

## Abba Hillel Silver Collection Digitization Project

Featuring collections from the Western Reserve Historical Society and The Jacob Rader Marcus Center of the American Jewish Archives

MS-4787: Abba Hillel Silver Papers, 1902-1989.

Series IV: Sermons, 1914-1963, undated.

 Reel
 Box
 Folder

 158
 56
 593

Rich Man-Poor Man, 1939.

RICH MAN-POOR MAN
The Third Discourse in the Series on "Fundamental Human Relationships"

Dr. Abba Hillel Silver

At The Temple

On Sunday morning, November 26, 1939 Rich Man-Poor Man: This is another fundamental human relationship.

Although it is unlike the relationship between parents and children and husband and wife, it is not always a welcome one. The rich and the poor who have always been members of the same society frequently were in conflict. Oft-times they bitterly resented one another. Nevertheless they had to get along together. There was never a society composed entirely of rich people. As a rule, the wealth of the few was made possible by the poverty of the many. Perhaps someday a society will emerge wherein human intelligence, natural resources, and wide distribution will be so combined as to produce so large a social surplus that the abundance of the few will not feed upon the want of the many. But so far such a society has not yet emerged.

It has therefore been found necessary throughout the ages to regulate the relationship between the poor and the rich either by appeals to morality or by specific social legislation. Furthermore, it has been found necessary to define riches and poverty in their various degrees, and a code of conduct and their mutual responsibilities.

It should not be assumed at the outset that wealth was always regarded and that
by all men as a blessing and poverty by all men as a curse, the problem
is therefore one of reconciling extremes, and the solution consists in
abolishing poverty and making all men rich and blessed. The problem is
not that advanced. There have always been individuals and groups of individuals
who regarded wealth as a curse and poverty as a blessing, who voluntarily
preferred poverty to riches, who turned their backs upon comfort and voluntarily
chose penury and want. That seems strange to many people. But that is history.
That is fact.

In the teachings and in the practices of the great religions of mankind, especially in Christianity, in Mohammedanism, in Hinduism and Buddhims, the ideal of poverty as the true religion and discipline of the noble life occupies a very high and important place. Ascetism, the abnegation of all possessions, things, and the disciplining of oneself to a minimum of physical requirements has always been a very strong motif not only in religion but in some of the great philosophies of mankind. Those especially who aspired to leadership in society were particularly given to the practices of poverty, to the renunciation of the world, to the things of the world, and very often fellowships of such people were established and organized themselves into monastic life. There were large groups of people among all peoples of the earth in the East and in the West - who chose to be poor, who clad themselves in the coarsest of raiment, who had the most frugal of foods, who lived in the most barren of cells, who walked barefoot very often, who subsisted voluntarily on charity, men who were free of the enslavements, the involvements the spiritual disorientation of the earth.

There have always been people who have wanted to be free, free to seek moral perfection, and they wanted to be free of what things would do to them. They were afraid that the things which they possessed might come to possess them, that the things which they owned, might come to own them. They preferred to have nothing so as to be completely free. "I fear nothing because I have nothing." These people used a characteristic religious metaphor. These people sought a religious kingdom which was as difficult for the rich man to pass through, as it is/a camel to pass through the eye of a needle. There were some among the Greeks and Romans who either because of religious or philosophic reflection came to the conclusion that

things. They proved that there is something better in the world than riches and that riches were in the way of getting to that something better in life. So that we ought not to think that people always thought of riches as the greatest blessing. That is not true.

It is true, of course, that most people have always feared not riches, but poverty. They regard poverty as the greatest evil. They pursue wealth as life's Sumum Bonum. They pursue wealth very often not because it is their highest interest, but to them, the sole interest in life. They are so covetous of wealth that they "live poor to die rich". And in their headlong rush to get things, to acquire things and more things, they frequently trample over the lives of other people, over the lives of those nearest and dearest to them, and frequently, over their own lives. Family feuds flare up. Brothers become estranged. Life-long friends become separated. Hate supplants love and selfishness comes to hold her desolate court in the empty hearts of the human being.

