

Abba Hillel Silver Collection Digitization Project

Featuring collections from the Western Reserve Historical Society and The Jacob Rader Marcus Center of the American Jewish Archives

MS-4787: Abba Hillel Silver Papers, 1902-1989.

Series IV: Sermons, 1914-1963, undated.

Reel Box Folder 161 58 743

Reform Judaism, 1946.

REFORM JUDAISM

Concluding lecture in series on "Judaism"

By Dr. Abba Hillel Silver

 $\begin{array}{c} \text{At} \\ \text{The Temple} \end{array}$

On Sunday morning, March 26, 1946 In the first two lectures, my dear friends, we traced the history of our ancient faith from its very humble beginnings in the universally primitive concepts practiced by mankind...and then we traced its later development at the hands of a great number of preachers variously known as scribes, sages, elders and Rabbis...

We also saw how these Rabbis created new institutions in Jewish life like the synagogue, the Jewish School and which served as the instrumentalities for the keeping alive of the faith and the preservation of the people. We pointed out the principles and motifs of these teachers in the post-exilic times following the first destruction in the sixth century B.C.E. right through the period of years through the second destruction of The Temple and the dispersion. Their guiding principles were two. First was to preserve the people which in Palestine had already lost its political independence except for a short period of time. Scattered minority everywhere in the Diaspora which presented a minority evil. The second principle was to preserve the faith of the people, this faith of ethical monotheism in a world of paganism.

This people and this faith thus menaced were protected by the fact that the people organized itself in an army, so to speak, in the very strict discipline, an elaborate regimen aimed to keep the people alerted to resist assimilation to defend the faith of spiritual monotheism in a fighting mood and so remain undefeated and indispensible.

Now those who believed in preserving the people and its faith, accepted the discipline. Those who did not, and there were such in every age, fell away and were absorbed in the pagan world and later on in Christianity and still later on, in Islam. Nevertheless the people and the faith, in spite of their imperfections survived to this day for the overwhelming majority always remained loyal, even at the price of martyrdom.

Now this discipline was bound, in the course of time, to become irksome....

People felt there was too much discipline. Frequently soldiers fret under excessive discipline—and we find reactions to this program. And we find reactions to this program already with the Saducees in the early Christian centuries when a major

revolt broke out against the whole system or what we called Rabbinic Judaism. In the 8th century among the Jews of Babylonia — Babylonia at that time constituted the largest and most important Jewish community in the world — a movement came to be known as Karaism — a movement which rejected the authority of the Rabbis, the authority of the Talmud, the Oral Law. They maintained that the sole law obligatory and binding upon the Jew was the Torah.....Karaism was responsible for the inaguration of the critical study of the Bible, the careful scrutiny of text which had not been done heretofore. It was also responsible for the re-examination of the Biblical authority of Jewish Law on the part of the Rabbinites who maintained the authority of the Torah. This led to a very severe split of the Jewish people in the 9th, 10th and 11th centuries, a rift which, by the way, never healed. This movement, Karaism died out after awhile because fundamentally it was not a liberating movement in Judaism. It tried to be that which it was not. Fundamentally its thesis was a false one.

It wanted to tie down a living organism to a book and a thousands of years old. It made no room for progress in the development of law and institutions. These Rabbis, while they bore down on the law, in many ways made it easier for their people. For example, it is written: "Ye shall kindle no light in the home on the Sabbath." The Karaites took this law literally so that no light was kindled me in the home of the Karaites on the Sabbath, and on the day of the Sabbath, which should be a day of joy, it was instead a day of dreariness and darkness. And so it was as regards other law, of cleanliness, ritual, food, dothing and fasts. The Karaites were far more severe than the Rabbinites. They forgot that while tradition may be a burden, inherent in tradition is also the concept of change to the needs of life.

By the 12th Century, this movement was on the wane. Some returned to the Jewish fold; others returned to Islam, and Christianity. Before the last World War there were only 12,000 Karaites left in Crimea.

As we approach the Middle Ages, the position of the Jews in the world begins to deteriorate, especially during and after the period of the Crusades. Expulsion and persecution become the order of the day for the Jew. Successive Church Councils enacted anti-Jewish legislation designed to quarantine the Jew and to degrade him.

