

## Abba Hillel Silver Collection Digitization Project

Featuring collections from the Western Reserve Historical Society and The Jacob Rader Marcus Center of the American Jewish Archives

## MS-4787: Abba Hillel Silver Papers, 1902-1989.

Series VII: Personal Miscellaneous, 1908-1989, undated. Sub-series A: Biographical, 1908-1981.

Reel Box Folder 211 79 5b

Autobiography/memoirs, Book 3, 1963?.

Early in February, I received the first draft of the bill and I suggested certain changes in it. The second draft was then submitted to me. Cn February 28th I spoke with Senator Taft by long distance in-Washington. He informed me that he was meeting the next day with Senator Douglas to agree on the final wording. In June Ambassador Eban telephoned me again requesting that I come to Washington again in connection with the Grand-in-aid Bill. I did. They requested me to see Senator Taft that morning. The Senator indicated that he would continue to press for his resolution as an authorization resolution when the President's Cmnibus Bill for military and civilian aid came up before the Foreign Relations Committee.

Note: Find out what was the fate of this Grand-in-aid Bill.

Americans, as members of the human family -- for they are all inter-related.

I believe that we can. I believe that we have every right to do so, though we cannot be dogmatic about it; for progress is neither guaranteed nor automatic; nor can we ignore the fact that time and again the unforeseen and unpredictable in history have upset all man's careful calculations.

"I believe that the age in which we live is a great age and that we are moving towards an even greater age. I believe that our present age is one of the greatest in human history. We are too near our times properly to appraise them. One requires distance in order to see great objects in their proper perspective. We ourselves are too much involved in the turmoil and the conflicts of our day to see objectively the amazing new pattern of life which is emerging.

"Many people are quick to describe our age as materialistic, as lacking in idealism, in aim and purpose, an age of breakdown and disintegration.

"In characterizing our age as great, I am thinking in terms of social progress and welfare, in terms of human advancement and civilization.

More is being done in our day for the improvement of the conditions of the common man, for the raising of his standard of living, his health, his education, and for his protection against the disabilities of sickness, unemployment and old age, than in any generation, than any five generations in the past. Mever were more determined efforts made to bring about a fairer sharing of the wealth that is produced and a better way of life for all.

"Never have the submerged races and peoples of the earth risen as they have risen in our day to demand and to achieve, as they have to a large measure achieved, freedom and self-determination. Within the last eight years one-fourth of the earth's population -- more than five hundred million ron-self-governing people -- have obtained their political freedom. Imperialism and colonialism are in their death-throes. Backward peoples are pressing forward into the light of a new day, and the exploitation of the dark races of the earth is rapidly drawing to a glose.

"What we are witnessing in our day, if we have eyes not only to see things, but also to see into the heart of things, is not social disintegration, but a radical new reintegration of humanity, a profound change in the social evolution of man, a change not free, of course, from dangers -- for there is no progress without danger -- but one of boundless and immeasurable potentialities.

"I do not wish to overdraw the picture. I am not suggesting that our age is approaching idyllic perfection, or that the millenium is just around the corner.

"The important thing to consider is not whether we are on the eve of the millenium, but whether the major trends of our age are in the direction of the hoped-for good society, or away ; from it. Is our age trying to eradicate poverty and illiteracy and to raise the standard of living of people, regardless of race or color or creed? Is it trying to satisfy the legitimate aspirations of peoples to national freedom and independence? Is it trying to organize the world

The meeting ;with the President took place on April 26, 1956.

Secretary Dulles was present. We spent about an hour together. Cur main topic of conversation was the apprehension of our people due to the menacing arms retraction:

August 24, 1955

My dear Rabbi Silver:

I am planning to make a statement in New York on Friday at 5:30 p.m. dealing with the Israel Arab problem and suggesting possible bases for bringing about a genuine peace in the area. In this statement, I indicate that the President is prepared to recommend a substantial contribution to such a settlement, namely:

- Subscription to an international loan to enable Israel to discharge its obligation to the refugees which in turn will help them to get resettled;
- United States contribution to water projects which will develop more arable land which will aid in resettlement;
- Good offices, if desired, to assist in making the frontier adjustments needed to convert the present armistice lines into permanent boundary lines;
- United States participation in an international treaty guarantee, preferably sponsored by the United Nations, of the resultant boundary lines.

I feel that if this program were carried through, there would be no great difficulty in settling the remaining problems such as the question of transit through the Suez Canal, and determining the status of Jerusalem.

Probably the principal obstacle in the way of solution is the boundary matter, where the Egyptians seek a substantial part of the Negeb so as to have common boundaries with Jordan and Saudi Arabia, but where the Israelis also want continuous access to the port of Aqaba. I feel, however, that these conflicting claims can be reconciled, and indeed that some reconciliation is in the long run inevitable. This statement comes out of very long and careful thought given the matter

-5-

But apart from its own merits, the very announcement of such contemplated action on the part of our government would help to convince the Arabs that they have nothing to gain in persisting in their present attitude and that it would be more advantageous to all concerned to accept those benefits which would flow from negotiated agreements on all outstanding issues.

I am very eager to be helpful in urging reasonableness and a spirit of give-and-take on the part of those who may be influenced by my woice in Israel. Of one thing, however, I am quite certain -- prior to negotiations, Israel will not make concessions with respect to the unresolved issued.

I will watch with keen interest the reaction of the Arab governments to your statement.

With warmest regards, and hoping that you will have a pleasant and restful vacation, of which I am sure you stand greatly in need, I remain

Most cordially yours,

ABBA HILLEL SILVER

about peace, the Egyptian government, on September 27, 1955, obtained huge shipments of arms from Czechoslovakia of such magniture to create a dangerous imbalance in the Near East and seriously threatening the security of Israel. There were, of course, serious repercussions in Israel and in the Jewish world. On November 9, President Eisenhower appealed to the Soviet Union to stop the arms race in the Middle East and declared that the United States would consider the request for arms which were needed for legitimate self-defense. On November 16th, Israel formally applied to

= 13

C Py

Letter sent to President Dwight D. Eisenhower
The White House
Washington, D. C. by Izhak Ben-Zvi, President of the

- date ?

Dear Mr. President,

I thank you for the warm personal greetings which you sent
me through our good friend Dr. Silver on the occasion of the
Passover Festival and on the eve of the eighth anniversary of the
establishment of our State. I am deeply grateful for this expression
of your friendship for Israel and your kind words of appreciation
of its progress and development. The people who dwell in Zion and
the whole House of Israel will always remember your historis role
as Supreme Commander of the Allied Forces in Europe during
the Second World War and the inspired efforts you made in succouring
the surviving remnants of the Jewish people. We trust that the deep
human sympathy you then evinced for a suffering people will stand
us in good stead in our present difficult position.

I am writing you this letter at a grave moment in the life of our young State. It came into being as a result of an effort of economic, social and spiritual reconstruction which goes back three generations. Its emergence received the support of an overwhelming majority of the United Nations, who were resolved that the Jewish people should be given the security of a free national life in the ancient land of their fathers and not again be exposed to the dangers

The position of our government on the Sinai-Suez action was wrong-headed in the extreme as subsequent developments showed. It alienated its strongest Western allies, England and France, and weakened their position and influence in the Arab world without improving its own. Far from preventing the Soveit Union from becoming an influential factor in the Middle East, it actually enabled it to become a serious competitive power in a part of the world where it had heretofore exercised little influence. And it contributed nothing toward the pacification of that area or its stability.

But it was of utmost importance to make sure that Israel would not be made the scape-goat in this internal crisis which had suddenly flared up, and dangerously divided the free nations of the Western world.

of the issue by the American government to the General Assembly on November first, need not be repeated. Leve Israel was not covetous of the territory which it had conquered in the Sinai Peninsula but was determined to make sure that in the future its right of transit through the Suez Canal could be safeguarded, and unobstructed transit from the port of Elath through the.

Gulf of Aqaba and that Egypt would be forbidden to maintain fedeveen bases in Sinai. He compliance with the United Nations resolution to withdraw all forces behind the armistice line of 1949 was, therefore, slow and contingent upon obtaining the above assurances which were not forthcoming.

In 1955, my book, "Where Judaism Differed," was published. It was an inquiry into the distinctiveness of Judaism. It was well received and in a short time six printings of it were issued. A Hebrew translation of the book appeared in Israel in 1961.

In 1961, my book, "Moses and the Original Torah", was published.

It, too, was issued by the Macmillan Company. The subject of this study was the original Torah of Moses to which the prophets of later times frequently referred, where it is to be found, and how it fared in pre-exilic times among the two peoples of Northern Israel and Southern Judah. Because of its radical approach, it aroused considerable controversy, both in traditional circles and among students of Higher Biblical criticism.

Having been relieved of political office and its exacting demands and preoccupations, I found more time for books and study, and I was grateful for it.

In the Fall of 1957, the struggle over the desegregation of our schools, which had been ordered by the Supreme Court of the United States in 1955, reached one of its deplorable climaxes in the Little Rock, episode when Governor Faubus of the State of Arkansas called out the National Guard to bar the admission of Mero Negro students from entering Central High School. There were to be other such forceful resistances to the Court decision later on by the Governor of the State of Mississippi and Alabama, leading to violence and bloodshed.

In my response I said in part:

"I have attended many memorable gatherings in my life, some of them arranged in my honor, but the remembrance of this evening which i; attended by so many friends, from America and Israel, graced by the presence of many eminent men in public life, by His Excellency, the Ambassador of the United States, by the former Prime Minister of Israel, who has given his name to an heroic age which will forever be known as the Age of David Ben-Gurion, by the Chairman of the Jewish Agency, members of the Kenesset and the heads of so many cultural and educational institutions in Israel, will remain with me for as long as I live.

I am deeply honored that presiding on this occasion is Dr. Emanuel
Neumann, the friend of my youth, who worked side by side with me through
the years, giving our Movement superb leadership, guidance and the wealth of
his heart and mind.

