divine Mind in the universe which created it and which rules it; a Divine Mind which stands in some moral relation to mankind.

The opposite explanation of the universe is that it is just a thing, mere blind matter in senseless agitation. The materialistic answer is clearly no more scientific and no more logical and no more helpful than the first.

And so religion is the answer to the intellectual questions of men. Decidedly it is a philosophy and a philosophy which is much easier to hold in the year 1931 than it was in the year 1831 or 1881.

The nineteenth century, which saw in a sense, the beginnings of the great physical sciences, was dominated by a deterministic, a materialistic point of view. The law of Causation ruled all things. Nature could therefore move along one road only to a predetermined or predestined end. All things were predetermined. The whole universe was a mechanical model, a machine. That was true not only of the inanimate world but of the animate world as well.

In this universe constructed by the nineteenth century scientific engineers there was little room for free personality, free will, for God. Scientists of the nineteenth century were sure that they could explain everything by physical causes and given enough time, they could trace everything to some material origin. Twentieth century physics, however, has dealt a death blow to the materialism of the nineteenth century. It was discovered that certain phenomena of nature, like gravitation and radication could not at all be explained on the basis of mechanics. Scientists appeared who demonstrated that nature does not move smoothly and continuously along the line of cause and effect. Cause and effect use the processes of nature, move by jumps and jurks, incomprehensible and unexplainable. The law of Causation has given way to the law of Probability. There is room in the universe, according to the latest scientists, for chance, for choice, for free will, for personality on the part of man and the whole universe can only be explained in terms of thought.

In fact at the hands of the scientists of the first
part of the twentieth century, the whole world of matter has been reduced
to a world of radiation, of waves of light. The whole universe is light,
bottled up waves of light for radiation which is matter and unbottled
waves which is radiation of light. Everything is reduced to a sea of
light. "Creation" to use the words of Jean is "quote Hebrew"

"Let there be light". And of course there is but one step from this to
the position of the religionist thousands of years ago: "God is the light
of the world." "Quote Hebrew" "And the light dwelleth in Him."

Professor Jeans in his remarkable book "The Mysterious Universe" states:

"There is a wide measure of agreement, which on
the physical side of science approaches almost to unanimity, that
the stream of knowledge is heading towards a non-mechanical reality;
the universe begins to look more like a great thought than like a
great machine. Mind no longer appears as an accidental intruder
into the realm of matter; we are beginning to suspect that we ought
rather to hail it as the creator and governor of the realm of matter not of course our individual minds, but the mind in which the atoms
out of which our individual minds have grown exist as thoughts."

This is not taken from the Bible. This is taken from the writing of one of the great scientists of our day, who summarizes the great scientific thought of the twentieth century.

"The new knowledge compels us to revise our hasty first impressions that we had stumbled into a universe which either did not concern itself with life or was actively hostile to life. The old dualism of mind and matter, which was mainly responsible for the supposed hostility, seems likely to disappear, not through matter becoming in any way more shadowy or insubstantial than heretofore, or through mind becoming resolved into a function of the working of matter, but through substantial matter resolving itself into a creation and manifestation of mind."

That's the story of the Book of Genesis. God, whose mind created the universe.

"We discover that the universe shews evidence of a designing or controlling power that has something in common with our own individual minds - not, so far as we have discovered, emotion, morality, or aesthetic appreciation, but the tendency to think in the way which for want of a better word, we describe as mathematical. And while much in it may be hostile to the material appendages of life, much also is akin to the fundamental activities of life; we are not so much strangers or intruders in the universe as we at first thought. Those inert atoms in the primaeval slime which first began to foreshadow the attributes of life were putting themselves more, and not less, in accord with the fundamental nature of the universe."

So that here again, as in so many things, religion anticipated the discoveries of science and so the answer which religion gave to these questions of Job and Seneca and all questioning minds still stands and today has been vindicated and established by science.

And there is another side to religion, -The emotional side.