The Fourth Lateran Council of the Church met in 1215 and enacted laws to degrade mearing of the the Jew such as the/Yellow Badge. So that the Jew was easily distinguished by his yellow badge and was treated as a leper. Important Jewish communities were destroyed. The Jews were expelled from England in 1291, from France in 1394, and from Spain in 1492. Through all these dark centuries the Jews were driven into special quarters, special occupations and were degraded. They were meant to become the living proof of terrible things which happen to people who reject Christianity.

Now Jewish life struggled throughout the centuries to keep in contact with the outside world. Whenever given the opportunity Jewish life created great intellectual and cultural values even in those centuries between the 11th and 14th centuries wherever permitted, or later in the 17th century in Holland. But as a rule, the Jews were driven in upon themselves and the intellectual vigorousness of the Jew — fortunately the mind of the Jew was always vigorous — expenaded itself in the study of the Bible exclusively and of the Talmud — law upon law, regulation upon regulation. And so Jewish life became through the dark ages, almost completely ghetotized.

The Jews knew themselves to be, and the world made them to feel that they were an alien people leading a separate and precarious existence in an hostile world.

And within this separate existence, Jewish life was regulated by Jewish law almost from the cradle to the grave, from sunrise to nightfall — every act, every conduct, every habit of life was regulated by the Shulchan Aruch (legislative council which was developed).

Now on the eve of the French Revolution, new ideals of equality, fraternity begin to germinate. New currents begin to sweep through the Western World. An age

of enlightenment dawns for mankind. The old orthodoxies of the Church and State come in for criticism and new evaluation. In the New World in 1776 strange new doctrines are proclaimed. The people who severed connections with the Old World and established a new order of society proclaims that "all men are created equal." In 1789 the French Revolution creeps upon the Western World, destroys feudalism, and ushers in modern Europe.

And the repercussions are felt in the Ghetto. The Jews feel something new stirring in the world and they reach out for this great world of new freedom, the world which they believe is no longer hostile to them and will no longer be hostile to them. And avidly they seize the new opportunities for contact in the world, for opportunities to acquire the secular science and knowledge which were beyond their reach before in the ghetto.

And all the pent-up intellectual energies of the reace break their dykes, and within a half century of their partial emancipation, the Jews begin to become the leaders in the arts and sciences of the Western Europe.

Some Jews drank this new and heady wine of enlightenment and emancipation with complete abandonment and with complete gusto. They were so eager to integrate themselves into their new environment that they separated themselves from their people completely and joined the Christian Church. Quite as a number of prominent Jews in the first generation of their emancipation abandoned Judaism.

But those who were unwilling to abandon their faith were faced with the problem of how to take their place in the modern world and still remain loyal Jews, how to adjust Jewish life to the spirit of the times while at the same time keeping Judaism true to its own historic spirit. That is not so easy. Fortunately the leaders of this new movement in Jewish life did not do what the Karaites had done — rejected all tradition. They accepted, as it was accepted in the Rabbinic tradition, that which was k not obsolete. .. They set about "modernizing Judaism, reforming Judaism.

And the first approach of its reform was to modernize Jewish public worship

in the synagogue. They set about introducing great decorum in the worship. They eliminated from the prayer books those prayers which no longer reflected the convictions of the worshipers. They rejected the "Mussof" service, the prayer for sacrifices. They didn't believe any more in sacrifice. So they eliminated those prayers. They eliminated the prayers concerning with the coming of the personal Messiah in which they no longer believed. They reformed the service in other essential respects. Many Jews no longer understood Hebrew and therefore couldn't get the full meaning of the service. They didn't take kindly to the advice which was given to them by eminent Christians at that time -- that "the solution lay not in abandoning it, but in teaching it more energetically." They compromised by keeping some of the prayers in Hebrew and translating others into German. They introduced the Sermon. They introduced family worship at services. At that time women occupied places in the Mezzanine or in the balcony. This custom had an oriental origin, and our people came from the Orient. They believed they could now dispense with this custom and husband and wife and children could come and sit together and worship together. They intorduced the organ into the service. Up to that time instrumental music was not permitted in the service. Ever since the destruction of The Temple, instrumental music was prevented prohibited as a sign of mourning for the destruction of The Temple. Because they no longer believed in rebuilding of The Temple in Jerusalem there was no reason why there should be no music in The Temple. The uncovered head was introduced. There is no authority for the wearing of the hat either in the Bible or in the Talmud. It was the custom in Babylon where the Jews were living to wear the hat as a sign of xx respect, while in the Modern world the sign of respect was indicated by the removal of the hat. The Lews were now living in the Modern World and there was no longer any need for the wearing of the hat. All these reforms could be substantiated and proved justifiable by Jewish tradition. The reform Rabbis tried to demonstrate how these reforms can be sanctioned by basic Jewish teachings.