I find that I have so much to be grateful for as I look back over the years. I have received gifts richer than I deserve. Providence favored me with wonderful parents to whom a book was even more precious than a losf of bread, whose quiet courage, piety, and idealism were both sun and shield to me throughout my days. Later in life, I was again the recipient of the blessing of a beloved wife, children and granchildren, and I was privileged to live in a free and gracious land. It is no achievement to get to be seventy. You live long enough and, before you know it, you are seventy!

in its plenitude it is still unfilfilled. The State of Israel is today a great and glorious fact. It will be even greater and more glorious tomorrow. But greater than the State of Israel is the people of Israel, and greater than the people of Israel is the immortal vision and hope which sustained our people through the long centuries, which made of it a covenanted people, pledged to the ideal of Malchut Shamayim, the establishment of the Kingdom of God on earth.

Zionism, as the pledge of affirmative Jewish living in the spirit of our deathless prophetic tradition, can help us all, both in Israel and in the Diaspora, to work together for the coming of the next great age, the distant, the ultimate age, the Messianic Age, when justice peace and freedom will be established on earth, when "Each man, will live under his vine and under his fig tree with none to make him afraid".

The establishment of the State of Israel is not the final act in the prama of the people of Israel. Our people is moving on, the Ark of the Covenant is moving on, in greater freedom now and in greater confidence along the broad highways of the world, to Achrit Hayamim, to the End of Jays, prefigured by our seers, "When they shall not hurt, nor destroy in all my holy mountain, and the earth shall be filled with the knowledge of God, as the waters cover the sea."

And so at seventy, dear frients, my days of apprenticeship are about over.

At seventy, the emotional chemistry of a man is such that his boiling-point is somewhat higher and his freezing-point somewhat lower. Otherwise, he need not lose his zest for the glorious adventure of life, or cease to be the willing captive of an imperishable dream, even though he now knows that you cannot take heaven by storm.

At the end of each of the twenty-four books of our holy Bible, one word is printed in large type -- "Be strong!" That, you will agree with me, is excellent advice for any man as he concludes one volume and turns to the next one in his book of life.

The very first thing that the good Lord said to Abraham, who was destined to become the founder of our faith, and the ancestor of our race, was:

"Keep on going". Abraham was seventy years old when he received that divine command. He was not told exactly where he should go -- simply,

"Move on to the land which I will show you".

I suppose that this is a good command to follow at any stage of one's life.

In trust and faith to keep on going, confident that the true way will be shown by Him who is the light of the world. And this, my friends is exactly what I intend to do.

of mankind. We, their descendants, must be among the first today in the battle for this spiritual vision of human life. We must inspire our people with the mighty accents of their historic faith to join with all men of good will in the courageous attack upon all that disfigures life today and keeps man from his divine patrimony -- upon poverty, upon the economic insecurity of the masses, upon corruption and social privilege, upon the war machine and chauvinism, upon race arrogance and explaination.

Translated into concrete terms, it means replenishing the spiritual and religious reservoirs of Jewish life -- the synagogue, the religious school, the academies, the centers of Jewish learning and scholarship. For years now the Jewish communites of the world, other than those, of course which were destroyed or ravaged by war and persecution, had to give priority to works of relief, physical rescue and the reconstruction of the State of Israel. Much of this work will have to continue into an unpredictable future. Certainly there still remains the urgent and compelling need for taking care of the hundreds of thousands of refugees who are seeking a home in Israel.

But even while this work is going on, and certainly as the urgency and pressure of that work diminishes, as we hope it will with the years, increasingly cur energies and our resources should be poured into the permanent and positive spiritual and cultural institutions and enterprises of Judaism. We must thegin to think again in terms of the total picture of Jewish life, both geographically and historically. Millions of Jews will live

in Israel in the days to come; millions more will live outside of Israel.

It is the religion of Judaism which will united them, not any political bonds.

Judaism kept our people inside and outside of Palestine together as one religious fellowship in the past although they were politically unrelated.

For the sake of the Jews the world over, for the enrichment of their own lives, and for their effective contribution to the progress of the countries in which they are citizens, and for hastening the day of the King dom, it is imperative that we begin to pour again our creative thinking, our substance and our energies into the institutions of Jewish religious life.

The five million Jews of the United States ow it to themselves, to their children and to this great and beloved country of which they are proud and loyal citizens to make Judaism as beautiful, meaningful and dynamic as possible in their lives and in the total life of American democracy.

national restoration, and died a poor, broken and tired man at the age of forty-four.

quarters of our home, in Hebrew. Father supervised our programs and corrected our Hebrew. My beloved mother, proud and happy with what we were doing, looked after us lovingly and, from time to time, added her culinary art to our intellectual repasts. Make I was a rare and valiant spirit, alert, energetic, competent. She shared with father all the tasks and responsibilities of maintaining a home and raising a family -- and these were at times not easy. Her children tenember, in boundless gratitude, her loving care, her stout heart and her deep piety.

We were five children in our family -- my oldest sister Bessie (Mrs. Liebow). Pearl (Mrs. Jack Michaelson), my brother Maxwell and our youngest sister Rose (Mrs. Jack Matlaw). Pearl did young, in 1931, and though many years have since passed, I think of her often, and of the sweets radiated.

Both Bess and Pearl worked and augmented the family income. Their earnings made it possible for the younger children to go to school and High School. By the time I was Bar Mitzvah (13 years old), I also contributed something to the family budget. I taught English to immigrants much older than I was, and Hebrew to children somewhat younger than myself. During the High Holy Days, I carned a few dollars singing in a Synagogue choir.

In reply, I wrote: "As you see, it has been a Long Day's Journey into
the Limelight....I acted the part of "Moses" in 1909. Fifty years later I wrote
a book about "Moses and the Original Torah". But I am afraid that in spite of the
my histrionic talents and my scholarly research, "Moses" still dwells in the thick
darkness which he entered on his way up to Sinai...."

The Dr. Herzl \$ion Club was responsible for the founding of the Zionist
Youth Movement in the United States which soon developed under the name of
"Young Judea". An impressive number of active Zionist and communal workers
and leaders, educators and Rabbis, received their early training in this club.

The sever years between 1904 and 1911, the year when I left for Cincinnati to enter the Hebrew Union College, to study for the Rabbinate, were quickening and developing years for me. The vital culturally rich environment of the East Side of those years, alive and turbulent with ideological controversy, bubbling over with the ferment of old world ideas in new world bottles, the opportunities for manifold expression which the club afforded, were all that a young man could ask for in the fermative years of his life. The mind and spirit were stimulated the bread was not always abundant in our home, but the fullness of life was there, comradeship and challenge and beckening horizons. We enjoyed a rare freedom of movement and scope within a discipline which was accepted by us as a matter of course. We were, as it were, on our own in a world where to be on one's own meant, as a prior condition, loyalty to a given way of life.

Among my unforgettable memories of those years were the lectures of the Rev. Zvi Hirsch Masliansky which I attended regularly every Friday evening in the Educational Alliance. Masliansky was the most popular preacher-lecturer on the East Side in those days. Thousands flocked to hear him. He would enrapture his audience by his eloquence and wit, by the vivid portrayal of the life which he immigrant listeners had left behind them in the old world, its spiritual grandeur, its physical poverty and its tyrannies and repressions.

They would sit spell-bound as he spoke to them of the New World, its hopes and promises, and of the many problems and frustrations which confronted them and of the frictions which were developing between parents and children. His impassioned words would reach heights of poetic fervor and grandeur when he spoke of the people of Israel and of its destiny and the hope of national restoration in Palestine.

I sat every Friday evening behind the back-drop of the stage of the Educational Alliance -- Rev. Masliansky was fond of me and had invited me to sit there -and I listened to the captivating flow of his eloquence. After these many years
I can still taste the honey of his words.

In the Fall of 1911, I left for Cincinnati. I decided to study for the Rabbinate.

My brother, Maxwell, too, had made a similar decision and had left the year before.

In endoing to the following a family tradition. My father was an ordained Rabbi and so was his father before him. He had studied at the famous Yeshiva of Slabotka in Lithuania. Eut he never occupied a Rabbinic post either in the Old World or the Justice of World, in New York as a teacher of Hebrew. Though himself orthodox, he raised no objection to der enrolling in a Reform seminary. He belonged to the "enlightened" wing of Orthodoxy. He was at home in several languages -- Russian, German, Lithuanian -- and wrote a beautiful Hebrew. When, years later, he settled in Palestine (now Israel) he published two volumes of

Biblical commentaries, "Chashukei Kesef" (Filigrees of Silver) which critics have praised as a fine blending of Rabbinic and scientific scholarship.

My dicision to enter the Hebrew Union College was not the result of relear ideological choice. Because of my love for the home of my childhood and the religious way of life of my parents, I had then and still have a warm affection for Onthodox Judaism, but I felt no strong intellectual commitment to it, either as to its practice or doctrine. I and my young friends were reaching out, quite unconsciously, for the common liberal type of Judaism. I was aware, of course, of the anti-Zionist tradition of Reform Judaism but before me were the examples of eminent men like Gustav Cottheil, who though a Rabbi of a leading Reform Temple, was also Vice-president to fraction of the Hebrew Union College -- and a one-time instructor there -- who was Secretary of the Federation of American Zionists and at the same time Rabbi of a Reform Temple. That was true also of Dr. Stephen S. Wise, who headed a liberal congregation in New York and was a leader in Zionism. One really never knows what motivates some of the basic designs of one's life, but looking over the years I am inclined to believe that the decision which I made was a wise decision.

I spent four years at the Hebrew Union College am at the University of

Cincinnati. My days there were very pleasant. The members of the College Faculty

were masters of their fields, some of them world-renowned. They revealed to me

the depths of genuine Jewish learning and each in his own way influenced me, some

by their remarkable scholarship, others by the example of their lives, still others

by the genuine warmth of their personality. No attempts were made to indoctrinate

the students. The sharp controversy which had raged at the College a few years

prior to my entrance over the issue of Zionism, and which had resulted in the

resignation of two of its professors, had apparantly subsided, and while the College still reflected the prevailing anti-Zionist position of Reform Judaism, it was no longer militant about it. A student could preach a pro-Zionist sermon in the College Chapel if he so desired, and some of 1s did, he was not disciplined for it.

At the University, I edited a literary magazine called "The Scribe".

