



Abba Hillel Silver Collection Digitization Project

Featuring collections from the Western Reserve Historical Society and
The Jacob Rader Marcus Center of the American Jewish Archives

MS-4787: Abba Hillel Silver Papers, 1902-1989.

Series V: Writings, 1909-1963, undated.

Reel
177

Box
65

Folder
408

Scottsboro case, concerns "alleged rape of two white girls by 9
Negro boys" in Alabama, 1936.

Scottsboro Case

1. 3 things - When Justice - Involved in this case.
 { No Case since Sacco - 1927
 { Since Mooney
2. Much more involved than lives of 9 - Important enough.
3. { On for 5 years now - Tried 4 times - Patterson - 75
 { Case will not down - Judicial crime
4. General feeling - Racial passions played
 No impartial Justice
 Men who believe in laws above race - Rallied - Integrity
5. Sword comes into world - 2 things - Justice delay - Perverted
6. Passions - Prosecutor
 Melvin C. Hudson - "Quote"
7. Who is this Victoria Price? - A noble virtuous woman? - Ruby Bates -
 2 white tramps - Gilley - Carter - Hoboes - Jungle
 In jail before - Habitual harlot
 Her testimony alone -
 Ruby Bates Repudiated

8. Her story of attack - wounds inflicted -
2 reputable physicians - Bridges
Sydney - examined - 1 to 1½
hours - found none of the charges -
Facts
9. Every other charge of Victoria Price -
The car the boys were in - arms -
contradicted.
10. James E. Horton, Alabama Circuit Court -
New Trial 1933 -
Sifted evidence - "Quote"
11. U.S. Supreme Court twice reversed
12. In spite of it all - 75 years
13. "Ye shall do no unrighteousness in
judgment; thou shalt not respect
the person of the poor nor favor the
person of the mighty; but in righteous-
ness shalt thou judge thy neighbor."
14. In defending them we defend Basic
American Principles

Today it is race prejudice -
Yesterday it was Economic Prejudice

URGES PATTERSON BE SENT TO CHAIR

Continued From Page One.

hear any further argument" and that the court would "not be tampered with." Twice later in the day the defense moved unsuccessfully for a mistrial because of comments by Mr. Hutson on the testimony of the Negro defendants.

Before the closing arguments began, the defense succeeded in reading into the record the testimony of Dr. R. R. Bridges, a physician who examined Victoria Price, the complaining witness, within an hour after she was taken from the freight train on which she says she was assaulted by a dozen Negroes.

Physician Contradicts Woman.

Dr. Bridges, a Scottsboro physician, was too ill to come to court, but he testified before Judge James E. Horton at one of Patterson's earlier trials that the woman's pulse and breathing were normal and that her body showed no sign of cuts and bruises with which she testified at this trial that she was covered.

The doctor had testified also that he found sexual indications which Judge Callahan refused to permit the defense to prove might have been accounted for by events which happened in a hobo jungle in Chattanooga the night before Ruby Bates and Mrs. Price hoboed their way home to Huntsville with their escorts.

One of these, Lester Carter, testified today that he was with the girls aboard the freight train when a fight broke out between colored and white vagrants who were also on the train. It was immaterial, Judge Callahan ruled, whether he and Orville Gilley had spent the night with Ruby and Mrs. Price.

Later when Mr. Knight was extracting in detail from Carter the various stages of his wanderings from coast to coast, Samuel S. Leibowitz, chief defense counsel, protested. Judge Callahan held that the evidence was admissible. Mr. Leibowitz inquired why he had not been permitted to trace the movements of Victoria Price prior to the alleged attack and Judge Callahan threatened to cite him for contempt.

"I won't have insinuations that you were denied something that somebody else got," he shouted.

Carter was permitted to testify, however, that he overheard Mrs. Price urge one of the white hoboes while she was in jail at Scottsboro to pretend that he was her brother.

Carter said he had given up hobo life and was now a laborer for the Board of Education in New York.

Patterson on the Stand.

Patterson next took the stand. Over and over he denied that he had touched Mrs. Price or Ruby Bates, or that he had even seen any woman on the train. The white hoboes had been throwing stones at him and the "other colored," he explained, and he had fought with them "to make them stop bothering us."

Mr. Hutson, cross-examining the defendant, blustered and stormed about the court room, repeating the Negro's answers to his questions with obvious scorn and disbelief in his voice and manner. Sometimes he didn't wait for Patterson to answer one question before he asked another, and he frequently attributed words to the witness that the Negro had not uttered.

At last the local prosecutor fell back upon the record of the original trials at Scottsboro, although Mr. Leibowitz protested that the record was inadmissible on the ground that the Supreme Court of the United States had declared the whole proceeding illegal because the defendants were not adequately represented there by counsel.

Patterson said he did not remember testifying as the record indicated he had done when he was asked what he saw aboard the freight train on March 25, 1931. According to the transcript from which Mr. Hutson read, the Negro had testified that he saw all but three of the nine Negroes in custody attack the white girls. Later, reading from another part of the same record, Mr. Leibowitz showed that Patterson had testified just as he had today.

Four of Patterson's co-defendants followed him on the witness stand. They were Olin Montgomery, Willie Roberson, Ozie Powell and Andy Wright, Negro boys who have grown to manhood in jail. All de-

nied participating in any attack on the white women.

Hutson Addresses Jury.

The State offered no rebuttal and after a short recess, during which he prepared himself by brushing his wavy brown hair, putting on a waistcoat and straightening his small blue bow tie, Mr. Hutson began.

He got quickly to his point. This was a simple case of rape, he said. Since the complainant was white, the issue narrowed down to one question:

"Did he do it?"

The Morgan County solicitor then described in various figures of speech the loathsome nature of the crime charged to Patterson. The lawmakers of Alabama, representing "the wisdom of the ages," had decreed that this crime should be punished by death. The law held a woman's body inviolate until she surrendered herself voluntarily, and whatever else Victoria Price might be, she was "a human being of the female species."