They also set about reforming other institutions. Jewish holidays originally celebrated one day. Difficulty in determining exact date of new moon, so to make sure, two days were celebrated. But with the development of the calendar in the Common Era, they could determine the exact date of the holidays years and centuries in advance and it was no longer necessary to celebrate two days. They therefore went back to the original method of celebrating the holidays one day.

They went further. They set about modernizing the Cheder. For centuries the Cheder was a place where only the Torah and the Talmud and Jewish customs were taught. No secular knowledge, no science was taught. They modernized the Cheder. Jewish communities were no longer isolated. They converted the Cheder into a great school where Judaism was known taught, where secular sciences were introduced and where better pedagogic methods were employed.

Now not all the reformers agreed. There were sharp divisions of opinion...

Powerful Jewish movement developed...Jewish scholarship of a scientific vein made its appearance...Great scholars appeared on the scene — Geiger, Graetz,

Frankel and a whole galaxy of others who created a vast Jewish literature of scholarship touching the life of the Jew and Judaism.

There was naturally great resistance to this movement...It made progress in Germany. It made little progress outside of Germany. There was very little in England, very little in France. As far as Eastern Europe was concerned, here great masses of European Jews lived and they were less concerned with religious reform..... The Movement made great progress in the United States. Isaac M. Wise in 1846 and David Einhorn in 1855 and other prominent German Reform Rabbis transplanted Reform Judaism.... All these refirms introduced by Reform Judaism were as much a problem of the laymen as of the Rabbis. ..A source of serious conflict in Reform Judaism was the tenet that the Jews no longer Constituted a people. Their national life had ended and they must no longer think of the rebuilding of the Jewish national life in Palestine. That was a definite break with tradition, because

throughout Prophetic Judaism, Rabbinic Judaism this was one of the basic concepts of Jewish life, and many who acknowledged the need for Reform in religious practice and ideals, resisted Reform Judaism, because quite a number of its leaders maintained this anti-nationalism as one of the tenets of Reform Judaism. The reason for this anti-nationalism of some of the early reformers of Judaism can be explained easily not as an essential but as an accidental by-product of the time and place where it arose. Many of these leaders, laymen and Rabbis, were on the threshold of a new age. They were indoctrinated with new enlightenment...

There was another reason for anti-na ionalism by some of these early reformers.

Here may I read from my essay on Israel in my book "World Crisis and Jewish Survival."

"The Reform movement in Germany did not originate in any great outpouring of spirit, or in any outburst of religious fervor or revivalism. Hence, while producing a vigorous polemic and Wissenschaft literature, it produced no great theologic or mystic literature. It was part of a comprehensive purpose to adjust Jewish life pragmatically to its new environment. It was a conscious expedient, not to reinstate prophetic universalism in Jewish religious thought — but to gain for the Jew full rights of citizenship by producing the proper effect upon the civil authorities. It was assumed, albeit erroneously, that Jewish separatism, manifested in speech, dress, folkways, rituals and ceremonies and in the messianic expectation of a return to Palestine, was responsible for the non Jews' suspicion and hostility and for their reluctance to concede to Jews full political equality. The solution, accordingly, lay in a thoroughgoing eradication of all eddences of separatism. For some Jews this meant total assimilation, a postasy not excluded. For others assimilation stopped short of religious surrender but religion purged of all nationalistic elements."