At the College, I founded and edited "The Hebrew Union College Monthly".

One of my classmates at the College was Solomon B. Freehof, a brilliant student, who years later taught at the College, became Rabbi of the Reform Temple of Pittsburgh and is now head of the World Union for Progressive Judaism. We became close friends and through the years we cooperated in many causes. We were practically of the same age, and we were delighted to attend each other's sixtieth and seventieth anniversary celebrations in our respective communities.

also

During my first year in Cincinnati, I helped to organize a Hebrewspeaking society, the "Ivriah". We held public meetings and organized courses for the study of modern Hebrew.

My early love for the Hebrew language was, of course, a by-product of my early raining and my home environment. But in later years I came to understand how vital the cultivation of Hebrew really was for the preservation of Jewish life.

No Jewish community ever contributed culturally or scholas ically to Jewish life which did not foster the Hebrew language and literature. No Jewish community ever survived for long which ignored Hebrew.

Our people frequently employed other language media for religious-

I was ordained in 1915 and I was called to my first pulpit in Wheeling, West Virginia. The community was relatively old, as communities in the Ohio Valley go, and was thoroughly Americanized. Almost everyone belonged to the Temple and attendance at worship on Friday evenings was relatively better than that of their descendants in later times. Their loyalty to Judaism was greater than their knowledge of it. Religious education in the Sunday School was rudimentary with untrained volunteer teachers and inadequate religious text-books. But much of the life of the community centered in the Temple.

I served as Rabbi in Wheeling for two years, during which time I learned much about the nature and problems of a small Jewish community in the Middle West, which in many ways differed from those of the older and larger communities in the East. Jewish life here was far more peripheral, much less rich than the intensive Jewish life which I knew in the East. As a Rabbi, I came close to people whom, for the first time, I saw as individual human beings in the setting of their every-day lives -- not merely as group abstractions in some ideological pro-or con arrangement. I found great warmth and helpfulness among these people and to this day I cherish my pleasant memories of them. Some years later I returned to Wheeling to marry my wife. Virginia Horkheimer.

remained there ever since. Cleveland had a Jewish population of Already in these years the Temple was an important and influential congregation in the United States. My predecessor, Rabbi Moses J. Gries, was a man of

great dedication and of high personal integrity, but ultra-redical in his interpretation of Judaism. In later years I was to re-introduce many elements of ritual and ceremony which he had discarded -- the Friday evening and Saturday morning services to supplement the Sunday merning lecture-service, as well as the teaching of Hebrew in the Sunday School and on week-day afternoons. Rabbi Gries was anti-Zionist and so presumably were also the majority of the members of the Temple. But my "reforms" and my Zionism, which soon made themselves manifest, did not encounter any marked resistance. Perhaps my youth and the reception which the entire community gave to my preaching, helped me. The Temple always allowed me a free pulpit. Occasionally I found myself under the necessity of advocating a social, economical or political cause which was unpopular or distasteful to some or to many in the congregation, but no effort was ever made to restrain me.

A minister is, of course, never entirely free in his profession. No one working in and through an institution is entirely free. One cannot expect to have the perfect freedom and independence, say, of a prophet, and still be the endowed and accredited spokesman of an organized group. In a minister of small integrity, this makes for a measure of insincerity. But in most cases it serves to increase the minister's patience without decreasing his courage or his dedication.

odd thin

When in later years I had to absent myself for long periods of time in connection with my Zionist activities throughout the country, or over-seas, the Temple people were extremely patient with me.

Lead the support of fine lay leadsrship. The officers of the Temple, especially the men who occupied the office of President during the years of my ministry, Benjamin Lowenstein, Eugene E. Wolf, Bidney N. Weitz, A. M. Luntz and Bertram Krohngold, were of inestimable help to me. I enjoyed and valued their friendship. On my seventieth birthday I told my congregation it has been good to grow old among people whom one loved...

Our two sons, Daniel Jeremy and Raphael David were born in Cleveland.

Daniel Jeremy is now Rabbi of the Temple. Raphael David, a graduate of

Harvard Business School, is active in the business world. Both have brought

joy to our home -- they, their wives and their children.

Cleveland has been a good city to work in. The cosmopolitan character of its people endowed it with a commendable spaciousness. Intolerance of any sort found it difficult to take permanent root. Cleveland has a strong liberal tradition dating back to the days of Tom Johnson. Neither reaction nor radicalism made much headway in Cleveland. The city is carried along by a sound, confident and steady-going liberalism.

For one brief spell following the World War, during the notcrious era of the Palmer Red hysteria, Cleveland went hay-wire with the rost of

rallies and spoke in many parts of the country in behalf of Liberty Bonds.

In the summer of 1918 I went over-seas for the United States Committee on

Public Information and at the request of the French High Commission. I

visited the Front, the Army camps, hospitals and the installations of the

Red Cross, the Y.M.C.A., the Jewish Welfare Board and the Salvation Army.

My assignment was to see what provisions were made for the care of our troops

over-seas.

In France I met many distinguished Fremchmen -- Albert Thomas,
Maurice Barres, Joseph Reinach, Emile Boutroux and others and learned
their views on the future peace, on Franco-American cooperation and on
Russia, where the Bolshevik Revolution had but recently overthrown the
Kerenski government and had pulled Russia out of the war. I return home to
tell the story of what I saw. My report was seemingly not all that the superpatriots expected for thereafter and until the end of the war a member of the
Secret Service attended every one of my Sunday morning services. At the
close of the war I was decorated by the French government, "Officier de
l'Instruction Publique".

I was disillusioned with the Peace Treaty which followed the war. I spoke out against it: "The treaty of peace as we have it cannot, by any stretch of the imagination, be called a peace treaty. There is no promise of peace in it.

It has many of the earmarks of the Peace of Vienna of 1815 and the Treaty of 1871. It is which also to a degree and vindictive in a frightful measure.

The spirit of vae victus (woe to the vanquished) is written large on it. One looks in vain for that spacious generosity, that spirit of forgiveness and reconciliation, that healing sympathy which one was led to anticipate from the words of our leaders and spokesmen." (See "In Time of Harvest", Macmillan Company, 1963. p. 30.)

I was persuaded that the League of Nations which President Wilson was urging upon the Allied nations and with so much idealism with such cogent logic would be endangered by the harsh terms of the Peace Treaty which was being imposed upon the defeated nationa. I had previously been a strong advocate of a League of Nations and had spoken in many cities in favor of such a league, but when I returned from Europe in 1920, after the Treaty of Versailles had been published, I was convinced that "it was not a treaty of peace but a treaty of war and that any league organized to perpetrate such a treaty is organized for war". (See The Cleveland News, Oct. 19, 1920.) In an address before the Cleveland City Club, speaking on "Europe Revisited", I declared: "What one carries back with him from Europe is a confirmed feeling of the almost absolute futility of war. The gains which the world can credit itself with as a result of the war do not justify the sacrifices. European governments have , learned practically nothing from the war." (The Cleveland Press, Oct. 16, 1920.)

I was shocked and dismayed by the wave of intolerance, witch-hunting and anti-Red hysteria which swept over our country after the war. Those were the days of the Red Scare and the Palum raids. Cleveland was in the grip of his hysteria.

On May 1, 1919, a parade of socialists and sympathetic Red was broken up in a bloody riot. The mext day two socialists centers were attacked by mobs, ransacked and their occupants beaten up.

-60

Free speech was muzzled in our city. Under the auspices of the City
Club, the one liberal body in Cleveland which had kept faith with true Americanism, I addressed open-air meetings in the Cleveland Public Square and elsewhere in the city. I called upon my. Tellow-citizens to return to democratic sanity and safe-guard the traditional values of our free American society.

I helped to organize a group of Cleveland men for law, order and free speech.

In 1927, the National Society of Scabbard and Blade, the Reserve Officers

Training Corps, Key Men of America, and similar organizations issued a

Black List of fifty-six "dangerous un-American personages who were working to
undermine the government by their communistic tendencies". It was a distinguished list. It contained such names as Miss Jane Adampis, Senator William

E. Borah, Senator Robert M. LaFollette, Professor John Dewey, Sherwood Eddy.

I was honored by being included in this Black List. Later on I was to be
blacklisted also by the Daughters of the American Revolution.

I was not troubled by this blacklisting but I was troubled by the growing intolerance among our people as evidenced by the increasing number of these self-constituted so-called patriotic bodies which were growing up like weeds on the American soil. Anyone who was a known liberal, an enemy of child-labor, a defender of the rights of the Negro, an advocate of the World Court, a pacifist, ore who favored the recognition of Russia, was automatically blacklisted.

Black Lists in which I said:

World Zionist Organization. The so-called Brandeis plan which he presented to the leaders of the London Conference was his only in detail. It was Weizmann's as well.

After my conversation with the Justice, I was inclined to think that there was much more of misunderstanding concerning all that had transpired than was at first apparent, and that much of the conflict of opinion is due to it. The Justice apparently could not present certain important facts to the delegates at the time, nor could be explain in full the reasons for the position which he was at times compelled to take. Some of the delegation were accordingly led to certain conclusions, based on ignorance of the facts and on misunderstanding.

I concluded that the differences between Weizmann and Brandeis could have compromised. I was never taken in by the loose that which went the rounds about the fundamental ideological conflict which existed between two concepts of Zionism -- between that of Eastern European Jews which Dr. Weizmann represented and that of Western European Jews which Justice Brandeis represented -- the so-called irreconcilable struggle between Washington and Pinsk. Weizmann's protagonists at the time, especially Louis Lipsky, made much of it and Dr. Weizmann, himself, dwelled on it at the time and later on in his "Trial and Error". It is true that Dr. Weizmann

D

To rather to Coulant any my ministry of the Tought.

In 1924 we moved into our new Temple in University Circle. It is a
beautiful structure of a modified Byzantine That It has been described as
"a building which is not only architecturally satisfying, but which expresses
in itself the deeply religious spirit and the essential unity of the Jewish faith".

(The Architectural Forum, Nov. 1925). It was built before the vogue developed
to build functional synagogue structures.