It was then that he made his appeal for the protection of womanhood, and he warned the jurors that when they had rendered their verdict and gone home they would have to face their neighbors. His voice rose to a crescendo as he choked back a sob evoked by his own eloquence in lauding the martyrdom of Victoria Price.

He had no sympathy to waste upon the defendants because they had been kept in prison five years. What of Mrs. Price—this woman, little more than a girl, who for four years has stood up under repeated attacks of the defense while she sought vindication of herself, punishment of the guilty Negroes and protection for her sisters in the State?

"She fights for the rights of the womanhood of Alabama," he shouted.

Watts Sticks to Evidence.

Mr. Watts, a prominent attorney in the home town of Mrs. Price, made a calm and detailed analysis of the evidence submitted, asserting that the story told by Mrs. Price had been refuted by the State's own witnesses "and contradicted by the physical facts in the case." Rape was a crime of secrecy, not one committed in broad daylight in full view of the public highway by a dozen men strangers to each other.

Introducing himself as a "friend and neighbor" from Madison County, Mr. Watts criticized the State for not placing Orville Gilley, an eyewitness of the alleged crime, on the witness stand, and remarked that he could not refrain from wondering why the State had left it for the defense to present medical testimony which was in the State's possession.

He too urged jurors to weigh the evidence with common sense, and in answer to Mr. Hutson's plea for the protection of womanhood appealed for "protection of the innocent."

"It takes courage to do the right thing in the face of public clamor for the wrong thing, but when justice is not administered fairly, governments disintegrate and there is no protection for any one, man or woman, black or white."

Mr. Knight, who had the last word for the prosecution, summed up briefly and with restraint, confining himself to the evidence and arguing that all the testimony submitted, save that of Patterson himself, tended to bear out the complainant's story.

WILL SPONSOR DESTROYER

Mrs. Frank Learned Will Name the Craven for Her Father.

Special to THE NEW YORK TIMES.

WASHINGTON, Jan. 22.—Mrs. Frank Learned of 48 West Ninth Street, New York City, was designated by Secretary Swanson today as sponsor for the destroyer Craven, which will be the third naval vessel to bear the name of her father, Commander Tunis A. M. Craven, who was killed in the Civil War when his vessel was torpedoed.

The new Craven will be launched by the Bethlehem Shipbuilding Corporation at Quincy, Mass. The date is some months off.

Commander Craven went down with his ship on Aug. 5, 1864, in Mobile Bay, ending a career which embraced the Mexican War and the greater part of the Civil War. His name was first carried by a torpedo boat and then by a destroyer, since decommissioned.

Episcopal Convention Date Set.

CINCINNATI, Jan. 22 (AP).—John J. Rowe, as general chairman, announced today that the fifty-second triennial convention of the Episcopal Church will be held here, opening Oct. 6, 1937. The general convention is the law-making body of the church.

The Scottsboro Case

Opinion of Judge James E. Horton

of the Alabama Circuit Court granting a motion for a new trial in the Scottsboro case on the ground that the conviction was against the weight of the evidence.



WRHS



Reprinted by

THE SCOTTSBORO DEFENSE COMMITTEE

**112 East 19th Street, Room 506,
New York City**

Composed of representatives of

**National Association for the
Advancement of Colored
People**

**Methodist Federation for
Social Service**

**American Civil Liberties
Union**

**League for Industrial
Democracy**

International Labor Defense

**Church League for Indus-
trial Democracy (Episcopal)**

January, 1936



Price 5c

THE SCOTTSBORO DEFENSE COMMITTEE reprints this opinion of Judge James E. Horton of Alabama on the issues of the case because it is the most telling indictment of the prosecution we know.

We reprint it at a time when the Scottsboro boys are again on trial following two reversals by the U. S. Supreme Court of previous convictions and following the granting of a new trial in one case by Judge Horton.

In our judgment no one who reads Judge Horton's temperate and fair statement of the evidence can fail to conclude that the Scottsboro boys are wholly innocent of the charge of rape.

The undersigned defense committee, composed of the organizations which have been active in the defense, as well as others, solicits the support of all citizens interested to aid these boys get fair trials and ultimate vindication. Use the coupon on the back cover.

SCOTTSBORO DEFENSE COMMITTEE.

Rev. Allan Knight Chalmers, Chairman

Col. Wm. Jay Schieffelin, Treasurer

Rt. Rev. William Scarlett, St. Louis, Mo.

Dean Elbert Russell, Durham, N. C.

Prof. James Weldon Johnson, Nashville, Tenn.
Vice-Chairmen

Calendar of the Scottsboro Case

1931

March 24

Two white girls, Victoria Price and Ruby Bates from Huntsville, Ala., hobo their way to Chattanooga and stay there overnight.

March 25

They return on a freight train leaving Chattanooga for Alabama. On the train are a number of Negro and white boys who engage in a fight, the white boys being thrown off the train. Alleged rape of the two girls by the Negro boys follows. When the train pulls into Paint Rock, Ala., at 1:30 P. M. nine Negro boys, aged 13 to 20, are arrested and locked up at Scottsboro, the county seat. Victoria Price and Ruby Bates are examined by doctors that afternoon.

The attitude of the community becomes so threatening that the Governor calls out the militia late that night. Next day the Negro boys are taken to Gadsden, Ala. under military protection.

March 30

The grand jury is called into session at Scottsboro and next day indicts the nine boys for rape.

April 6 to 9

All the boys are tried at Scottsboro in groups or singly, and all are convicted except Roy Wright, in whose case the jury disagreed. All are sentenced to death. The boys were represented by a white attorney from Chattanooga, one Stephen Roddy, retained by a local ministers' association, and local lawyers appointed by the court.

April and May

Attorneys for the International Labor Defense and for the National Association for the Advancement of Colored People enter the case. George W. Chamlee of Chattanooga, former county Solicitor General, is retained by some of the defendants.

June 22

Motion for a new trial argued by Joseph R. Brodsky and denied.