There are other people who also aspire to wealth, not because they are obsessed by greed, not because they hunger for wealth, but because they are afraid of the dependence which poverty gives one. They are afraid of the low social status which unfortunately poverty brings in any society and in any community. They are afraid of the lack of influence the lack of prestige which they would have. "The wisdom of the poor man is held in contempt." Sometimes the rich, though foolish man is often regarded as a man of supreme wisdom. You have sat in on Board meetings, I am sure, when someone who has nothing but money talks a lot of folishness and is listened to, while the poor man who may have good ideas is thrust

aside. Men are afraid to be put in the position where their influence for good might be destroyed because of their position as poor men in society.

Now our religion, Judaism, wise in the ways of life, is distinguished by its genius for balance. There is always an even balance even in this regard - "Rich man-Poor man." Our religion knows the dangers of wealth to the individual, to society. "Gold hath been the ruin of many", said the wise Ben Sirah. "Watching for riches consumeth the flesh." "The man whose greatest love is for money will never have enough of money to satisfy that great hunger in life." Our religion calls attention - our sages, prophets, rabbis, call attention to the hard-heartedness, to the lack of sympathy, to the oppression of the poor which riches frequently are responsible for. "Those who sell the righteous for silver, and the poor man for a pair of shoes." Our religion knows that concentrated wealth is frequently responsible for corrupt justice. Our religion knows that frequently men make idols of gold and silver.

Our religion also knows of the wholesome discipline of poverty, of want.

Want is a spur. It always has been a spur. Want has been a factor actually in the biologic and mental evolution of the human race. Man's lack of physical equipment necessitated a greater development of his intelligence.

Man is possessed of fewer finished appliances than any animal. Man is born unshod, unclad, unarmed and man has had to supply in order to exist, in order to survive, through the development of the useful arts, as we call them, the deficiencies of nature. "Poverty has been the mother of all the arts, of all the sciences, of all the trades." And want, to this day, is still the goad of human progress for the individual, for the system.

But on the other hand, our religion knows that excessive, and prolonged and involuntary poverty frequently breaks the human spirit, destroys the virtues in human life, disfigures the image of God in man and makes many people today malignant, hateful, butter with frustrated avarice. Poverty creates a sense of demoralization, insecurity in the life of an individual and of society. Poverty is responsible frequently for the corrosion of the soul of the individual, a soul corroded by contempt and frequently by pity to which that individual is subjected.

And our religion also realizes that possessions and economic competence frequently act as a strong defense to a human being, a strengthening of his defenses. Therefore they strengthen his moral life, they free man from the consuming worries of his life. It releases his energies for other interests.

Therefore we find in our Bible such expressions as "Wisdom is good as a competence". "Wisdom is a defense, and so money is frequently a defense for the individual."

The value of money as defense, not the value of money for indulgence, for the sake of confidence, money to give a man confidence, spiritual stability - that is a blessing in our sacred text. "The wealth of the rich man is a strong city of defense. The insecurity of the poor man is his Poverty."

Therefore our religion extolls neither riches as such, nor poverty as such.

"Riches are good," as Ben Sirach said, "unto him that has no sin."
Riches are a severe test for an individual. But if a man comes through
that unscathed, then let him glory". "Riches are a blessing to those who
know how to use them." Our religion, therefore, does not denounce wealth
as intrinsically evil, nor does it denounce private property. But it does
prefer as the norm for human life, neither the one nor the other, neither
riches nor poverty.

Thus you have that beautiful prayer at the close/of the chapters of the Book of Prophets: "Give me, O Lord food sufficient for my needs."

And the Rabbis, centuries later, express the same theme: "Much of it is bad, some of it is good."