I have always had strong reservations on the new-design trends in contemporary church architecture. The church has lived with many types of architecture in many parts of the world, types which it created, borrowed or embellished. The test of an effective church style is neither it; antiquity nor its modernity. Any building which is conducive to prayer and meditation, which fosters in man a mood of humble quietude and reverence, and which gives him sanctuary from the clamor of the market place and the drabness of the commonplace is, from the point of view of the mission of the church and synagogue, good architecture.

It may be old in design or it may be new, or it may be a blending of the two -- it matters not, provided the spirit of man finds shelter in it and is moved by its beauty and harmony and the memories which it arouses to dwell on the mystery of life and the eternal ways of God.

A church design which is merely untraditional, which deliberately startles by its feats of novelty, which embodies abstractions in constant need of commentary, or which attempts to make the religious edifice "functional" in the mechanical sense of the term, aligning it with the nigh universal trend toward functionalism in our industrial society, misses,

I am afraid, the very unique and redemptive contribution which a house of worship can make to the beset and troubled spirit of modern man when it turns to the courts of the vord in quest of peace and spiritual security.

When a man enters a church or synagogue to pray or to be instructed in the word of God, he should be moved to exclaim not "How modern! How functional! How sensationally different!" but, "This is none other than a house of God and this is a gate to heaven!".

In 1959 we added additional facilities to our Temple and we acquired additional land to build a parking area and also a small park. We were determined to remain where we were, and not move to the suburbs where many of our members were moving. I regarded the flight of churches and synagogues to the suburbs as a mistake. We have had no occasion to regret our decision.

Our membership through the years has increased, and the University Circle, where we are now located, with its numerous cultural and social activities is fast developing into the most impressive cultural center in the United States.

Here I have worked these forty years. In spite of my many extra-mural and out-of-town activities, and the many demands which were made upon me by national and international causes, I tried not to neglect my Temple work.

I was in my pulpit most every week-end and preached most every Sunday.

I taught my Confirmation classes regularly, conducted classes for adults, supervised the general activities of the Temple and attended to the pastoral duties of my office. I did not visit people as often as I might have for I did not

have the time for it, but I did not wholly neglect that part of my ministry either -- and my associates in the Temple supplemented my work. I never regarded the purely pastoral phase of a Rabbi's work as of primary importance. In the tradition of the Rabbi, it never loomed large, though in the eyes of many members modern congregations it is all-important. The Rabbi was primarily the teacher, not the pastor.

I would prepare my sermons very carefully, writing them out in full and then memorizing them. I seldom spoke extemporaneously. I was reveren of the spoker word. I feared that the inspiration of the moment may be late in coming. Only in recent years, and on occasions which called for scrupulous care in wording did I resort to a manuscript.

As a rule, my sermons never exceeded thirty to forty minutes. Beyond that, both preacher and congregation reach a point of no return.... But capsule sermons to satisfy the quick-lunch taste of modern Temple-goers never appealed to me. They are usually devoid of nutriment and free of calories...

One of the subjects which I stressed most often in the pulpit and outside on various platforms was the importance of Jewish education. I recall that in the keynote address which I delivered at the Golden Jubilee Convention of the Union of American Hebrew Congregations in 1923 in Carnegie Hall, I stated:

"In deference to ourselves let us be frank. Our religious schools are inadequate. Their curricula are rudimentary and faulty. The time allotted to the religious education of our children is all too short. We cannot transmit a

the shorten was any usest useful took.
They work through of has been him in pally a work of persuasion, and I had to rely when the perfect and the fresh work of delany. Whether in the perfect, with west on the perfect, with west on the perfect of the perfect of



the history, religion, ethics and literature of a people, all in the one or two hours a week during the few years of the child's school life. We Rabbis frankly confess our inability to cope with this problem. You Jewish laymen must look to it. It is you who must take the initiative in this tremendousy urgent work. For remember that our religious schools must do much more than impart some elementary historical information and some religious guidance. The school along with the home and the Synagogue must inculcate the essential mental and spiritual qualities of our people, the collective soul and mind, as it were, of our race, the Jewish attitude and the Jewish point of view, the passion of the prophet, the piety of the Psalmist, the dream of the poet, the faith of the martyr, the high hope and sacrificial valor and the morale which make for victory.

My deep concern with Jewish education led me to organize the Bureau of Jewish Education in Cleveland in 1924, with the aid of the Federation of Jewish Charities and with the cooperation of the other rabbis of the city. A survey had revealed the startling fact that 14,000 Jewish children of school age, out of 21,000 in our community, were receiving no religious training whatso ever, either in Religious Schools, Hebrew Schools or through private instruction. The Bureau applied itself to the establishment of schools in all parts of the city, to the support of the existing educational institutions which were not them entirely self-supporting and to the training of Religious School and Hebrew School teachers.

I was elected President of the Bureau and actively served in that capacity for seven years. The Bureau has served well through the years and is today an important educational agency in our community.

In fact, I was rather critical of Reform Judaism in which misistry?

Record. I believed that the pioneer reformers and their disciples after them were too zealous to "modernize" Judaism, and too self-conscious about modernity. There was too much emphasis in their thought and speech upon "reform", "change", "progress", too little upon "rebirth", "return", tracing back to God". Nothing is so shallow and ephemeral as modernity. The very word suggests a mode, a fashion, an improved and passing version which has its practical utility, to be sure, but which must not be confused with that which is of the essence and of the eternal. They were too eager to accommodate,

And so, when I taught my people about Judaism, I spoke to them not of competitive denominational Judaism, but of the essentials and the eternal values of their historic faith. I spoke of an old religion, reaching across many centuries and many lands, embracing many cultures and reflecting the great variety of experiences of our people. Judaism, I often reminded them, is not a fixed and invoterate set of dogmas and doctrines and observances, which have remained constant and inflexible through the centuries. It is not a formalized creed which consists of so many articles of faith which have received the official stamp of approval of some authorized church council.

Nor is Judaism based on some outstanding event in the history of the Jewish people, or on some extraordinary personality, although historic events,

Rather, is it the evolving faith and the ethical thinking of a spiritually sensitive people through long centuries of time, and it is only the religious sense or the religious genius of this people which gives organic unity to the faith which we call Judaism.

Just as is the case with all other religions, so also with Judaism, there have been in it from time to time various schools of thought; the traditionalists, and the reformers, the ritualists and the pietists, the rationalists and the mystics, the priests and the prophets. At times, the views of one or the other predominated, but most often they interpenetrated and modified one another.

But it is not difficult at all to discover beneath the surface of these movements

and currents the deep, steady and persistent channels which carried on throughout the ages. Amidst the many changes of opinion and emphasis, it is quite easy to discern the outlines of the major trends, the key ideas, the enduring attitudes, the unfailing and beckoning horizons of the historic faith, Judaism.

There is a royal road which stretches down the ages from Abraham and

Moses to our own time. There is an unbroken highway which connects the

world-view of the early patriarchs, the later prophets, the scribes, the sages

and the Rabbis. Each, of course, is a child of his age, but they all share a common

belief and outlook which are ageless.

I think to hansant to my here white the development: That God is One, epiritual, Freator and Ruler of the universe, indwelling in all nature, and yet transcending it, near to man in all his needs and yet beyond man's comprehension; that God can never be represented and is never incarnated; that man, whilefashioned out of the earth, is nevertheless made in the spiritual image of God; that while man is bound by his physical and mental limitations, he is nevertheless boundless in his moral aspirations, and he is free to determine his own spiritual progress through his own efforts, assisted by the grace of God; that both body and soul are cf God and that the whole of man -- body, mind and soul -- is sacred; that all men are equal in their essential humanity and in the sight of God; that there is but one moral law for prince and pauper, for ruler and subject, for native born and the stranger; that life is good and is a gracius gift of God; that the evil which exists in the world can be overcome, and in the overcoming of it lies the meaning and the adventure of human life, and that a golden age of universal justice and brotherhood and peace awaits

the human race, to be ushered in by the efforts of the human race; that there is a reward for goodness in time and in eternity, and punishment for evil which can be averted through repentance; that man's principal concern should be with life this side of the grave since "the hidden things belong to Go-1 but the things that are revealed belong to us and our children, that we may do all the words of the law."

Some of the great religions of markind possess one or more of these ideas; some have adopted them directly from Judaism; but Judaism has woven them all into a single and unique pattern, has integrated and correlated them into a dynamic and magnificent religious philosophy and into an ethical code which have powerfully influenced the divilization of manking.

I would always stress the thought that while Judaism is the religion of the Jewish people, evolved by it and entrusted to it, its mission was to spread its teachings to the whole world. The message of Judaism is universal. He God is the God of the whole of mankinds The Temple is a house of prayer for all peoples. Israel conceived of itself as a covenanted people, a mission-dowered people, trained through a self-imposed discipline to be, as it were, an army of the Lord, to carry this revelation of the One God and of His mandates of faith and of right living to all men everywhere. It was chosen not for any special favors or special privileges, but for the hard, exacting obligations of spiritual leadership which is so often a crown of thorns.

As an historic faith, infused with the life experience of the Jewish people, Judaism naturally reflects in some of its customs, symbols, ceremonies

and festivals, the special experiences and the special needs and hopes of the Jewish community, but the light of its spiritual and its ethical vision is intended for the whole of mankind. Judaism excluded no one from sharing in its faith because of race or of caste. In fact, no one needs to be formally admitted into its fold in order to be "saved".

This is the Judaism which I taught my people through the years -in sermon, lecture, address or classroom instruction. This is the Judaism
which I applied in interpreting the events of the day, from week to week,
from year to year.

It is difficult to estimate the effectiveness of one's ministry.

The minister deals in imponderables. The business-man can point to his profits, the physician to the sick whom he cured, the lawyer to the cases which he won in court, the engineer to the structures which he built. The minister can point to no such tangible achievements. He does not know whether his teaching is followed or not, or whether his preaching has any influence whatsoever.

But he will not lose heart, for it is not his duty to complete the work but neither is he free to disist from it.

In 1927, I published my book, "Messianic Speculations in Israel", from the First through the Seventeenth Centuries. The nucleus of the book was the doctorate thesis which I had presented to the faculty of the Hebrew Union College in 1925, which I enlarged and completely revised for publication. It was well received in the scholarly world. In 1958, a paper-back

edition of the book was published by the Beacon Press. I added a brief preface which carried the story of Messianic Speculations in Israel up to the time of the establishment of the State of Israel in 1948.