1932

January 21

An appeal to the Alabama Supreme Court from all the convictions is argued by George W. Chamlee, Joseph R. Brodsky and Irving Schwab, retained by the International Labor Defense.

March 24

The State Supreme Court affirms the conviction of seven defendants but reverses the conviction of Eugene Williams on the ground that he was a minor and as such could not be tried in the Circuit Court until after a hearing in the juvenile court. The court denies a re-hearing on April 9.

May

Petition for leave to appeal filed in the U. S. Supreme Court and permission granted by the Supreme Court on May 31.

October 10

The appeal to the U. S. Supreme Court is argued by Walter H. Pollak, retained by the International Labor Defense.

November 7

The U. S. Supreme Court reverses the conviction of the seven defendants on the ground that appointment of counsel appearing for them in the original trial at Scottsboro was inadequate and granted. Trials are set for Morgan County near Jackson County in which the original trials were held.

1933

March 6

A petition for a change of venue from Scottsboro is filed and granted. Trials are set for Morgan County near Jackson County in which the original trials were held.

March 27

Haywood Patterson is placed on trial at Decatur, Morgan County, before Judge James E. Horton. The trial lasts until

April 9, resulting in Patterson's conviction, the jury imposing the death penalty. Patterson is defended by Samuel S. Leibowitz and Joseph R. Brodsky of New York, and George W. Chamlee of Chattanooga, Tenn., all retained by the International Labor Defense.

Ruby Bates, one of the complaining witnesses, appears at this trial and reverses her previous testimony, denying that the boys committed rape on her or Victoria Price. Her story is challenged by Victoria Price who maintains her original testimony.

April 16

Joseph R. Brodsky files with Judge Horton a motion for a new trial for Haywood Patterson on the ground that the conviction was against the weight of the evidence.

June 1

Two of the boys under 16 years of age are ordered transferred by Judge Horton to the Juvenile Court.

June 22

Judge Horton grants the motion for a new trial of Haywood Patterson and sets aside the conviction with a lengthy opinion reviewing the case and concluding that the conviction was unjustified by the evidence. (On the basis of this opinion Judge Horton was defeated for reelection the following year.)

November 20

Haywood Patterson goes on trial for the third time, before Judge W. W. Callahan at Decatur. His trial lasts until Dec. 1 when he is convicted and again the jury imposes the death penalty. Clarence Norris is put on trial immediately and is similarly convicted after a week. Both boys are represented by Samuel S. Leibowitz and Joseph R. Brodsky of New York, and George W. Chamlee of Chattanooga, Tenn.

1934

February 24

Motions for a new trial denied by Judge Callahan.

May 25

Appeals in both cases are argued in the Alabama Supreme Court by Samuel S. Leibowitz and Osmond K. Fraenkel. The Court affirms the convictions on June 28. Motions for re-

hearing are denied by the court on October 4.

November

Petitions are filed in the U. S. Supreme Court for review of both convictions.

1935

January 7

The Supreme Court of the United States grants petitions for review, and the appeals are argued on February 15 to 18 before the Supreme Court by Samuel S. Leibowitz, retained by the American Scottsboro Committee, and Walter H. Pollak retained by the International Labor Defense, assisted by Osmond K. Fraenkel.

April 1

The Supreme Court reverses the convictions of both boys on the ground that Negroes were excluded from the panels of grand and petit jurors which indicted and tried the boys.

May 1

New warrants are sworn out by Victoria Price, the only complaining witness since the withdrawal of Ruby Bates from the prosecution.

November 13

The grand jury at Scottsboro returns new indictments for rape against all the boys including the two transferred to the Juvenile Court.

December

The Scottsboro Defense Committee is formed, composed of all agencies cooperating in the defense. The American Scottsboro Committee is dissolved.

1936

January 6 to 8

The defendants, other than the two juveniles, are arraigned and plead not guilty. Petitions for removal to the U. S. District Court are rejected by Judge Callahan and the first case is set for trial January 20. Attorneys Samuel S. Leibowitz of New York, C. L. Watts of Huntsville, Ala. and George W. Chamlee of Chattanooga, Tenn. are enlisted to conduct the defense.

Judge Horton's Decision in the Scottsboro Case

NOTE: Headings, bold face emphasis and some punctuation are inserted in Judge Horton's opinion following, to make it more clearly readable.

The defendant in this case has been tried and convicted for the crime of rape with the death penalty inflicted. He is one of nine charged with a similar crime at the same time.

The case is now submitted for hearing on a motion of a new trial. As human life is at stake, not only of this defendant, but of eight others, the Court does and should approach a consideration of this motion with a feeling of deep responsibility, and shall endeavor to give it that thought and study it deserves.

Social order is based on law, and its perpetuity on its fair and impartial administration. Deliberate injustice is more fatal to the one who imposes than to the one on whom it is imposed. The victim may die quickly and his suffering cease, but the teachings of Christianity and the uniform lessons of all history illustrate without exception that its perpetrators not only pay the penalty themselves, but their children through endless generations. To those who deserve punishment who have outraged society and its laws—on such, an impartial justice inflicts the penalties for the violated laws of society, even to the tabling of life itself; but to those who are guiltless the law withholds its heavy hand.

The Court will decide this motion upon the sole consideration of what is its duty under the law. The Court must be faithful in the exercise of the powers which it believes it possesses as it must be careful to abstain from the assumption of those not within its proper sphere. It has endeavored with diligence to enlighten itself with the wisdom declared in the cases adjudged by the most pure and enlightened judges who have ornamented the Courts of its own state, as well as the distinguished jurists of this country and its Mother England. It has been unstinted in the study of the facts presented in the case at bar.

The law wisely recognizes the passions, prejudices and sympathies that such cases as these naturally arouse, but

sternly requires of its ministers freedom from such actuating impulses.

The Court will now proceed to consider this case on the law and evidence only making such observations and conclusions as may appear necessary to explain and illustrate the same.