This emotional side which gives rise to the poetry in religion. The intellectual side gives rise to the theology of religion, but the emotional side of religion gave rise to the poetry in religion. Because the real religious man does not think of God as a mathematical necessity. He does not think of Him as a prime

The religious man thinks of God as Father, Friend, Protector, Guide, Helper. He thinks of Him as a Personality who stands in a kindly and friendly relationship and this religious conviction **Af** gives to the religious man a sense of confidence and strength and inspiration in life. This conviction gives

rise to prayer, religious meditation, to songs, to hymns, to sacrifices and to martyrdom. This phase of religion gave rise to the psalms and to all the great devotional literature of mankind. A religion which misses that is really no religion at all, but a theosophy, a text-book affair. It does not enter vitally into the lives of men and women, helping them, consoling them. A religion without prayer, without devotional literature, to me, is unthinkable, in a sense meaningless. It is this side of religion which gave rise to customs, ceremonials and poetry to human life.

I shall not want." That's the emotional side of religion. "The Lord is my Shepherd" is one thing. For the Lord to be the primary cause of the universe is quite another. But I am not the universe. I am just a simple man who suffers pain and disillusionment and I need a God to enter into my life. "quote Hebrew" "Yaa, though I walk through the valley of the shadow of death I will fear no evil for Thou art with me; Thy rod and Thy staff, they comfort me." God will wipe away all fear. Lighten my darkness, lift my burden. This intimate relatedness to Divinity gives rise to the real religious experiences while the other gives rise to religious speculation.

And lastly of course, there is the ethical side. And this ethical side gave rise to the prophets and then to the thinker and the philosopher. The ethical side of religion takes ideas and sentiments and molds the conduct of men by them. If there is a God and God rules the universe there must be laws in the universe, laws applicable to

determine not only the physical but the spiritual and the ethical of man as well. The laws are sometimes hard to discover just as the laws of nature are hard to discover. We needed a Galileo, a Kepler, a Newton and an Einstein to discover the laws of nature, just as we needed a Moses and a Hillel to discover the laws of the spiritual world. But the laws are just as real, just as indispensable. The great spiritual universe-builders of mankind were the prophets of Israel.

The truly religious man, my friends, to sum up then, to my mind, is the man who has thought out for himself a religious philosophy. who has the spiritual answer of the cosmic problem. But that is not enough, that only makes him a religious thinker. The truly religious man is also one who uses the religious medium for the expression, for the release of his emotions, adoration, gratitude, osculations of hope. He is reverent. he is devout and he is pious in the profoundest sense of the word. His religion makes him sing. His religion will stand by his side in hours of sorrow and defeat as well as in his hours of triumph and happiness; a man who by his sentiments, his feeling, is a religious man. But even that is not enough. The truly religious man is one who enters the arena of life. equipped with a religious idea and a religious feeling and who acts and lives in such a way as to give evidence of his religious convictions and religious thoughts, a man of uprightness, of integrity, of honor, of love of his fellowmen, seeking justice, endeavoring to establish the Kingdom of God upon earth.

That man who has all three or who seeks all three, that man is the truly religious man.

Sermon 349 1. There are 3 facets to R-- 9- E- E - all 3 vital and essentials. 3 strands - 3 streams 2. From true to Time attempts - reduce to one or other. - always R. Suffers - imporerished - driven into (a) In- becomes a mytably speculation Sacting warments And propuls, in g a profound, Emot, Experience.

Die g mani hier of Geessite, rationalism.

R. is a philosophy But it is more. It is a

faith- a hop- a freling g defendence whom

Jost and hor for their while see hey and the berusha.

vies (a wien withell, , dues. (h) Em - becomes an untridled mystriipen - seed-bel 9 all concerable superstitues and obscurantion. R. has suffered protty from excessor slight.
mentalisen and ever- a feeling investigation of the feet in life.

De pregnenty dissupplies of the feet in life. K. is seen , Eu. - Inver sents -(C) Etheral - reduce to system, unality - Etheral culties in R. - This spells dearthy R- rucker least, from J Monalely. 3. The first that. Ro-have manifested Eas in all 3 phons - and have: survived. They were, at Me and the same time - That - Feeting - Consult. Cu Ader - ha record - and a way stife. They resulted attempts at a part has and reparation of these 3 eventral almost, wilder who fre. They refund & allew either the rightle. - the country on the other to runnighty the faith.

4. Jul. always resisted exhemean rationalization. for it sever I that III some of R. is not Entered The wird , man 14 Jut is wade quat to aplan reality- Place high value on I. as means y canethry and ret fring receives rel. Respired their seed consistences— but never regarded and derived rel. from Sent—

(1) "Court Then by searching formal our ent?"