The Messianic motif in Jewish history has always intrigued me.

The Messanic hope sustained the Jewish people through centuries of darkness, homelessness and persecution. In its prophetic expression, as the beckoning vision of a redeemed humanity, it continues to guide, inspire and sustain men of good-will everywhere.

In 1931, I published my book, "Religion in a Changing World" -a series of essays on the place of religion in the modern world. It was
exceptionally well received. It was a "best seller" among religious books
in its day.

add from III TT. p.1.

also the un to the Convention that to

Lalways believed that the church should enter the arena of social and political life and urge the faithful to bythe the good society.

I believed that the first great service which the church, the effective arm of religion, can render the cause of social justice is to galvanize by education and inspiration the will of men so that they will seek justice and pursue it.

The church should not remain content to speak of social justice in the abstract. The church is not an academy for special social sciences. The this a dynamic agency for social reconstruction. It must enter the arena of life and do valiant battle for its sanctities.

The charen cannot, of course, align itself with a propaganda for one specific economic system as against another. It must not involve itself in economic dogmatism. The course would be to suffer a severe loss in spiritual prestige and authority. The church would be compelled either to champion an existing order in spite of its sundry and inevitable flaws, or a new order, which might fail even to approximate the virtues claimed for it. The church is not concerned with systems, but with the safeguarding of principles which each age must be challenged to work into such a system as will best meet its requirements. Whether it be capitalism, socialism or communism, there are basic principles of justice at stake in each, and the church must under all conditions remain free to defend these ideals for which no system holds adequate guarantees.

- add for 100.

- Communication

- 46/- 1 (3/1)

This conception of mine as to the role of organized religion in modern society guided me through the years both in my pulpit utterances and in my active participation in the many social moments of the day.

Especially in the dark days of the economic depression, when so many of our fellow-citizens were jobless and their families in dire want, I spoke up time and time again on the social menace of unemployment.

Bu 1927 the unemployment situation had become very serious in Cleveland, as indeed it had become all over the country. I urged upon the City of Cleveland immediate large-scale construction jobs as a means of bringing incomediate relief to the unemployed and adequate local, state and federal relief.

It was at this time that I began my campaign for unemployment insurance which was to continue for almost a decade until the State of Ohio adopted it.

We had everlooked the social menace of unemployment. Periodic unemployment makes for irregular habits, shiftlessness, destroys morale, and indermines a laborer's pride and self-respect. It discourages those who see their small savings, which they had through careful economy set aside for the education of their children or for the purchase of a home slowly eaten up by the lean weeks and months of unemployment. As their savings vanish, their pride too, and their ambitions vanish. Unemployment disrupts families, for many a man finds the burden of caring for a family too heavy to bear when he is unable to find work.

Unemployment was forcing thousands to the doors of charitable institutions and nothing is more degrading and desolating. For the family of a self-respecting-workingman to be compelled to ask charity is to drain the last bitter dregs of the cup-of-life. This social pauperization of the manhood and womanhood of our land is a blot on the honor of this, the fairest and richest country in the world.

The fear of joblessness is dreadfully demoralizing. It robs a man of that sense of security and stability upon which alone permanent character values can be built. Unemployment is also hurtful to industry and business. A workingman cannot be loyal to an industry which may at any moment, at the slightest fluctuation in the market, throw him out upon want and misery. It also interferes seriously with industrial efficiency and organization.

Unemployment, I was convinced, is not an insoluble problem. Periodic fluctuations of prosperity and depression are not inevitable. The business cycle, can be to a large extent, controlled. If the same amount of intelligent research and inventive ingenuity which has gone into technical improvement and the production end of American industry had gone into the problem of the regularization of production, the stabilization of markets, and the control of credits, the dread ghost of the business cycle would have been laid long ago.

Above all, I urged that a law should be passed establishing compulsory unemployment insurance for all workingmen. Every workingman is entitled to be protected against involuntary unemployment, just as he is entitled to be protected against the disability of sickness and old age. Unemployment insurance is a legitimate charge against industry the same as accident insurance or fire insurance. The computational pressure upon the employer to steady employment within his industry.

The first concern of a country should be its laboring population. The security of a country rests upon a contented working class. Rich and prosperous America can not afford to subject millions to recurrent periods of unemployment and want and to drive thousands to beggary and alms taking.

- # - F - 7

The Consumers League of Ohio had since 1928 been studying the problem of unemployment which was beginning to assume serious proportions.

I 1914

Turged upon it that year the wisdom of concentrating on unemployment insurance as a means of solving the relief problem which was caused by unemployment." I should like to see a great movement started in this country on the part of those who are alert to the situation, for unemployment insurance." In April, 1930, the League appointed a committee to make a study of unemployment insurance with the idea of framing legislation on the subject. The committee consisted of economists, representatives of labor and industry, social workers, and civic and religious leaders. It met in the Parlor of my Temple on Friday evenings for a period of six months. It studied intensively every phase of unemployment insurance and the insurance plans which had been adopted as other countries.

As an outgrowth of this study, it was decided to sponsor a bill for unemployment insurance in the Ohio Legislature. A public meeting of citizens was then called on December 15, 1930, to receive the draft of the proposed bill for consideration and action. At this meeting, the Cleveland Committee for Unemployment Insurance was officially organized and I was elected Chairman. The meeting meeting voted to authorize the Chairman to appoint committees to secure the endorsement of other organizations throughout the State, to interview members of the Ohio Legislature, to organize a Speakers Bureau and to do whatever else was necessary to further the movement.

Our Bill was introduced in the Ohio State Legislature in January,

1931. It was known as the Reynolds-Keifer Bill. Hearings on the Bill were
held in Columbus and I appeared before the Legislature on February 17th.

Prior to my appearance, the Executive Director of the State Council of Retail Merchants circularized the membership of the Council with the warning: "When the eloquent Rabbi Silver appears in Columbus before legislative committees, with packed galleries, pleading for such a cause, LOOK OUT!"

At these hearings I said:

"You have listened this evening to the proponents who came from the ranks of business-men, laboring men, social workers, teachers, economic experts. I should like to have you hear a word from another profession, the profession of the ministry. I believe that I voice, in a measure, its sentiments on this subject. We are all devoting ourselves to the task of translating some of the basic moral ideals of our religious into concrete human institutions, just laws and a better way of life for all our people.

"I have been amazed in recent years as I became progressively more and more aware of this problem, of the relative indifference of the American public to the whole problem of unemployment until it becomes as acute as it has in recent months. We assume that unemployment is inherent in our industrial system and can offer only emergency relief. We have overlooked

N 10

capitalistic system. You do not believe it, nor do I.

"This Bill is sound, this Bill is practical, it is conservative, it has taken into account all the experiences of Germany and England. Why shouldn't the great State of Ohio take the first step which will immediately be followed by other industrial states?

"I voice the sentiment of men and women who work in the field of religion, who are close to men and women in their needs."

There were those who spoke against the bill, representatives of industry and business. \( \)

Both the Ohio Chamber of Commerce and the Cleveland Chamber of Commerce worked for its defeat.

The Bill was killed in the Senate on March 12th, and in the House on March 26th.

However, as a result of the great interest aroused in unemployment insurance by the introduction of the Bill and due to the manifold activities of our committee, the Ohio Legislature on April 9, 1931, cited favorably upon the recommendation of Governor White for the appointment of a Commission "to investigate the practicability and the acvisability of setting up unemployment reserves or insurance funds to provide against the risk of unemployment, and to recommend what form of legislation, if any, may be wise or suitable to Ohio as a separate State and which may seem to offer the best preventive remedy to avoid future distress and suffering, such as is being undergone by our citizens who are unable to find work through no fault of their own".

-54- W 13

This Bill passed the House but was killed in the Senate.

Organized labor at first approved unemployment insurance but by 1932, a complete reversal of attitude took place in the part of the American Federation of Labor. Thereafter, unemployment insurance had as one of its staunchest friends in the State, organized labor.

In 1934, I went to Washikington and appeared before the Ways and Means
Committee of the House to advocate the passing of the Wagner-Lewis Bill for
Unemployment Insurance.

In June, 1934, I called a conference in Columbus to plan the drafting and presentation of a third unemployment insurance Bill.

In 1935, a third Bill was introduced, known as the Bill, which like the preceding Fill, embodied the recommendation of the Ohio Commission on Unemployment Insurance. This Bill passed the House but was killed in the Senate.

Finally, in December 1936, at a special session of the Legislature, a

Unemployment Insurance Bill was passed by the State of Ohio which, in the main,
followed the provisions of the original Commission Eill. Thus, seven years of
unflagging labor and devotion to a cause were finally crowned with success.

Through the years before its enactment into law, the Ohio Plan was discussed in many states of the Union and stimulated action in many of them. It was, in a way, the forerumner of unemployment insurance in the United States.

Early in 1954, I was invited by the Senate Committee on Labor and Public Welfare to testify on Bill 5-692 which had been introduced the previous year -- a bill to prohibit discrimination in employment because of race, color, religion, national origin and ancestry. A date attended to because of the beautiful of

"We have prided ourselves on our doctrine of "free enterprise".

How much free enterprise is there for an American citizen of dark color -or of minority religions -- if his advancement is restricted, or made
impossible by his race or creed? Is it not fair to say that individual
enterprise can only be made truly free when every man's achievement
is limited only by his own ability and merit?....

"What cannot be measured is the unmeasurable hope and sense of status that the mere passage of such legislation brings to millions of our fellow Americans....

"A second potential boon of FEPC is the strengthening of our unity as a people. It is of the essence of Americanism that we are unafraid of frank controversy on all manner of social, economic and political questions. But there is a type of controversy that is fruitless and destructive -- the controversy that pits race against race and religion against religion in matters of earning a livelihood. The real

issues and problems confronting us are so grave that we dare not squander our strength on such internecine disputes. In the last analysis there are only two ways to answer the increasingly articulate demand for full rights by racial and minority groups: there is the way of segregation and oppression and there is the way of equality of opportunity. The first is a direction which leads to Conflict and violence. The second leads to harmony and a united people. It is my belief that FEP lesiglation represents a significant step in this second, constructive direction.