There are a number of grounds for the motion. The Court has decided that no good purpose may be subserved in considering a number of these; without deciding whether these grounds are well based or not, the Court sees no need of their being considered. These omitted grounds are such as probably would not re-occur in another trial, and if they did they would certainly be under a different form. **The vital ground of this motion, as the Court sees it, is whether or not the verdict of the jury is contrary to the evidence. Is there sufficient credible evidence upon which to base a verdict?**

(The judge then cites the authorities for granting a new trial and for considering the evidence in doing so. They are omitted here as of interest only to lawyers, but will be supplied on request.)

The Facts of the Alleged Crime

With the law so written, let us now turn to the facts of the case. The Court will of necessity consider in detail the evidence of the chief prosecutrix, Victoria Price, to determine if her evidence is reliable, or whether it is corroborated or contradicted by the other evidence in the case. In order to convict this defendant, Victoria Price must have sworn truly to the fact of her being raped. No matter how reliable the testimony of the defendant and his witnesses, unless the State can make out a case upon the whole evidence a conviction cannot stand.

The claim of the State is that this defendant raped Victoria Price; that is the charge. The circumstances under which the crime was claimed to have been committed appear as follows:

JUDGE HORTON'S DECISION IN THE SCOTTSBORO CASE

On March 25th, 1931, the prosecutrix, Victoria Price, and Ruby Bates, her companion, boarded a freight train at Chattanooga, Tennessee, for the purpose of going to Huntsville, Alabama. On the same train were seven white boys, and twelve negroes, who it appears participated or are charged with participating in the occurrences on such train. All were tramps or "hoboing" their way upon this same freight train.

About Stevenson, Alabama, a fight occurred between the negroes and the white boys and all the white boys, except one named Gilley, got off the train, or were thrown off the train, a short time after the train left Stevenson, Alabama. The distance from Stevenson to Paint Rock is thirty-eight miles. The train was travelling between twenty-five and thirty-five miles an hour. Some of the white boys who were thrown off the train returned to Stevenson, Alabama, and the operator telegraphed to Paint Rock, a place down the line, reporting the fight, causing a posse and a large crowd to form at Paint Rock, and they surrounded the train as it pulled into Paint Rock and took therefrom nine negroes, one of whom was this defendant, the two white girls, and their white companion, Gilley. The negroes were arrested and lodged in the Scottsboro jail as well as the two women and the seven white boys. The two women were forthwith carried to the office of a physician in Scottsboro, arriving there from one hour to one and one-half hours after they claimed a rape was committed upon them, and were examined by two skilled physicians, Drs. Bridges and Lynch. It was while the train was travelling between Stevenson and Paint Rock between shortly after noon and three o'clock that the alleged rape was committed.

There have been two trials of this case; one at Scottsboro and the other the recent trial at Decatur. The trial at Scottsboro was reversed by the Supreme Court of the United States, who declared the defendants did not have the assistance of counsel. The motion in this case is upon the result of the trial at Decatur. The evidence at the trial at Decatur was vastly more extensive and differed in many

important respects from the evidence at Scottsboro.

Much of the evidence at Scottsboro was introduced at the trial at Decatur, and the Court will consider the entire evidence submitted as it may appear necessary in considering this motion. The Court shall endeavor in quoting the evidence to quote it substantially, and sometimes literally as given, only stating its substance when requisite to make its meaning clear.

As stated, the State relies on the evidence of the prosecutrix, Victoria Price, as to the fact of the crime itself, necessarily claiming that her relation is true. The defense insists that her evidence is a fabrication—fabricated for the purpose of saving herself from a prosecution for vagrancy or some other charge.

The Court will therefore first set out the substantial facts testified to by Victoria Price and test it as the law requires as to its reliability or probability, and as to whether it is contradicted by the other evidence.

The Testimony of Victoria Price

She states that on March 25, 1931 she was on a freight train travelling through Jackson County from Stevenson to Paint Rock; that Ruby Bates was with her on the train; that she had boarded the train at Chattanooga, Tennessee; that when she first boarded the train she got on an oil-tank car. That at Stevenson, she and Ruby Bates walked down the train and got on a gondola car—a car without a top. That the car was filled with chert, lacking about one and one-half or two feet of being full; that the chert was sharp, broken rock with jagged ends.

That as the train proceeded from Stevenson seven white boys got in the car with them and that they all sat down in one end of the car, next to a box car; that in about five or ten minutes twelve colored boys jumped from the box car into the gondola, jumping over their heads. That the defendant was one of them. That the colored boys had

JUDGE HORTON'S DECISION IN THE SCOTTSBORO CASE

seven knives and two pistols; that they engaged in a fight with the white boys, ejecting all from the train except one, Orville Gilley; that this white boy stayed on the gondola, remained there and was still on the car when Paint Rock was reached, and saw the whole thing that thereafter occurred on this car.

That one of the negroes picked her up by the legs and held her over the gondola, and said he was going to throw her off; that she was pulled back in the car and one of the negroes hit her on the side of the head with a pistol causing her head to bleed; that the negroes then pulled off the overalls she was wearing and tore her step-ins apart. That they then threw her down on the chert and with some of the negroes holding her legs and with a knife at her throat, six negroes raped her, one of whom was the defendant; that she lay there for almost an hour on that jagged rock, with the negroes lying on top of her, some of whom were pretty heavy; that the last one finished just five minutes before reaching Paint Rock and that her overalls had just been pulled on when the train stopped at Paint Rock with the posse surrounding it.

That she got up and climbed over the side of the gondola and as she alighted she became unconscious for a while, and that she didn't remember anything until she came to herself in a grocery store and she was then taken to Scottsboro, as the evidence shows, in an automobile and that in about an hour or an hour and one-half Dr. Bridges and Dr. Lynch made an examination of her person.