Having suggested this balance, riches and poverty, our religion proceeds to admonish all men to remember things about possession generally. First, that even the man who looks for defense in wealth ought not to forget that riches often take wings and fly away. We have seen it in our own day. We have seen how money was reduced in some countries to less than its counting value. We have seen men who regarded themselves as seemingly established securely in their businesses, in their stocks, bonds, accumulation of wealth, real estate, suddenly as over night, a storm sweep over the land and their strong fortresses toppled and they were left bereft, defenseless. There is no permanence in amassed capital today anywhere in the world.

Nor is the e any more any particular pride or distinction as in the past.

The fullest defense for an individual is not in things, but in the inner life of the individual, to build not of material things at all, but out of spiritual things, in the inner integrity of heart and mind and soul. That is where our ultimate defense must be in life.

In the second place, we are admonished that real riches are the riches of the heart and of the mind, the things which cannot be bought - health, real friends, the love of a wife or of a husband or of a child, peace of mind - these things cannot be acquired through physical processes at all, but they do represent the essential wealth of the human life, the riches of the heart and of the human mind. And, of course, that great wealth which is the composite of all the spiritual riches of which I have just spoken

is "Contentment."

We are admonished also to remember that one can get rich by subtracting from his desires just as much and more easily for th t matter than by adding to his store. It is the way of gaining riches - by reducing your desires.

I think it was Kant, the great German Philosopher who declared: "One is not rich by what one owns, but more by what one is able to do without with dignity." This is a thought which often presents itself to human beings.

And finally, we are admonished to grow rich in new kinds of wants, that one ought to be a seeker, not after goods, but after the good, that one ought to be hungry all the time for the spiritual and the intellectual, for the wine and the milk which are without money and without price.

I read to you, this morning from the great 55th Chapter of Isaiah:
"There is milk to be had, there is wine to be had, but not for money. The
nourishing things of life, the sustaining things of life - those things
can be hand, but they cannot be had for money.

"Wherefore do ye spend money for that which is not bread?
And you gain for that which satisfieth not?
Hearken diligently unto Me, and eat ye that which is good,
And let your soul delight itself in fatness.
Incline your ear, and come with Me;
Hear, and your soul shall live."

We are advised to seek beautiful and noble interests of life in a domain which is outside of wealth seeking. For that you don't need a bank account. For that you need your own will, and your own consecration, and your own enthusiasm.

Finally, our religion admonishes those who have to remember those who have not. The house of plenty must be open to the needs of the house of want. The duty of the rich toward the poor is to help the poor in their poverty, and out of their poverty. The rich must not assume that it is a divine mandate, part of the natural order of nature. Poverty can be eradicated,

especially in a land like ours. And it is the duty of the rich, because they have the power, and they have the control, and very often the opportunities for education and access to government, to work for such a reorganization and human structure of society, or such just economic laws as will progressively eliminate want and poverty from the habitations of human beings who want to work. It is their duty to see to it that men and their families are protected against those accidents of fortune which produce poverty - prolonged unemployment, low wages, the disabilities of old age, sickness, which bring the grim shadows of want and distress into the homes of people.

And the rich man should do that today not only because it is a moral mandate, not only because of enlightened self-interest. It is to the interest of the rich that there be no poverty, few poor people because our world today is swept with revolutions of all kinds, revolutions of the right and revolutions of the left, the flames of which are fed by human want and misery. When revolutions sweep over the world, the rich as well as the poor become victims of them.

I spoke of the attitude of Judaism toward the question of the rich man and the poor man. Judaism was the first religion to emobdy social vision in concrete legislation. You find it inall the codes of the Bible from the earliest to the latest. We find, for the first time in the history of mankind compulsory measures enacted to protect the weak from the strong, the poor from the rich, to make mandatory the sense of brotherliness, responsibility in a community. We find laws to protect the poor debtor, laws forbidding usury, making mandatory laws to free a man and his wife and his children in the seventh year, who was forced to sell himself to slavery because of poverty. We find laws commanding that gleanings be left in the fields unharvested so that the poor could come in and take the gleanings.

gleanings must not be picked up in the orchards, vineyards so that the poor by their own right could come in and claim them as their own.