'This first generation of enlightened Berlin, Frankfort and Viennese Jews were convinced that their political and social salvation lay in deorientalizing and Germanizing themselves, in making themselves like other people in order to be accepted as

equals by other people. Of course they were naive. In the land of the polltzx where Jew-hatred had always been the most vulgar, violent, and sustained in all
Europe, they permitted themselves to indulge in wishful thinking. They might have
been cautioned by the cool admonitions of some of their Christian contemporaries who
advised them, as Teller deftly advised Friedlander, not to confound Jewish efforts at
religious modernization with the hope of political equality. Schleiermacher, the nonJew, grasped the Jewish problem far more realistically and fundamentally than did the
German Jews of his day. He warned them that the important difference between them and the
non-Jewish world was not religious but national. It took the German Jews a century and
a half to reconcile themselves finally to this unyielding fact."

"Most msrked were the natinationalist tendencies among German Jews because German anti-Semitism was far more virulent and uncompromising, and German nationalism, following the War of Liberation, far more intense and jaundiced. The opposition to the political emancipation of the Jews in Germany was bitter and relentless throughout the nineteenth century, continuing into the twentieth and achieving a complete victory under the Nazi regime in our day. Rising like a miasma from the poisoned soil of the Dark Ages, Jewhatred swept uninterruptedly like a pestilential plague through German life and literature, infesting masses and classes alike, poisoning the hearts and minds of liberals as well as reactionaries, of poets, philosophers, statesmen, historians, musicians and churchmen. The venomous anti-Jewish propaganda drew its inspiration, program and sligan from the prolific school of Jew-baiting preachers, pundits and pamphleteers of the Bismarckian era, and they, in turn, derived their leading ideas from their precursors in the post-Napoleonic era, whose mentor and model was the liberal, anticlerical philosopher Fichte. The latter's attitude is summed up in his statement: "The only way I see by which civil rights can be conceded to them is to cut off all their heads in one night and set new ones on their shoulders, which shall contain not a single Jewish idea. The only means of protecting ourselves against them is to conquer their pomised land and send them there."

"There is an unbroken line of ideologically formulated, metaphysically sanctioned and artistically embellished Judeophobia in Germany, centuries old, unmatched anywhere else in the world. It was in such a milieu that the Jews of Germanylived and struggled for their civil and political rights. If, therefore, Jewish lay and religious leaders of the reform group, and also of some of the Germa nized orthodox and conservative groups, attempted to throw overboard all the racial and national baggage of Israel in the fond hope of calming this sea of hate, if they believed that they could exorcise anti-Semitism by professions of patriotism, and in an age of crescendo nationalism, could solve the Jewish problem by a corresponding diminuendo in Jewish nationalism, one can understand their plight and forgive their blindness."

This anti-Zionism tied up with Reform Judaism was carried over to the United States by German Rabbis and it remained identified with Reform Judaism. Nevertheless it was never a product of the American scene, or of the experience of the Jews of the United States. And so this anti-Zionism of Reform Judaism had a stormy career in this country. In 1935 the Central Conference of American Rabbis, representing all Reform Rabbis in America, at its convention in Chicago, finally resolved that Reform Judaism would take no official stand on Zionism. "It decied that it was to be the prerogative of individual members to determine for themselves, within the framework of Reform Judaism what their point of view on this subject might be. This was and is a salutary policy and should be continued." In 1943 the same Conference declared: "Some of our members have renewed the assertion that Zionism is not compatible with Reform Judaism. The attempt has been made to set in irreconcilable opposition 'universalism' and 'particularism.' To the members of the Conference, this appears unreal and mis leading. Without impugning the right of members of the Conference to be opposed to Zionism, for whatever reason they may choose, the Conference declares that it discerns no essential incompatibility between Reform Judaism and Zionism, no reason why those of its members who give allegiance to Zionism should not have the right to regard themselves as fully within the spirit and purpose of Reform Judaism."