This witness further testified that she was wet on her private parts; that each negro wetted her more and more; that her private parts were bleeding; that the blood was on her clothes; that her coat had semen on it; that when Dr. Bridges and Dr. Lynch examined her they saw her coat and it was spattered over with semen; that her dresses had blood and semen on them; that she had them on when the doctors examined her; that the coat was cleaned and that

she washed the dresses in the jail before the trial. The evidence further shows without dispute that all nine negroes were taken in charge by the officers and carried to the Scottsboro jail.

The Judge's Comment on Victoria Price's Story

With seven boys present at the beginning of this trouble, with one seeing the entire affair, with some fifty or sixty persons meeting them at Paint Rock and taking the women, the white boy Gilley, and the nine negroes in charge, with two physicians examining the women within one to one and one half hours, according to the tendency of all the evidence, after the occurrence of the alleged rape, and with the acts charged committed in broad daylight, we should expect from all this cloud of witnesses or from the mute but telling physical condition of the women or their clothes some one fact in corroboration of this story.

Let us consider the rich field from which such corroboration may be gleaned.

1. Seven boys on the gondola at the beginning of the fight, and Orville Gilley, the white boy, who remained on the train, and who saw the whole performance.

2. The wound inflicted on the side of Victoria Price's head by the butt-end of a pistol from which the blood did flow.

3. The lacerated and bleeding back of the body, a part of which was stripped of clothing and lay on jagged sharp rock, which body two physicians carefully examined for injuries shortly after the occurrence.

4. Semen in the vagina and its drying and starchy appearance in the pubic hair and surrounding parts.

5. Two doctors who could testify that they saw her coat all spattered over with semen; who could testify to the blood and semen on her clothes, and to the bleeding vagina.

6. Two doctors who could testify to the wretched condition

of the women, their wild eyes, dilated pupils, fast breathing, and rapid pulse.

7. The semen which must have eventually appeared with increasing evidence on the pants of the rapists as each wallowed in its spreading ooze. The prosecutrix testified semen was being emitted by her rapists, and common sense tells us six discharges is a considerable quantity.

8. Live spermatozoa, the active principle of semen, would be expected in the vagina of the female from so recent discharges.

9. The washing before the first trial by Victoria Price of the very clothes which she claimed were stained with semen and blood.

The Court will not present the evidence which will show:

that none of the seven white boys, or Orville Gilley, who remained on the train were put on the stand, except Lester Carter;

that neither Dr. Bridges nor Dr. Lynch saw the wound inflicted on the head by the pistol, the lacerated or bleeding back which lay on jagged rocks;

that the semen they found in the vagina of Victoria Price was of small amount;

that the spermatozoa were non-motile, or dead;

that they saw no blood flowing from the vagina;

that they did not testify as to seeing the semen all spattered over the coat, or blood and semen on the clothes; any torn garments or clothes;

that these doctors testified that when brought to the office that day neither woman was hysterical or nervous about it at all, and that their respiration and pulse were normal;

and that the prosecutrix washed the clothes evidencing the blood and semen.

Taking up these points in order, what does the record show?

None of the seven white boys were put on the stand, except Lester Carter, and he contradicted her.

Next, was Victoria Price hit in the head with a pistol? For this we must turn to Dr. Bridges. It was agreed in open court that Dr. Lynch who in company with Dr. Bridges at Scottsboro examined the two girls, would testify in all substantial particulars as Dr. Bridges, and Dr. Lynch was excused with that understanding when Dr. Bridges completed his examination. In considering Dr. Bridges' testimony we observe he was a witness placed on the stand by the State. His intelligence, his fair testimony, his honesty, and his high professional attainments impressed the Court and certainly all that heard him. He was frank and unevasive in his answers. The Court's opinion is that he should be given full faith and credit. In further considering his testimony it was shown that he was examining these women with the most particular care to find evidence of a rape upon them, and that the women were accusing the negroes, and were being required to cooperate and exhibit whatever indicated they had been abused.

Returning to the pistol lick on the head. The doctor testifies: "I did not sew up any wound on this girl's head; I did not see any blood on her scalp. I don't remember my attention being called to any blood or blow on the scalp." And this was the blow that the woman claimed helped force her into submission.

Next, was she thrown and abused, as she states she was, upon the chert—the sharp, jagged rock?

Dr. Bridges states as to physical hurts;—we found some small scratches on the back part of the wrist; she had some blue places in the small of the back, low down in the soft part, three or four bruises about like the joint of your thumb, small as a pecan, and then on the shoulders a blue place about the same size—and we put them on the table, and an examination showed no lacerations.

The evidence of other witnesses as well as the prosecutrix will show that the women had travelled from Huntsville to Chattanooga and were on the way back. There is other

JUDGE HORTON'S DECISION IN THE SCOTTSBORO CASE

evidence tending to show they had spent the night in a hobo dive; that they were having intercourses with men shortly before that time. **These few blue spots and this scratch would be the natural consequence of such living; vastly greater physical signs would have been expected from the forcible intercourse of six men under such circumstances.**

Victoria Price testified that as the negroes had repeated intercourse with her she became wetter and wetter around her private parts; that they finished just as they entered Paint Rock, and that she was taken in an automobile immediately to the doctors' office. There Dr. Bridges and Dr. Lynch, as has been shown, examined her. They looked for semen around her private parts; they found on the inside of her thighs some dirty places. The dirty places were hardly dry, and were infiltrated with dust, about what one would get from riding trains. It was dark dirt or dust. While the doctor did not know what this drying fluid was, his opinion was that it was semen, but whatever it was, it was covered with heavy dust and dirt.

He next examines the vagina to see whether or not any semen was in the vagina. In order to do this he takes a cotton mop and with the aid of a speculum and headlight inserts the cotton mop into the woman's vagina and swabs around the cervix, which is the mouth of uterus or womb. He extracts from this vagina the substance adhering to the cotton after he has swabbed around the cervix, and places this substance under the microscope. He examines this substance to see if spermatozoa are to be found, and what is the condition of the spermatozoa. Upon the examination under the microscope he finds that there are spermatozoa in the vagina. This spermatozoa he ascertains to be non-motile. He says to the best of his judgment that non-motile means the spermatozoa were dead.