The whole code of Jewish Poor Laws is dominated by the thought:
"Open your hand wide - we are brothers". The poor man is your brother, a
member of your family. He stands with you in an essential family relationship, like unto a blood relantionship.

I am persuaded that if there were more of this spirit of brotherliness, more of this sense of family responsibility between the employee and the employer, between the rich and the poor, between the strong and the weak, more of the sharing and the helping, and less of the grabbing and amassing, there would be far less of the bitter class struggle, far less of the endless strife and fewer attempts to destroy the entire system and to set up other systems which have been so far characterized more by their destructiveness and their hate than by their capacity to contribute to essential well being and love among people.

I realize that in a really involved social structure, when a group of the employed is no longer a unit, but part of a mass, it is far more difficult to speak of brotherliness in that situation. A strange impersonality creeps in and because of that impersonality, brutal weapons of force so readily are resorted. Nevertheless, it is the wise corporation that deals with human beings in the mass, in the tens of thousands, and it is to their own interests as well as to the interests of all to remember that they are dealing with people, with human beings, with human souls, and that if the attitude of personal responsibility of man to man, brotherly duties and obligations are banished from human relationships, then life becomes a brutal arena where force and blood and terror will ultimately decide to the disadvantage of all.

And so here too, we come back to the personal element in human relationships. Everyone within the sphere of life, the manufacturer to the woman who employs a single individual in her home, the labor leader who speaks and acts for a corporate group in relation to another group - all these must remember that basically this a human problem involving human personalities, that the relationship must therefore be one of humanity, "Brothers All!", that you can not think in terms of mine and thine, or in terms of our.



the weath the few was not person by the pounds the many Person a son will be uneary wherein at human some infer distribution and will produced as layer than the many. So for, no rule sone has the person the way and the way to the person the sound as the tenter of the many of the many to the person to be sound the person the sound the sound the person that the relation to the sound the sound to the sound the sound to th Pon + Bid wer when I saw me - of few in conflict of the Robert with on another, if he recent to get along with on another, if he Christians, busherm throughour the said of so the hour segment deneathing the works of the property of so the hour segment deneathing were soft of the party of the work of the normality of the new cooling of the work of the new weeken of the new 4 9+ is not to be assume that weath was observed us a bluming there have about for one water perfect when was: one of reconsisting extends on of about info and making all we nick to the separate much happy there have been informed for the rection who terms for the second for the rection who terms for their back when the comput out but in and characters who terms their back when the comput out but in and was to endury. char physical penning and want,

there have design her these afraid the erelanment, the entaryliments of the shis orientation wanted Freedom - Weath a York - Afraid what things do to a Mand wanted Freedom - Weath a York - From heing the Possessed Saw Vier- Corruptions - Flut from them Phil rusms-abrugatives |

Abread in Pagan Size their wire med stories of yours 
Suel ind; in wil wing. wanters | there exists to this day - Rel. on Some - thing Better : Possession - but way Hust men, however, have placed not R. Ant. P.Regarded P. as questert evil- and pursuit wealth as lifes some core.

Pursuit 5 health- highest, in some cases, sole interest. They are no constour of wealth that they has poor to die rule" In head long rush, chample over other times, lives q dear ones, some Family fends place up. Buther become estauged. Friends are harted prener. Hate supplement love. Belgich was even to habe the destlate court in the enopply heart men. then are others, who espice to wralth not become they are observed states and lack of influence. 21/52 pour 2000. 4). Our Rel-nise in the ways ble - and dishingunked by its gen in for Balance holds an even bollence also in this regard. It know the dangers of wealth - to the wiel . - to sounder. "Bold hath ben the ruin of many" (13m Sinal) "Watching In Riches consumeth the fibril" (")
For 121, 11/ Por 27/1. (Ecc.) Hand Heavedown + Offenson ith Rich - Exploitation "Sele the rightens for Silver, + por Man for Pair of Shres." Corrupt Justice