I trock- our ameient faut - hundle on plus - galtall Cater developed and applied to the weeks of their Cero created was institutions - Syrung. of charl-Pointed and the guiding tourish the Thesate a people which in P- had lost its p. H. evidif, and in Dies for a (6 c) was a cartleast un united every other surrounding world. E Preserve a monor think to faith in a program world. This plays to the went people or garried itself into an army, so to short disaphine, an elatorate regimen, aimed at thefarey the people abented, mens there of so undificated our de periole. Those who believed in preserving the people and its relyin the said this drapline of the who did wel- and there was ruel in every age-full away and were absorbed in the pagan world. Father as in the fitters their defections for the page of the faith surveyed their defections for the over whelmany wraginity always remained logal—ever at the five of warty dian. 24 Driving early centuries in and heading fellowing fellowing anthony and was free the convert the form was noted -t with considerable meces. The Storfel: Phenses compossed land and sea to walk one Hellensti bend we ha the conners ; the Royal family g'adiabene - an Hell state as the Tigues. During the Talumbi pand - many converts the Roser Roseran ais tocarey.

Tueshing: Why Judainin, valide alut, has week so little propers rumentally, venins resins with the distriction of I want top courts in Pal-in Do and 135- the frightful whenes, would a econ. which everted - and the limited purewords which set is esp. after callage Bar Kerktaretters world-countries the people because seef firmation retters a world-countries the people all with the properties then people all with the properties from post in the host of the properties for the search of the post of the host of the properties of the post of the g the human who had appeared, died there remeretad, belief in when made for the MIwithin y sin and the writed one admissions in the wall and which are winder wort. esp. among Jewes friends the outer of friendly esp "sewis friends" then who were us friendly converted a adapted all the fravition, the cer-In their eagurers to make commits, they attacked laves, the Bible. They were set little con-and parmy though the prophy thick was then part the present auxis with history In come of twing - they ever person that the turlegue ites - such as the Trink - apard which Jed had her nogeij in, to find their has with their fait! which frequency heaves heaven

This council apprehensive away the defender of hun Menthers or council the Les culturales the what what what By the 4 c. Chr. beam the fluid relegaing the Runan Europen & Jeun who publiddes to mark fromlytes, Junday under Salaun. Cumulate 3). The elaboration I have under Rubbis went on a free, Saddweer Christians consumation smalls while how frence of Color way or rest of Kerantes - 12 - away they few May rejected completely the authority, the 3/1/x - One -> While up nethant the for from the Stand Study Bible- frameway & philoso. re-exameter & tents
fra - + authorit, law - 8peit J. peple Popt which never healed. -1) Was us a a hirating morement. Tied livery of Ruin to a Broll - there and a light Wed way laws / Kell laster - 22 -Could ham no five in their heurs dans, Retral channer, 9 ford, dothing offers urn senn. By 12 R. - on the ware - Some returned to s. fold. often to Islam, 12.000 left anima;

4) as we approach hisdol lyes- pentin / Jul (4) delevotes - esp. dung and after crusades (12 C) Exile & pulsius or Procentius became the order, the day Successions Church Converts enach anti-J. laws The do II hater an Corevil 51215 - Jethen bodge to esthet to depende - There 1354 than 1492 for Jensey of the depende - There 1354 than 1492 Experiency dura into special granter, special recupations - a panals, + a horizon -5). Jew. life - infraisse sturgles & Reef in contact with und , thought - whenher the they be the they the fain A + futhy elseular or in 17 c. Hothand - produces But as a rule - denter in open storef-Entall. vijer expland strong- in paparadory-Completely shitts tized an ahin fresh -leading a separate Thream's trasting lik favora gen is regulated by 2×100llfrom his ning-cradle. -. 6). But towards the close of 186 - new currents
begin to sweef though the first inde Will on ESE & Dr. Bern - Whenty Equal of
Frakeunts - germinating -

an ap , Enlightenment down for wantens. The old atherdran, Church + Plate cow in pr Entrum town evoluation In hen hold- 1176- shay her direkus an preamed. - all men diated agral" In 1789 - Fr. Perol - crester cifen Wester well - derhogs Fendalings and when in modern aure Referenson feet in Shetto. - Jens ruch ant for prent under g new fredom - a under us large hartite - they heher? lividly they seeze, whenver penulted, the her ophertomites for control, for to acquire
the secular server + knowledge which wer bejund their reach in shitto. It the fent-up with energies, the rue genflew. - with in 1/2 C. 1 then the partial emanufation the four the Europe - com to occupy forward pentius in the saive, art, beter about o given If form druit the new, head, wine, to great for the first Ess fruits. They are no super to not they repared that they repared to that they repared to see the second to th Just a no. 9 frament for -entered the Church

101. Mortunent made buth propers outs de firm - Filice & In dortern Europe - 3/3ls - Humanum les coverned with rel. reform-It was also was Helane + nationality Morement made front from in her leade.