For any fluid escaping from the vagina to become infiltrated with coal dust and dirt, this dirt under the circumstances in this case must have gradually sifted upon the

JUDGE HORTON'S DECISION IN THE SCOTTSBORO CASE

drying fluid, and necessarily a considerable period of time would be required for such an infiltration. The fresh semen emitted by so many negroes would have had a tendency rather to wash off any dirty places around the vagina, and it must have remained there for a considerable period for it to become thus infiltrated with dust and coal dust. Around the cervix the spermatozoa live under the most favorable conditions. While the life of the spermatozoa may be variable, still it appears from the evidence that in such a place as this it would have taken at least several hours for the spermatozoa to have become non-motile or dead.

When we consider, as the facts hereafter detailed will show, that this woman had slept side by side with a man the night before in Chattanooga, and had intercourse at Huntsville with Tiller on the night before she went to Chattanooga; when we further take into consideration that the semen being emitted, if her testimony were true, was covering the area surrounding the private parts, the conclusion becomes clearer and clearer that this woman was not forced into intercourse with all of these negroes upon that train, but that her condition was clearly due to the intercourse that she had had on the nights previous to this time.

Was there any evidence of semen on the clothes of any of the negroes?

In the case of *State vs. Cowing*, 99 Minn. 123; 9 Am. & En. Ann. cases, 566, the Court said the physicians who testified stated that the semen would have remained on the clothes and could have been found after the expiration of several days. And this is probably a well known fact. **Though these negroes were arrested just after the alleged acts, and though their clothes and pants were examined or looked over by the officers, not a witness testified as to seeing any semen or even any wet or damp spots on their clothes.**

What of the coat of the woman spattered with semen, and the blood and semen on the clothes and the bleeding vagina?

Dr. Bridges says he did not see any blood coming from her

JUDGE HORTON'S DECISION IN THE SCOTTSBORO CASE

vagina; that Mrs. Price had on step-ins, but did not state that they were torn or had blood or semen on them. Not a word from this doctor of the blood and semen on the dress; not a word of the semen all spattered over the coat. And this was a doctor so conscientious and thorough in his examination as to make the woman undress and to examine with care every part of her body; a doctor who in his search for semen went to the extent of swabbing out the vagina and of examining its contents under the microscope.

What of the physical appearance of these two women when the doctors saw them?

Dr. Bridges says that when these two women were brought to his office neither was hysterical, or nervous about it at all. He noticed nothing unusual about their respiration and their pulse was normal.

Such a normal physical condition is not the natural accompaniment or result of so horrible an experience, especially when the woman testified she fainted from the injuries she had received.

The fact that the women were unchaste might tend to mitigate the marked effect upon their sensibilities, but such hardness would also lessen the probability of either of them fainting. If the faint was feigned then her credibility must suffer from such feigned actions. And this witness' anger and protest when the doctors insisted on an examination of her person was not compatible with the depression of spirit likely to be caused by the treatment she said she had received.

Lastly, before leaving Dr. Bridges let us quote his summation of all that he observed:

"Q. In other words the best you can say about the whole case is that both of these women showed they had had intercourse?"

"A. Yes, sir."

Is there corroboration in this? We think not, especially as the evidence points strongly to Victoria Price having in-

JUDGE HORTON'S DECISION IN THE SCOTTSBORO CASE

tercourse with one Tiller on several occasions just before leaving Huntsville. That she slept in a hobo jungle in Chattanooga, side by side with a man. The dead spermatozoa and the dry dirty spots would be expected from those earlier acts.

Victoria Price testified that she washed her clothes, which were stained with semen and blood, before even the trial at Scottsboro.

The Supreme Court of Minnesota in the case of State vs. Cowing, 99 Minn. 123, 9 Am. & En. Ann. cases, 566, in setting aside a conviction of rape laid great stress and largely based its action upon such conduct of the prosecuting witness. This Court said:

"While not without some corroboration, the testimony of prosecutrix is aided most largely by that of her sister; but that corroboration is to be weighed in connection with the fact that she and her sister, by washing the skirt, which if her testimony were true, would probably have borne evidence of blood and semen, effectually destroyed the best possible evidence under the circumstances."

Court's Comment on Other Witnesses

Is there any other corroboration? There was a large crowd at Paint Rock when the freight arrived there. While they differed in many details as to the make-up of the train and the exact car from which the different persons were taken, all of which is apparently unimportant, all agreed upon the main fact—that the nine negroes, the two women, and the white boy were all taken from the train. This undisputed fact constitutes about the whole extent of their evidence except a statement by Ruby Bates that she had been raped, which experience the said Ruby Bates now repudiates.

This statement by Ruby Bates appears to have been made under the following circumstances. There were three witnesses who testified to having seen the women at Paint Rock.

JUDGE HORTON'S DECISION IN THE SCOTTSBORO CASE

One of the witnesses first saw them after they had gotten off the car and were both standing. Another witness did not see them for some time, he having first rounded up all the negroes. The third witness saw them as they were getting off the car. He states they first started to run toward the engine and as they approached a crowd of men they turned and ran back in the opposite direction, and met a part of the posse who stopped them. Mr. Hill, the station agent, then came up to the women and asked them if the negroes had bothered them. Thereupon Ruby Bates stated that they had been raped. The facts appearing that the women instead of seeking the protection of the white men they saw were at first frightened, and the question propounded was in itself suggestive of an answer. Mr. Hill also states that the negroes were in a coal car; that he saw the heads of the negroes over the top of the car and they were trying to climb over the sides, were pulling themselves up, trying to get off. This clearly indicates that the negroes were not in the car filled with chert, as the prosecutrix claims.

For any other corroboration in the evidence we now return to the freight train as it passes along the track just after leaving Stevenson. The witness, Lee Adams, at a point about one quarter of a mile from the train, sees a fight between a number of white and colored boys; this is an admitted fact in the case.