"The third major basis for urging enactment of the legislation before you is the contribation which it can make to strengthen our political and moral leadership on the international scene.., I bad occasion recently to express my belief that the coming age will be a great age for America. I systed:

"The next hundred years is likely to be known as the American Century, in the same sense as the 19th century was The Century of Creat Britain. Destiny has singled out our beloved country, the foremost democracy on earth, to give leadership to the world and to lead mankind out of the grave, social, political and economic predicaments in which it finds itself. I believe that American leadership will prove itself equal to the challenge, if it will take counsel of faith and not of fear, and if it will be guided by the prophetic insights and the wide perspectives of the Foyfiding Fathers of this Republic."

"Such leadership does not derive from industrial and military wiff alone, vital as these are. It stams from faith which the world has in the integrity of our ideals and our devotion to the principles of human equality. We have heard much discussion concerning the value of the Voice of America; I am confident that with enactment of a federal FEP measure, the true voice of America will speak with an authority and persuasiveness no broadcast or series of broadcasts can hope to achieve.

"We can no longer afford the luxury of ambiguity on the subject of intergroup relations. It is far too late to speak the words "All men are created equal" and to sanction deeds of inequality. We cannot hope to win the cooperation of peoples who are today casting off the last shackles of inequality abroad if we tolerate this sort of thing at home.

We can, through the enactment of FEP, help close the gap between what we profess and what we practice. "

Ever since the Community Revolution in 1917, and throughout the Chafts

Succeeding years of struggle between what has come to be known as the

East and the West. I had to define my own position toward Communism in

its manifold manifestations. No religious leader could or would with the

by-pass this major challenge of the twentieth century. The pulpi: would

have relegated itself to irrelevancy in the modern world if it had failed

to give men guidance on this powerful new phenomenon which was shaking

In the Year that I came to Charlenge, in President Community Commun

"Patriotism does not at all mean that a citizen must endorse every policy of his government or believe in the infallible wisdom of the State Department or the War Department or even of the President of the United States. A citizen may believe his government to be totally and completely in the wrong at times and still be a patriot."

The dominant Mood of reaction also reflected itself in labor-manager

relationships. Cleveland was then, as it is today, an important industrial community. An organized attempt was made to crush labor unionism. Strikes and lock-outs were the order of the day. At the close of the war there was severe unemployment in the city and this situation was seized upon to undermine the organized labor movement. A leader in the campaign was the Cleveland Chamber of Commerce whose president was the war-time Secretary of War, Newton D. Baker. In protest I resigned from the Chamber of Commerces the correspondence between Mr. Baker and myself was given wide publicity in the local press. Labor leaders assured me that it greatly strengthened their position.

In September, 1930, I found myself again involved in a labor-management dispute in which justice was on the side of labor. The Hotel managers of Cleveland broke a seventeen-year old agreement with the Cooks', Waitresses' and Waiters' the latter halk went in old.

Union and forced them into a strike to protect their right of collective bargaining.

The action was clearly part of a united effort instigated and directed by powerful interests who were fast obtaining a strangle-hold on Cleveland to destroy Unionism in a period of intense economic depression.

79

I issued a public statement in which I said among other things:

| public of the case of the intransigent attitude which these hotels have taken in refusing all forms of arbitration. In international conflicts men have agreed to designate that nation as the agressor which refuses to arbitrate. The introns are willing to arbitrate. The hotels are not. The public should bear this fact in mind in deciding which party is the aggressor in this conflict and which is entitled to sympathy and cooperation.

"Only those who believe in the class struggle refuse to arbitrate.

Men who believe in the possibility of American capital and labor cooperating amicably for their mutual benefit are neither uncompromising nor dogmatic."

Years later, in 1958, the issue of the Union Shop was again revived.

A "Right to Work" Constitutional Amendment was presented to the voters of the State of Ohio. On that occasion I issued the following statement which was will largely used by the Chio Federation of Labor to defeat the Amendment:

"Every man in a free society should have the right to work. But every man, once he finds employment, also has the moral duty to join an organization of fellow-workers which achieves for him, through its organized efforts, the favorable conditions he enjoys in his employment and affords him protection for the future. Everyone is morally obligated to share in the responsibilities if they wish to avail themselves of the rewards of collective effort. The theoretic "Right to Work" which no one questions is qualified by man's moral responsibility to assume the obligations which assure him the very things which he seeks in his employment.

over through the years I was called in on severent to are hat lown-mangement disputes and to notice strikes.

WRIIS 0,920 9,650



the very foundations of our society. Religion could not ignore it -- for it was attacking all religion. Democracy and liberalism could not ignore it, for it was destroying them both wherever it could. It would have been a simple matter to engage in wholesale condemnation and so find oneself praised and applauded in the Western world. But there were factors in the Communist revolution which the religious leader was obligated to consider, and spiritual elements which he could not ignore. As between the East and the West it was not altogether a case of black or white -- the perfectly good system against the utterly evil one.

In 1917, when Bolshevism first swept into power in Russia, I delivered a sermon at the Temple on "Bolshevism -- How to Meet It". My central thought was, "Kill Boshevism with justice, kill it with love". That was very naive, quite as naive as were the teachings of the founders of our faith when they confronted the evils of their day. Since then I have visited Russia three times, in 1926, 1935, and 1961. I have spoken often in and out of my pulpit on what our attitude towards the Soviet Union should be.

Upon my return from my last visit to the Soviet Union in July, 1961, I issued a statement to the public press in which I summarized what I had been saying through the years:

and they with us. Neither they nor we are always in the right. The leaders of both countries must try to reduce tensions. The peoples of the world are waiting for signs of a new and inspired statesmanship both in the

study our adversary -- at times even to learn from him -- than to abuse him.

We should go about our national business without emotional tautness, certainly without self-righteousness, in the full knowledge that while the worst can happen, in all likelihood it will not; doing what we feel we ought to do at the behest of our own national traditions and principles, helping where we can, seeking no political compensation in return, craving no praise and deterred by no threats, looking first to the soundness and justice of our own economy and the well-being of our people. No one will rob us of our chosen way of life, nor will time rust our spiritual treasures, if we cherish them lovingly. "

Hooken Fortunately in 1963 new winds have before to blow the thouse has net in the United which and blow the thouse has net in the United what and the soviet Union, of the years of furthers reputation, the society of have so had a him to the suicided was first stiffs to thek the suicided was first stiffs to thek the suicided was the United name of the weapons. Got the United name of the suicided was the suicide

indicen attache towards communit la

these things about what should be our attitude toward

the Soviet Union, in spite of what I know about its attitude towards religion, towards Zionism and towards the Jewish people of the Soviet Union:

The regime in Russia is hostile to religion. Its leaders boastfully avow their atheism. They do not seem to be as rabid and gross in their opposition as formerly, but everything that the government can do to eradicate it from among the people, short of completely closing down all places of public worship, is done.

As individuals, Jews have equal rights in the U.S.S.R., with all other citizens. It would be untrue, however, to say that all anti-Semitism has been eradicated there. This would be a Utopian assumption even for the United States. While officially, anti-Semitism is outlawed in the U.S.S.R., practice does not always keep pace with what is written in the statute books. Anti-Semitism in Russia has had a long and dark history and the October Revolution did not uproot it. Russian Jews, it is reported, are being steadily pushed out of positions in the top governing bodies of the State and the Central Committee of the Communist Party, the nigher echelons of the Red Army, the diplomatic services, and the higher brackets of academic professions and plant management.

of do not know how widespread this type of anti-Semitism actually is in the U.S.S.R. today. Its existence is vigorously denied by the government. But, cultural and religious discriminations directed against the Jewish nationality group, as such, is certainly being practiced. The fundamental

law of the U.S.S.R. grants cultural autonomy and equality to all nationality groups. They have a right to their own language, schools, press and other cultural activities. This has been one of the honorable and proud boasts of the Soviet Union. The Jewish group is recognized as a nationality. Every Jew in the Soviet Union is required to indicate on his internal passport that he is a Jew -- just as every Ukranian is required to indicate that he is a Ukranian, and every Georgian that he is a Georgian. But, unlike all the other nationality groups in the Union, and there are many the Jewish group enjoys none of the rights of these nationalities.

"Because it is an extra-territorial community, so to speak, not centered in any one territory or region of the Union, it has been denied these rights. Those who wish or wished to enjoy such rights, it is argued by the apologists of the regime, should, or should have migrated to the autonomous Jewish region of Birobidjan in Eastern Siberia, which the Soviet established. This argument, of course, ignores the historic realities of the Jewish position in Russia. Jews, though widely scattered over the country, always constituted a separate, ethnic and cultural group in Russia which, even in the darkest days of the Tears, were free to exercise their religion, and free to teach and develop their own Hebrew and Yiddish culture. The argument also overlooks the fiasco of Birobidjan which should have been foreseen.

I am inclined to the belief, however, that progress in the direction of within the Jewish minority in the Soviet Union the same cultural and religious considerations which are accorded all other nationality and religious groups is not prediced, given accorded all other nationality and religious groups can still be made, given accorded insistent world Jewish opinion, but me which day but you do chouse I would be.

6 ...

trust Stalin. Stalin does not trust Truman. Hence the impasse. It is clear that only in the direction of courageous and continuous exploration of every avenue for reaching understandings, if only piece-meal, only in the direction of negotiation, earnestly sought and welcomed, lies the hope of the world. Negotiation is not appeasement. Let not the American people be persuaded into closing their minds at the sound of the word appeasement. It is today a tabu device to paralyze thought and wise diplomatic action. There should be urgent and continuous exploration of every opportunity for the coming to an understanding with the Soviet, a nation which we cannot defeat in war any more than it can defeat us....