Frace M. his To Dand denham 1855

are Reformer brothed by Ton, revered about 1 don 1 of house,

11) he mixed the promise Conflict in Reform Ind to the
The mynthe attribute promise the party of the resistance of the property of the religions reform in rel. paracts and ideas on Trampia is levely explanable. It is not an exembral the the from These when it know with had 1/9. 12). to U.S. The auti- 3. - was caused one to how. product the lun scene of the expenses and of hos: had a stormy care in some 1935. C.C. G, M. 0- 1943. 13/ By dis es souther that from hit-2 - for centurally -

14. For this type of Jud - steamy the phophetis - Extremy what is valuable us the Rabhan function of the production of the production of the production of the first consumer with what is but in the that the surface of the that is the surface of the that is not further of the surface of the that is all father - In the type of the surface of the that surety of scholarly, the and that surety of the contact of the that surety of the sur eny sort ruly in - and while works pew dimandr and can be sortly facthed any Jill- and annual dues to a Temple friend bothy sadaring wisher former.

There who would was it as a way of exception of consunt wents to description as a lock-direction then the thing with a such the thing with the children are an are a consum fruit to a constitution of the contraction of t see alwing its genus and spirit -

Reform Jud-is Indam - a referen while 9 conduct, + quintilles, + observance; It couler is a way of life, or it is nothing. N'halits] fragest without the observance in some from I sable the of hoot, without J. Knowledget storing the total - nettent eugh, fryan identificative netts of that of fine + will in J. commend life, and on the life of faith in the world is no J. at all It is a first soil desembled spirit - a short in west pert and on the port-but only 16/ Efter call then is lest any J- Some profer a convenient with The a convenient for + bysety

8). Those who were unwilling- hobben "thro to take (6 their place in modern world + still remain Jews" - Adjust - Jul. to Spirit of Times - hun to Spirit of Jud. - Did not repect all Trodition - Kerantes - Charge - Set alit "Moderniging"- "Reforming" - Though - Essential - Frist- Public Waship - Elimenate - Pole - 2,6/10 - Persona Messiel only Heben + Bolistin By ut in abadoning -Sermon in Sommen - Family Pew. - Organ -- Uverrend Hends. - no law - Borlyl. - Second - Two days 216 ph - Calendar - Les emphonis on stret Asware xel-dietay lows. - hiderung >317 - prils - 201 le 2,0201 Not all Reformer - moderates - extremts 9). Jovelneh Wissenschoft - Karaster - J. Scholasheb on Sr. lini - Zung - Och feizer - Traits-

HONORABLY DISCHARGED



Temple Men and Women

Fifteenth List



The Temple is happy to welcome back its sons and daughters who have served faithfully and gallantly in the Armed Forces of the nation.