The evidence of Ory Dobbins was admitted in corroboration of Victoria Price. When his evidence is studied it is found it does not corroborate her, or if so very slightly. The good faith of this witness need not be the slightest questioned, only the lack of correspondence of his testimony with hers. He stated that he lived three miles from Stevenson near the railroad as it ran toward Scottsboro; that as he walked to his barn he saw a freight train; that as it passed his house he saw a white woman sitting on the side of a gondola and a negro put his arm around her waist and throw her back in the car; that he saw the car as it passed; that it was in

JUDGE HORTON'S DECISION IN THE SCOTTSBORO CASE

his line of vision for a few feet, pointing out a door in the court room as the distance. His reason for stating it was a woman is as follows:

Q. You know it was a woman don't you?

"A. She had on women's clothes.

"COURT: She had on women's clothes?

"Q. What kind of clothes, overalls?

"A. No, sir, dress."

The very basis of this statement that she was a woman because she had on a dress does not apply to the women in this case, who were dressed in overalls.

He said it was in a coal car and there were five or six people in the car. Victoria Price says when they took hold of her that it occurred in a car almost filled with chert, and there were fifteen people in the car. The witness Dobbins said the gondola was between two box cars, while the evidence shows the gondola in which the woman was, was the fifth of a string of eight gondolas.

The witness further stated that the car upon which he saw this occurrence was back toward the caboose. On the other hand the official make-up of the train shows the freight train consisted of forty cars; that the women were in the eleventh or twelfth car from the engine and there were twenty-eight or twenty-nine cars between this car and the caboose. In view of the fact that it was along in this vicinity that the fight was occurring between the negroes and the white boys, and as his reason for saying it was a woman was on account of the dress, and all agree these women had on overalls, this can at its best be only slight corroboration.

The Court's Comment on the State's Case

This is the State's evidence. It corroborates Victoria Price slightly, if at all, and her evidence is so contradictory to the evidence of the doctors who examined her that it has been impossible for the Court to reconcile their evidence with hers.

JUDGE HORTON'S DECISION IN THE SCOTTSBORO CASE

Next, was the evidence of Victoria Price reasonable or probable? Were the facts stated reasonable? This is one of the tests the law applies.

Rape is a crime usually committed in secrecy. A secluded place or a place where one ordinarily would not be observed is the natural selection for the scene of such a crime. The time and place and stage of this alleged act are such to make one wonder and question did such an act occur under such circumstances. The day is a sunshiny day the latter part in March; the time of day is shortly after the noon hour. The place is upon a gondola or car without a top. This gondola according to the evidence of Mr. Turner, the conductor, was filled to within six inches to twelve or fourteen inches of the top with chert, and according to Victoria Price up to one and one half feet or two feet of the top. The whole performance necessarily being in plain view of any one observing the train as it passed. Open gondolas on each side.

On top of this chert twelve negroes rape two white women; they undress them while they are standing up on this chert; the prosecuting witness is then thrown down and with one negro continuously kneeling over her with a knife at her throat, and one or more holding her legs, six negroes successively have intercourse with her on top of that chert; as one arises off of her person, another lies down upon her; those not engaged are standing or sitting around; this continues without intermission although that freight train travels for some forty miles through the heart of Jackson County; through Fackler, Hollywood, Scottsboro, Larkinsville, Lin Rock, and Woodville, slowing up at several of these places until it is halted at Paint Rock; Gilley, a white boy, pulled back on the train by the negroes, and sitting off, according to Victoria Price, in one end of the gondola, a witness to the whole scene; yet he stays on the train, and he does not attempt to get off of the car at any of the places where it slows up to call for help; he does not go back to the caboose to report to the conductor or to the engineer in the engine, although no compulsion is being exercised upon him, and

JUDGE HORTON'S DECISION IN THE SCOTTSBORO CASE

instead of there being any threat of danger to him from the negroes, they themselves have pulled him back on the train to prevent his being injured from jumping off the train after it had increased its speed; and in the end by a fortuitous circumstance just before the train pulls into Paint Rock, the rapists cease and just in the nick of time the overalls are drawn up and fastened and the women appear clothed as the posse sight them. **The natural inclination of the mind is to doubt and to seek further search.**

Her manner (Victoria Price, ed.) of testifying and demeanor on the stand militate against her. Her testimony was contradictory, often evasive, and time and again she refused to answer pertinent questions. The gravity of the offense and the importance of her testimony demanded candor and sincerity. In addition to this the proof tends strongly to show that she knowingly testified falsely in many material aspects of the case. All this requires the more careful scrutiny of her evidence.

The Court has heretofore devoted itself particularly to the State's evidence; this evidence fails to corroborate Victoria Price in those physical facts; the condition of the woman raped necessarily speaking more powerfully than any witness can speak who did not view the performance itself.

Comment on Credibility of Victoria Price

The Court will next consider her credibility, and in doing so, some of the evidence offered for the defendant will also come in for consideration. (In considering any evidence for the defendant which would tend to show that Victoria Price swore falsely the Court will exclude the evidence of witnesses for defendant, who themselves appear unworthy of credit, unless the facts and circumstances so strongly corroborate that evidence that it appears true.)

Lester Carter was a witness for the defendant; he was one of the white boys ejected from the train below Stevenson. Whether or not he is entitled to entire credit is certainly

JUDGE HORTON'S DECISION IN THE SCOTTSBORO CASE

a question of great doubt; but where the facts and circumstances corroborate him, and where the failure of the State to disprove his testimony with witnesses on hand to disprove it, the Court sees no reason to capriciously reject all he said.

Victoria Price denied she knew him until she arrived at Scottsboro. It became a question to be considered as to whether Lester Carter knew her at Huntsville and saw her committing adultery on several occasions with one Tiller just before leaving for Chattanooga, and returning on the freight the next day. The facts he testified to might easily account for the dead spermatozoa in her vagina. He says he met Victoria Price and Tiller while in jail at Huntsville; that all three were inmates of the jail at the same time; that Ruby Bates visited Tiller and Victoria Price while they were in jail, and he, Carter, met her at the jail; that after all had gotten out, and he had finished his sentence, he stayed in the home of Tiller and his wife, and he and Tiller would go out and be with these girls; that they all planned the Chattanooga trip together, and that just before the trip, or the night before, all four were engaged in adulterous intercourse.