"Our appeal to our national leadership in Washington, which we make in all good will, for we are all involved in one common destiny, is to be courageous and unafraid, not to be swayed by partisan political considerations and not to allow itself to be stampeded by war-mongers, fanatics and cranks, and by those who would push us into war for reasons which have nothing to do with love of country. There are those in our country who do not want an understanding with Russia. There are those even in high government posts who are ardently advocating a preventive war with Russia. There are those who will try to sabotage any possibility of agreement. These are our real enemies. "

Barr

I was also opposed to the re-arming of Germany. On March 4, 1951,

I spoke at The Temple on "Shall We Re-arm Germany?" I said:

"At Potsdam in August, 1945, an agreement was reached between Truman, Churchill and Stalin for the complete demilitarization of Germany. They agreed that all war potentials of Germany should be destroyed -- war plants, warships, aircraft and arms -- so that Germany would never again be able to make war.

Why was this decision reached by the heads of the governments of the United States, Great Britain and the Soviet Union? These countries had just emerged from the horrors and devastations of the Second World War which Germany had inflicted on mankind/-- a second world war in a single generation. They recalled that Germany had been defeated in the First World War, but that subsequently it had been permitted to re-arm, only to hurl her military might a second time against the allied nations with even greater fury and ruthlessness. Under Hitler, as under the Kaiser, the military clique of Germany, backed by its powerful industrial cartels, had made a second attempt at conquest and world domination...They failed a second time, but not, however, before they had drenched Europe with blood and slaughtered millions of people and left countless cities in ruins and nations in bottomless misery.

At the close of the Second World War, the allied nations were determined not to make the same mistake again.... They would render it incapable of ever again launching a war. Accordingly, the heads of the three great countries which had paid such a fearful price for German belligerency, solemnly resolved to demilitarize Germany permanently....

the world in a determined effort to re-arm Germany!

of front is exactly the same as Hitler gave when he persuaded the allies to help him re-arm -- namely, the over-riding need to resist Communist aggression.

"The Potsdam allies have now fallen out. They fought the war side by side against a common enemy, but now they are mobilizing against each other, and are courting the favor of their erstwhile common enemy.

" Disagreements among the allies following the first World War made possible the reconstruction of Germany's military might, the emergence of Hitler, and his early diplomatic and annexationist victories. Germany knew how to play off one ally against the other and how to gain advantages from each. Hitler knew how to make his brown distatorship tolerable and palatable to the allies by harping upon the evil; and the dangers of the red dictatorship. So did Mussolini. As a result Europe was turned over as a helpless victim to the bestialities of Nazi and Fascist madmen while the democracies were paralyzed into inaction by a propaganda which said that all these things were necessary -- in order to save Europe from a worse fate -- from Communist domination. But strange to say, when the unbearable tensions and the expansionist greed of the Nazi-Fascist adventurers finally brought on the Second World War, it was found that the allied democratic nations were at war, not with Communist Russia, but with the Mazi and Fascist states, and Communist Bussia, far from fighting against the democracies, was actually fighting on their side.

History has often a strange and tragic way of repeating itself. As if doomed by some inescapable fate, as if under the compulsion of some spell or incantation, the allied nations are today retracing the same road which they took after the First World War, step by step. Spurred on by the fear of Communist Russia, real, inflated or imaginary, the democratic nations are rushing to re-arm Germany even before the Germans have asked for it, even though most Germans are still opposed to it. We are determined to

thrust rearmament upon Germany.

The United States, even more than Great Britain -- certainly more

Illustration that no high one's wisdom is adequate for the enormity and complexity of the political problems of our day, and no one can be absolutely sure of the correctness of the position which he takes on the fast-moving events of our day. But there are sound, moral principles of international relationship which have proved their enduring validity -- and to these, in my darkness and confusion, I resolved to cling.

And I believe that our world is moving toward these same principles -- the principle



The First your has when entanded so much suffery and through he wanted the Klikestic Barbett which will have the south and hard in the transfer in the Vine that Italian the south and the south the

suffering upon the Jews of Russia-

The American Joint Distribution Committee had been conducting campaigns to raise funds to help these victims of war and persecution not only in Russia but in Eastern Europe and in many other lands. The Joint Distribution Committee enjoyed splendid lay-leadership and a competent and dedicated staff. Its services over-seas won the commendation and confidence of American Jewry.

In 1925, the leaders of the J. D. C. became interested in a project of large-scale Jewish colonization in Southern Russia and in the Crimea. The father of the plan was Dr. Joseph A. Rosen. He urged colonization as the most expedient form of reconstruction work for the J. D. C., to undertake. Jewish mass colonization in Russia, he maintained, was feasible, and the political and economic conditions there favored such colonization.

This colonization project had aroused much interest among American Jews, but also considerable opposition -- especially among the Zionist forces who feared that it would be a severe competition to the colonization work in which the Movement was presently engaged in in Palestine. They were bringing thousands of Jewish refugees into the country as part of their program to build the Jewish National Home.



September sixteenth

Mr. David A. Brown, United Jewish Campaign, 512 Pershing Square Bldg., New York City.

// My dear Mr. Brown,

- // I have read your kind letter of September tenth and regret that my opposition to the so-called Crimean project hurt you. I would not do that for the world, but you realize I am sure, that in matters such as these, involving fundamental outlooks, men must follow their own light.
- I am surprised that you assumed that my opposition to Russian colonization was due entirely to my Zionism. That is not the fact. I was instrumental in a measure in keeping the "Crimean" resolution off the floor of the Vienna Confedence, because I did no: regard it as a Zionist issue.
- I read Dr. Rosen's report, even as I read everything which he wrote heretofore and everything which you wrote. I am still of the opinion that mass colonization in Russia is a stupendous blunder. I am confident that five or tem years will
  prove me right. I need not go into the reasons for the position which I take. I assume
  that many of them were stated at the Philadelphia Conference. I am of the opinion
  that should present conditions in Russia improve, the Jew will not stay on the farm.
  Should they grow worse, he will be wiped off the farm.
- It is naive to assume that the settlement of a few thousand families upon the soil and the expenditure of a few millions of American dollars will reconstruct Jewish life in Russia -- as the grandiose publicity of the J. D. C. announced. The The reconstruction of Russian Jewish life is contingent upon the reconstruction of Bolshevist Russia through the revision of its economic policies. This will come to pass sooner or later.
- The resolution which was adopted at the Philadelphia Conference is in the nature of a compromise. I am perfectly willing to abide by those decisions, although there seems to be room for various and opposing interpretations of these resolutions. If Mr. Rosenweld's position is to become the official interpretation of this resolution -- that the first nine million dollars raised must go for Russian colonization, and if Russian colonization will continue to be featured as the outstanding object of this campaign, many of us will find ourselves embarrassed when it comes to the task of assisting in raising the funds.

Zionism uns making stead but show propose in the first de cale brewing the Breder the belanation the weed for were acceleration the poet nes sheets fell and effects were writer to brugs this shut.

Under the terms of the Mandate, provision was made for a Jewish Agency which was to act in an advisory and cooperative capacity to the mandatory government. The World Zicnist Organization was recognized as this agency, but it was to take steps to secure the cooperation of all Jews who were willing to assist in the establishment of the Jewish National Home. Dr. Weizmann was eager to enlarge this agency so as to include non-Zionists. His object was, of course, to involve the wealthier Jews of the United States and of other countries who did not wish to work through the Zionist Organization, in the economic development of Palestine and to tap additional resources for the Movement.

To this end, he persuaded a group of prominent American Jewish leaders -- Louis Marshall, Cyrus Adler, Horace Stern and Herbert H. Lehman, to call a "Non-partisan Conference to Consider Palestine Problems", on February 17, 1924, at the Astor Hotel in the City of New York.

The week prior to this, Dr. Weizmann wrote to me:

"I suppose that the official invitation to the Conference on the 17th will have reached you by now and I do hope that it will be possible for you to be with as on that day. I wonder whether it will be possible for you to come to New York a day earlier so that we could have a few hours to consider matters which may arise before or duing the Conference. We should also like to have a talk with you on the position of the Movement generally. We were prevented from having a private talk in Clevel and and I should very much like to make up for the loss."

I could not attend the Conference. Dr. Weitzmann was evidently pleased with

"You will no doubt have read the short reports about the Conference which we all think was a signal success. The "New Palestine" of this week will have a full account of the proceedings and I am sure you will be as pleased as I am. We were not asked to give up anything of our principles or our intentions. It was a most harmonious meeting."

And Investment Corporation for the economic development of Palestine was voted at this Conference which was formerly merged with the Palestine Economic Corporation established by the Brandeis-Mack group.

I wrote to Er. Weitzmann that I, too, was very pleased with the results of the Conference. "I think a very fine beginning has been made. The trick is to keep those people on the job, working."

The trick unfortunately, did not come off.

I favored the extension of the Jewish Agency right along, although quite a number of the Brandeis-Mack group, as well as other, strongly opposed it. At the Zionist Congress which convened in Zurich in 1929, the main issue was the ratification of the Falarged Jewish Agency proposal. I spoke in favor of it. In my address I stated:

"An act of historic moment is about to be consummated. It will not be a precipitate act. Rarely has a matter been considered so long, so carefully and so earnestly by our organization. The whole Zionist world has for six years

participated in a prolonged and searching discussion of this subject, in the press, on the rostrum, at conventions and at congresses. Everything that could have been said, has been said. And now you are about to act, and I hope decisively.

And a new era will begin.

I rise to speak for the American Zionists who will vote for the extended Jewish Agency. We of America are anxious, as I am sure you are, that the step which we are about to take shall eventuate in the good which we expect it to yield. America has, of course, been central in the entire project of the extended agency. The idea of extending the agency gained headway among the Zionists of the world chiefly, though not exclusively, because of the hope that it will tap new vens of strength, new resources of men -- economically prosperous Jews of America. My acquaintance with all the elements of the Jewish people in America justifies my belief that this hope will, in large measure, be realized, provided we who are here and they who will be here will bring to the new alliance a full measure of mutual confidence, a willingness to learn to understand each other and a desire to bridge gulfs and not to widen them.