Capt. William R. Rothkopf, husband of Mrs. W. Rothkopf	Army
Pfc. Richard Salomon, husband of Mrs. R. Salomon	Army
Capt. Harold W. Scher, son of Mrs. L. M. Scher	Army
M/Sgt. Sanford Scher, son of Mrs. L. M. Scher	Navv
Dorothy Schonberg, wife of Lester Schonberg	ARC
Purser, Ph. M. Joseph Sharlitt, son of Mr. and Mrs. Michael Sharlitt	USMM
Sgt. Jack D. Shaw, son-in-law of Mr. and Mrs. Wm. Cohen	Army
Lt. Col. David G. Skall, son-in-law of Mrs. S. Korach	Army
Sgt. Lawrence H. Skall, son-in-law of Mr. and Mrs. A. E. Frankel.	Army
Lt. Richard N. Sloss, son of Mr. and Mrs. Nathan S. Sloss	Army
Pfc. William J. Spaeth, husband of Mrs. W. J. Spaeth	Army
M/Sgt. James H. Stecker, son of Mr. and Mrs. Samuel H. Stecker	Army
Pfc. Julian Steuer, son of Dr. and Mrs. Henry Steuer	Army
Lt. Morton J. Stone, husband of Mrs. M. J. Stone	Army
Sgt. Richard J. Taplinger, son-in-law of Mr. and Mrs. H. H. Serlin.	Army
M/Sgt. Herbert Trattner, son of Mr. and Mrs. Aaron Trattner	Army
Pfc. Norman B. Ture, son of Mr. and Mrs. A. A. Ture	Army
Lt. David C. Vactor, son-in-law of Mr. and Mrs. Marvin Kane	Army
Pfc. Howard S. Vactor, son of Mr. and Mrs. S. H. Vactor	Army
Pfc. Benton Wachsman, son-in-law of Mr. Maurice Liebowitz	Army
Corp. Robert Weiskopf, son of Mr. and Mrs. E. A. Weiskopf	Army
Lt. Col. Myron A. Weitz, husband of Mrs. M. A. Weitz.	Armv
Pfc. Robert G. Wirtshafter, son of Dr. and Mrs. M. Wirtshafter	Army

UNION REJECTS ANTI-ZIONIST STAND

The following resolution on the continued affiliation of the Union of American Hebrew Congregations with The American Jewish Conference which had been adopted by the Executive Board of the Union in January of 1944, was approved by the Thirty-Ninth Biennial Council of the Union which met in Cincinnati March 3-6.

"The Union of American Hebrew Congregations declares that its function is to interpret, maintain and promote Reform Judaism and reaffirms its loyalty to its spiritual purpose.

"The Union, continuing as a member of the American Jewish Conference, declares its sense of fellowship with all Israel and will associate itself with all worthy and practical efforts designed to ameliorate the tragic plight of world Jewry and to assist in reconstructing those communities that have suffered from the ravages of Nazi tyranny.

"Because in the congregations of the Union there are divergent opinions on the question of Zionism. the Union recognizes the right of each individual to determine his own attitude on this controversial question and therefore the Union refrains from taking any action on the Palestine resolution adopted by the American Jewish Conference.

"We call upon our congregations" and their members to rally loyally to the support of the Union so that its great and noble work may continue to enrich the spiritual life of American Israel."

The Union is on record unanimously, as pledged (1) to official neutrality on the question of political Zionism, (2) to the right of individual choice on the same question; and (3) to UAHC membership in the American Jewish Conference.

THE TEMPLE GRATEFULLY ACKNOWLEDGES THE FOLLOWING CONTRIBUTIONS

To The Soldiers' War Memorial Fund:

Gifts have been received from the following: Milton P. Altschul, Mrs. Bert Auerbach, Lester P. Aurbach, Marie M. Auerbach, Herman Bass, Mr. and Mrs. Ralph Bass, Dr. J. M. Bell, Mrs. Louis S. Bing, Sr., R. S. Blau, Mrs. J. Bresky, M. Browdy, Herbert Cashman.

E. A. Cazeres, Sylvia Chessin, Dr. Samuel S. Cohen, Mrs. Julius B. Cohn, David Cowan, Mrs. J. W. Deutsch, Edwin J. Ensten, Mrs. Jared A. Faulb, W. P. Fuldauer, Harry J. Fisher, Sidney S. Friedman.

Dr. M. E. Gans, Eugene L. Geismer, Mr. and Mrs. Max Geschwind, Henry S. Goldburg, Mrs. S. S. Goldburg, L. D. Goldhamer, M. Y. Goldman, Emil Gottfried, Mr. and Mrs. A. S. Green, Mrs. Merrill D. Gross, Mr. and Mrs. N. N. Gross, Mary B. Grossman.

L. E. Gruber, Max L. Haas, Mr. and Mrs. Louis H. Isaacson, Milton Kane, Abram M. Kaplan, Bert Keller, Howard Klivans, M. C. Kohn, Ben Kranz, Harry Leff, Mrs. Edward Levy, Mr. and Mrs. S. Liebenthal.