Victoria Price stated on the stand that Tiller, the married man, was her boy friend and was in her home the night before she left for Chattanooga; that he had a right there, and he was corresponding with her. Tiller was in the State's witness-room then and identified by Lester Carter when he was brought out of the witness-room by the Court's order. Tiller, though there in court, was not put on the stand to deny what Carter said. There is no reason to doubt Carter was telling the truth then. Next Carter said that when he and Ruby Bates and Victoria Price arrived in Chattanooga about eight o'clock at night, all went to what is known as the "Hoboes Jungle," a place where tramps of all descriptions spent the night in the open. There are numerous witnesses who corroborate him in this statement; that they met the boy Gilley and all four slept side by side, he by the side of Ruby Bates, and Victoria Price by the side of Gilley.

Victoria Price said that she and Ruby Bates went to Chat-

JUDGE HORTON'S DECISION IN THE SCOTTSBORO CASE

tanooga seeking work; that they went alone and spent the night at Mrs. Callie Brochie's, a friend of hers formerly living in Huntsville, but who had moved to Chattanooga. Was this true? The Chattanooga directory was introduced in evidence; residents of Chattanooga, both white and colored, took the stand stating that no such woman as Callie Brochie lived in Chattanooga and had not ever lived there so far as they knew. Though Victoria Price first made this statement more than two years ago at Scottsboro, no witness was offered either from Chattanooga or Huntsville showing any such woman had ever lived in either such place.

Victoria Price said the negroes jumped off a box-car over their heads into the gondola, where she, Ruby Bates and the seven white boys were riding, with seven knives and two pistols and engaged in a fight with the white boys. The conductor of the train who had the official make-up of the train stated there were eight gondola cars together on the train; that the women were in one of the middle cars, and that there were three gondola cars between the car in which they were riding and the nearest box car. Lester Carter stated that he was one of the seven boys engaged in the fight with the negroes; that he did not see a single knife or pistol in the hands of the negroes. And although these seven white boys were kept in jail at Scottsboro until after the first trial no one testified to any knife or pistol wounds on any of them.

Further there was evidence of trouble between Victoria Price and the white boys in the jail at Scottsboro because one or more of them refused to go on the witness-stand and testify as she did concerning the rape; that Victoria Price indicated that by so doing they would all get off lighter.

The defendant and five of the other negroes charged with participating in this crime at the same time went on the stand and denied any participation in the rape; denied that they knew anything about it, and denied that they saw any white women on the train. Four of them did state that they took part in the fight with the white boys which occurred on the train. Two of them testified that they knew nothing

JUDGE HORTON'S DECISION IN THE SCOTTSBORO CASE

of the fight nor of the girls, and were on an entirely different part of the train. Each of these two testified as to physical infirmities. One testified he was so diseased he could hardly walk, and he was examined at Scottsboro according to the evidence and was found to be diseased. The other testified that one eye was entirely out and that he could only see sufficiently out of the other to walk unattended. The physical condition of this prisoner indicates apparently great defect of vision. He testified, and the testimony so shows, that he was in the same condition at Scottsboro and at the time of the rape. He further testified that he was on an oil-tank near the rear of the train, about the seventh car from the rear; that he stayed on this oil-tank all the time and that he was taken from off of this oil-tank. The evidence of one of the trainmen tends to show that one of the negroes was taken off of an oil-tank toward the rear of the train. **This near-blind negro was among those whom Victoria Price testified was in the fight and in the party which raped her and Ruby Bates.** The facts strongly contradict any such statement.

Conclusion

History, sacred and profane, and the common experience of mankind teach us that women of the character shown in this case are prone for selfish reasons to make false accusations both of rape and of insult upon the slightest provocation, or even without provocation for ulterior purposes. These women are shown, by the great weight of the evidence, on this very day before leaving Chattanooga, to have falsely accused two negroes of insulting them, and of almost precipitating a fight between one of the white boys they were in company with and these two negroes. This tendency on the part of the women shows that they are pre-disposed to make false accusations upon any occasion whereby their selfish ends may be gained.

The Court will not pursue the evidence any further.

As heretofore stated the law declares that a defendant

JUDGE HORTON'S DECISION IN THE SCOTTSBORO CASE

should not be convicted without corroboration where the testimony of the prosecutrix bears on its face indications of unreliability or improbability and particularly when it is contradicted by other evidence.

2
3 (The testimony of the prosecutrix in this case is not only uncorroborated, but it also bears on its face indications of improbability and is contradicted by other evidence, and in addition thereto the evidence greatly preponderates in favor of the defendant. It therefore becomes the duty of the Court under the law to grant the motion made in this case.

It is therefore ordered and adjudged by the Court that the motion be granted; that the verdict of the jury in this case and the judgment of the Court sentencing this defendant to death be, and the same is hereby set aside and that a new trial be and the same is hereby ordered.

This June 22nd, 1933.

WRHS

JAMES E. HORTON,

Circuit Judge.



(Tear off this back cover page and return to the address given.)



Rev. Allan Knight Chalmers, Chairman
Col. Wm. Jay Schieffelin, Treasurer

Scottsboro Defense Committee
Room 506, 112 East 19th St.
New York City

Date.....

1. Count on me as a supporter of the defense of the
nine Scottsboro boys. I will contribute toward the defense
funds \$..... payable.....

2. Please send information as occasion arises as to
how I can help in other ways in the defense.

3. Please do send me further literature about
the case, issued free to contributors (nominal prices to
others).

4. I suggest as others likely to be interested:

.....
.....
.....

5. Remarks

.....
.....

Signed

Address

City..... State.....