Make idels g Silver + gold -5). It also knows the wholesome discipline ( Want! Want is a 8 pur! This has her a factor in mais biologic and mental evolution. Manis lack of nat. plays equils and wears fated a greater develop of his which sever - man is homesand of ferrer frieshed appliances than auswal - Born "washed - un clad - unarmed' - Man had to kapply by the denet. I unful acts the defencion prature. "Por in the worther of author outs and had to Propess. + in voluntary 6. On the other hand - Know that exercise of wlonged and hepless tor. can break the human spirit - demoralize destroy virtues litter with furthabel arrice. The insecurity of their lines, the fuguent loss of friends them P. estern - respect - The corrosion of both consent and proty-I also realizes that pursuins and completiere may be a strong defence to a man - strong then his indefindence for him from consumy cares - relies his energies for The pot THE TELL. Window is good with a Grupateae" Poza B2 210 Fin 13 2 10 - "For Windom is a defence, the action was defence, (Earl.) The value of money as Defence ud for its luxuries - a pour confilure - stability - plis pils 1101 - 158 117 sels 15 The rich man's wealth is his strong city - The winders 8/ Thurstone Judaison extoles neither Rucher as such un Por- & "Richer au jord voto him that houth us sin" Richer is a senew test for a wan. If he comes through it uncathed \_"then let him glow" how to use I"

Proper are a plessing to these who think how to use I" private property. It prepares for the norm of life - neither one un the other Prager of agun - 17.17. CD/ 13.2000 - 1/12 St. slib Ghi?

- From the we with Ford sufficient for way weeds"

Rabbis - 321161411 - 2672 1213 - 7616 9. It admonishes all men to remember. That O Highert Defence - Integrity, the Heart - Richer tak wings We have seen it - thoney reduced to less > fines, my value to Permanence in amassed Capital - no particular finds (1) Keel vichen a Rich Weart - a Rich Wind. and things which count he bought - Health - Friends.
the long wife - Her land or children and Contentment - 17 de 2 no les - sols notes. (3) one can get wiels by subtracking from ani desires

quite as much and much over lastly > "adding toran store" I'm is not rich by what one arris, but more by I what one is able to do with ant will dequity"- Kaint Don't should grow wich in hants to he Seeken und after sord's but the 'Good' - Sh. + Partell. a-hunger!

to be for the wine and the wick which are without women and 5 with int fruit. "whoofer do ye should money for that which is not bread? " and you gain for that which satisfieth not? "Hearten dilingently unto he, and last ye that which is good, "and let you sort delight itself in fatuers. It relieire your las, and come with the; "Hear, and your soul shall live." Rich in interest - lord of unperchasealle of dornais 10) Dudy of Rich to support Pon- Heef them in their Par tout of Riel must ud assum Par. is a du in mandate - part of can be enachented - ext in land like ours - Work laws Duty to work for mich reorganization - Just com. laws Probert a man this family agains Unemple disablishes.

Wit may make told ge - Under-pay which cause Por. Moral mandate - enlightened seef interest. It is to the witerest the rich - that there he no prent poverely and hew from - Revolutions - Right on left -") Jud - redent Cole (Car). Por Laus -Proket pon debter class First Uning Uning Forg - Fallow - 7 th Field - Vingard - Oliva para - Fallow - 7 th Slave - 7 th ye - gas free - wife children.

hen bons added both in D- (12) fill / 31 Mi nx 2x 1,25 (
pra-needy) and in P. (60). Post-Evilve - glannys- corner-Julilee (Lev. 25), ho one is a Slave to another - gid! always 711/1 7/11 DI. Buth. On Family! 14. If then were more of short of Brother hours - Benowed help I wish for poor - employer for ampleyee - shore for make there would be been class struggle bordershial war -Bes ferme attends to to be a land of the short of the sound of the series of the second of the series of the second of the series of the second of t has ferre attempts to derbia, the entre system-+ Fet up other nystems - no far characterized were Derbuctiva wees + Hati - Constructivences That! 17/ Corporate Relation here theles - The Unit - D'? F