Felix B. Lowell, Fannie S. Lowenstein, Mrs. Jack Mandel, Max Mandel, Mr. and Mrs. Emanuel Margulis, E. J. Meisel, I. R. Morris, Mr. and Mrs. M. P. Nathanson, Max E. Nemcher, Nathan Robb, Beryl Rosenberg.

Mr. and Mrs. Sidney P. Rosenthal, Abraham G. Schock, Tec/4 and Mrs. Mervin A. Schoenberger, Ezra M. Schwartz, J. Silberger, Sam Silberger, Sigmund E. Simon, Max S. Uberstine, Wm. Wirtshafter, B. M. Young.

In memory of Lt. Walter Deutsch by Mr. and Mrs. Max M. Ozer. In memory of husband, Simon Klein by Mrs. Simon Klein. In memory of Morton Seidman by Mr. and Mrs. N. Seidman. In honor of their 50th Anniversary by Mr. and Mrs. N. Seidman. In honor of two sons returned from overseas by Jack H. Lefton. In honor of return of son, Norman M. Brown, by Mrs. E. Landy. In honor of the safe return of Corp. Wallace M. Rich by Mr. and Mrs. M. H. Rich and Mr. and Mrs. M. A. Newburgh. In memory of Lt. Richard H. Holstein of Houston, Texas by Mr. and Mrs. M. H. Rich and Mr. and Mrs. M. A. Newburgh.

To The Sophie Auerbach Scholarship Fund:

In honor of the 21st birthday of Wm. Keller by The Herbert Buckman Family. In memory of Mrs. Abraham Samuels of Allentown, Pa. by Mrs. Sadie Calb. In

memory of birthday of father, Sam Sitzman by Edna Siegel. In memory of father, Leon Sperling by Bernard Sperling, Richard Sperling and Mrs. Leon Shon. In memory of mother, Mrs. Jacob Weiskopf; Albert Silberman; nephew, Edward Weiskopf; and sister Elsie Berg Cohane by Mr. and Mrs. Charles Weiskopf.

To The Library Fund:

In memory of mother, Mrs. Molly Rae Cone by Mrs. Henry Lederer. In memory of brother, Morris Edelman by Mr. and Mrs. Sam Greenwald, In memory of Miss Sadie Kahn by Dr. and Mrs. S. Hollander. In memory of Mrs. Josephine Pepi Sandrowitz by Mrs. Margaret Keller. In honor of the 50th wedding anniversary of Mr. and Mrs. Nathan Seidman by Mr. and Mrs. Sam E. Greenwald.

Please Remember The Temple Funds

The Temple Funds provide an opportunity for members of the congregation to commemorate occasions of importance. Gifts are often given in honor of marriages, anniversaries, graduations, and other times of joy. Events of sorrow such as deaths and yahrzeits are also noted by contributions.

The Soldiers' War Memorial Fund will be used in order to provide a memorial in honor of the twenty-two Temple men who died in the service, and the more than seven-hundred Temple men and women who served in the Armed Forces and returned.

Gifts to the Sophie Auerbach Scholarship Fund provide scholarships for Temple Alumni at the Hebrew Union College.

Contributions to the Library Fund are used for the acquisition of new books and rare books for The Temple Library.

The Flower Fund provides the altar flowers for The Temple. After services these flowers are distributed to members of the congregation on occasions of joy and sorrow.

RESOLUTION I

In 1935 at its Chicago Convention, the Central Conference of American Rabbis declared that it would take no official stand on Zionism. It decided that it was to be the prerogative of individual members to determine for themselves, within the framework of Reform Judaism what their point of view on this subject might be. This was and is a salutary policy and should be continued.

Of late, however, some of our members have renewed the assertion that Zionism is not compatible with Reform Judaism. The attempt has been made to set in irreconcilable opposition "universalism" and "particularism." To the members of the Conference, this appears unreal and misleading. Without impugning the right of members of the Conference to be opposed to Zionism, for whatever reason they may choose, the Conference declares that it discerns no essential incompatibility between Reform Judaism and Zionism, no reason why those of its members who give allegiance to Zionism should not have the right to regard themselves as fully within the spirit and purpose of Reform Judaism.