(1) "May that, are and your that, heither and and ways, may ways"— In cannot bridge just bet, frust truly with bet. Oreasted of Creater.

(3) Ester Maximum that draw wellest in people taget of the maintainst that draw wellest in in people taget. to havering flit with felil. I fath with war mus by + winoup. S food - covelwhere that Essence I have must fuere be harried motified for the forester he motified harrish mount fueres he outside humannti conceprateuren - That posted attistates can perty to ascuted with (4) Jud. herplayed remarkable forger; let in all
Therester desily the world as a system of plul.
They authory the distributed its our thereity are solely on the mandates of logic or reasons - In centimes later monthed discurred that it intellect is for fault instrument for dercomery fronth - that is perations are brunked to the oppine plusions maner, and that it cam jo so for trus faults - their it cannot be plain every their in fact too the when whether conserver the real properties of substances and the real problems of softeness of substances and the real problems orgins + disternes.

5. But the wikels slewent in 17. round be exceed. Risas much an aswar to waris with previous as to a satisfaction of the lunger, his heart. Wan has always say let to knowles towed his unrikery to Ja thouse its generals on jus - to prote to the has always attempted to defend his aus pentius in the reviewers - to constate his - Purmition man may have been distens to R. by bear, the lenteren - But with the decreen. reflections left, was wer heren & A. by his alle los is /61 possion - Way will gue tur- Sinera p. 453 (1) Server and suysky is no less quant to-day It is to day that Je are ate. Speak of Mysserous 6. and some Diving with for many another of such human less the for mental of such for the son with the second better the states of the son the second better the states of the second better that the states of the second better that the second better the second better that the second better the second better that the second better the second b med stand as reveral relations to manthind -- The opposite explanation & only of the alto waiting is len saturfy up to see les logital. (1) Easier to-day to hard the Mel. vian , 191. hay days meden to. - So. this was diminated Ga wat. deten. fitz view. herry causature miled all things: nature could: most only along on road to a full truit End. - all theirs an pude kenner. The inceneer was a Michanical mersel - a maden - Trues auruale travis word ony manimuli.

To C. phypices has death a death blen to the materialen, the se. Engreen, 190. It was painthen lefy all purel mechanial Explanate Planet Mefeors and demonstrates that nature proceeds by pumps and finks and not continuely by sourth feathers, cause Holang putablish. Then seems to the room in the plugual would be observed to the other of the former of the former of the former of the former of the first of the other of the other of the former of the first of the other of t reduced to warms - bottled-up wantes while, is weather - and walrottes warms - while is radiation & by let . Esty they is reduced to a sea ply ht - Cheathers in May

fruth, to good years in - 3/1 177
There is last on step from this to purchen

[Nel. "fort is the light, the wals! (17/15)!

[12 2 17 - "nest the deput dwarfleth in - There is that in hours - Jean: hater reens very conversant with the rules of frew weeth matter. The hunters seems to have heen designed by a fune wathera.

(Just p. 158) Rel. autripakt all thes -7. Eustinal - gens us poetry Polyica man thuites ; his fool not a weeth. never to. who is ruleus has in him - then their eurus on confidence, a strength, an inspiration, while the rues inkel. rel. will never experieues 1. 172 's - Sit will sufe away all team. higheten sury despain 9 could 3. Th E. in rel. will lead to prayer to cereminal _ to sample, to wanterden 4. It is the Lorety selly in- at jack is the Pauling all may ret puet swanting. A. Etheral- yieled it Juphit -(1) Taster, deas & sentruent and surlas let. Conduct by them. It is the month will sufficient fel. suly the uned- then there are sound Towns low in the phyman und nother. Wanis destroy to the fellin accurs with their Ekraal laus- & Justice, porderes & Sunth. He most Receives the tours - as de l'agrical leur. Just as Play. law had their falileo. Neple heuters-

their Juntounes - Zuvistes - their Jun- at. 13) Geathof of brechen brules were peoplets of from a fair ster hun of there of their of their than by peoplets trull. 1. The And rel. was will were w. (11 m his plut. glife -(2) as an Einst. outlet for aderation, paletudy, (3) as the dring impulse, his provationablest and social responsibilities.