I have followed with the closest attention the deliberations of this body on the subject of the agency. I have been keenly aware of the doubts and fears which have agitated many of our delegates and which were expressed here in the midst of great strain and tension. I have profoundly sympathized with all of them and personally shared some of them. Anyone who is at all sensitive to the quality of nigh jealous loyalty which a great ideal evokes among its devotees will readily understand that men who have through many wearying years struggled sacrificially Let us face the new era in hopefulness. Let us have faith in ourselves, in the all-conquering power of our ideal and in the transforming power of Palestine. Let those who are now coming work with us in a Union Sacrée, a a Brith Kadosh. Let them work with us in Palestine. Let the spirit of that land enter into their blood. Let them come in contact with all that the indefeasible spirit of our people has builded there, our settlements and our colonies, our schools and our university, our speech and our culture, our Palestinian manhood and womanhood and youth, and we have no doubt but what Palestine will persuade them and exalt them. "

I was later present in Zurich when the enlarged Jewish Agency was finally constituted amidst much ceremony and rejoicing. It was the second high point in Dr. Weitzmann's diplomatic career, the first being the Balfour Declaration. He had worked for it hard for almost a decade. It involved the Paradical re-organization of the Zionist Movement. This was strongly resisted in many quarters. As I indicated, Zionists were asked to relinquish their sole control over Zionist activities in Palestine. Inordinate hopes were fixed upon the consummation of the enlarged Jewish Agency, but when it was consummated, the high waters began to recede quickly. With the sudden death of Louis Marshall, and later of Lord Melchett, cisintegration set in. The anticipation of large financial support which the "notables" would provide, never materialized. Nei ther Dr. Weitzmann nor Justice Brandeis ever succeeded in moving the "big givers" to large-scale generosity. Actually,

following the creation of the Enlarged Jewish Agency than in the cecade preceding it. A contributing factor to this sorry record was undoubtedly the disastrous economic depression which set in in the United States and in other parts of the world in 1929.

.1 .

It would take another World War, Hitler, and the threatened extermination of European Jewry to open the flood-gates of Jewish generosity, and here, again, principally of the masses of our people and of the middle classes.

This major effort of Dr. Weizmann, unsuccessful though it proved, was, however, not a total loss. The direct results were disappointing but there were some valuable by-products in terms of propagands and increased prestige for the Movement. One is left wondering, however, whether these by-products justified the years of labor, struggle and controversy which had been invested in this enterprise.

I stood on the curb and watched the procession.

I knew, of course, what the rise of Hitler to power would mean to
the Jews of Germany but I under-estimated the depth of depravity of the
man and his regime. I thought at the time that it was possible, and perhaps
likely, that the Nazi leaders, now shouldered with political responsibility,
would be sobered by these responsibilities and would not attempt to carry
out their fantastic schemes against the Jewish citizens of Germany. I
was beguiled by the thought, as were so many German Jews at the time,
that "one does not eat his soup as hot as it is cooked".

The German Jews with whom I spoke soon after the rise of Hitler
to power, were concerned, of course, but were not greatly frightened.
They were preparing themselves for the storm which they knew was coming but which, they were confident, would sooner or later blow over.

This, unfortunately, was not to be.

When I returned to the United States five months later, the Nazis had already shown what their tactics were. Upon my arrival, in an interview with the press, I said: "The Jews of Germany are being sacrificed to a racial Moloch, the like of which the world has never seen. The Jews are doomed to be helots or aliens in Germany unless they obtained a legal status as a minority nationality within the state. The Jew is on the rack in Germany. Nazi atrocities are unlike Gzarist atrocities. They are deadlier.

They are calculated to strangle a community of six hundred thousand souls by encompassing it on all sides with increasingly tighter economic and educational barriers. They are being forced from public life, from the professions, from the arts, from the schools and universities. They are being hampered at every turn in their economic freedom. Zarist Russia made pogroms on the Jews and found it necessary at least to apologize. Nazi Germany is engaged in the meanest dry pogrom known in history and shamelessly brags about it. The military spirit is again rampant in Germany. It is being fostered by the press, the platform, the theatre, the movies, even by the pulpit which has become a lacky of the state. The youth of Germany/is being indoctrinated with the same Valhaila complex of military grandeur and imperial destiny which led to 1914. Germany must not be permitted to re-arm. Nazism is a clenched fist raised against the world and ag armed Nazism cannot be trusted to preserve the peace of the world. Germany's plea at the disarmament conference for equality is a plea to re-arm and a menace to the world."

Soom after my return I began to work actively in campaigns for the relief of German Jewry. It was at that time, too, that I helped to organize the economic boycott against the Nazis.

After I left Berlin, I went to Prague. Ever since the First World War,
I had entertained the hope of some day visiting the Hew Republic of
Czecho-Slovakia, and particularly its President, Tomas G. Masaryk.

The American League for the Defense of Jewish Rights was organized in May, 1933, to fight the Nazi regime by means of a boycott. Its President was Samuel Untermeyer. I was the Chairman of the Administrative Committee. When it was incorporated in November, 1933, as a membership corporation, under the laws of the State of New York, "to champion human rights....to combat religious and racial discrimination and oppression..." its name was changed to "The Non-Sectarian Anti-Nazi League to Champion Human Rights", and its officers were Samuel Untermyer, President; myself, along with James G. Gerard, Fiorello H. LaGuardia, Arthur S. Tompkins and Col. Theodore Roosevelt as Vice-Presidents. A Notable group of Americans from all walks of life constituted its Board of Directors.

The Boycott was widely endorsed as civilizations only weapon against
Hitlerism, short of war. Organized labor, the liberal forces of the United
States, and cutstanding religious leaders in the nation advocated it. There
was also considerable opposition to an official boycott, even on the part of
organized Jewish bodies, such as the B'nai B'rith, the American Jewish
Committee, the Union of American Hebrew Congregations and others. Opinions
differed sharply as to its practicability and its repercussions. The large
Jewishly-controlled department stores in New York City were far more
relunctant to cooperate with the boycott movement than the non-Jewish stores.

While some friends of the boycott movement undoubtedly entertained the hope that it would contribute to the fall of Hitler, the greater number looked upon it as an effective weapon of protest, as a means of expressing

the disgust and abhorence of the American people of the Nazi persecution of the Jewish people and the shameless Nazi propaganda which was beginning to infiltrate on our cities.

I addressed many meetings in behalf of the boycott movement. Two stand out in my memory -- one in December, 1933, in the Chicago Stadium attended by some fifteen thousand people which was addressed by Dr. Paul Hutchinson, and Dr. Carles Clayton Morrison of the Christian Century. Dr. John Haynes Hickory of the Community Church of New York, Col. Raymond Robins, Solomon O. Levinson, and others. At this meeting, Areyolution was unanimously adopted to join in the condemnation of the inhuman conduct of the Nazi government and to aid and cooperate to remove this vital man menace to liberty and civilization: andto pledge ourselves to refrain from buying or dealing in any and all German materials, goods and products, and to refuse to patronize or ride in German owned or controlled steamships or other means of transportation until the stigma and curse of Nazitsm were weeded out of the German government.

In addisessing this Boycott rally in Chicago, I stated:

passions why are we so aroused, so deeply stirred? Just why are we so passions why indignant at that which has happened and is happening in Germany? After all, we are not unaccustomed to persecution. Many pages of our history are red with the blood of Jewish martyr com. What makes this latest chapter in the story of the age-old persecution of Israel so much more horrible and dreadful? Why are we rallying to fight against this thing as men fight against death and the plague? There are other countries in the world today where the Jews do not as yet enjoy full equality, —political or economic. There are other

——Wherever they were suppressed, its position became insecure and frequently desperate. Similarly the fortunes of labor are linked up with the progress of these great social ideals. Those who attack them attack also the security and rights of labor. It is, therefore, no accident of history that Naziism, which is the implacable foe of the Jewish people, is also the implacable foe of organized labor.,

Every reactionary movement has used the Jew as the pivot in the fulcrum for dislodging liberal and progressive ideas and institutions. The enemies of the Third Republic in France stigmatized it as Jewish. (zarist Russia pogromized the Jew because it claimed that he was responsible for the revolutionary movements which were undermining the regime. Hitler attempts to eradicate democracy by declaring that it is fundamentally Jewish and not Germanic...

Fascism is a deliberate and conscious effort to restore mankind to that system of ideas and social organization which prevailed in the days before the French Revolution. If it triumphs in the world, it will also restore our people to the status which it occupied in pre-Revolutionary days -- political and economic rightlessness and defenselessness. Instead of free men intrenched in malienable human rights, we shall become members of a disfavored minority group in an hierarchic system of feudal vassalage -- exactly the position which we occupied in the Middle Ages. It was the doctrines of the French Revolution -- Liberty, Equality, Fraternity -- which opened for us the doors of opportunity in Europe and which made thexagoratexix conxxxNineteenth Century for the Jews of Western Europe the Golden Age of their history. Fascism will again shut those doors against us. It has already shut them in Germany. Lacking the basis of economic

idealism, Fascism must find some other social ideal as its basis. It can find it only in an intensition sationalism or racism. Strong nationalism and racism always spell strong anti-Semitism. They are intolerant of all minority groups.

"The Jewish people is, therefore, fighting in self-defense against this rising tide of political reaction, national chauvinism and racial intolerance. But other groups, too, must wage a similar defensive war against this self-same menace, particularly trade unions which are the first to succumb whenever Fascism triumphs. It is, therefore, most logical that in the attack upon Hitlerism and Fascism, organized labor should form one unified front with the hosts of Israel against the common foe.

For every anti-Semite is, under the skin, an enemy of freedom and of the working class."

When Samuel Untermyer resigned because of age from the presidency of the Anti-Nazi League, he requested that I succeed him. I could not, because of my many Zionist commitments. Untermyer, a brilliant lawyer and law partner of Louis Marshall, came late to action service in the Jewish field, but thereafter worked with great energy and effectiveness in many important undertakings.

Mr. Untermeyer represented Mr. Bernstein of the Jewish Tribune, in his libel suit against Henry Ford for the letters and attacks on him and on Jews, generally. He forced Mr. Ford to apologize to Mr. Bernstein.

Mr. Untermeyer was for some years Vice-President of the American

Jewish Congress and President of the Palestine Foundation Fund

(Keren Hayesod).

Shortly before his death in 1940, he made a request that I should officiate at his funeral. When he passed away I traveled to New York and conducted the funeral services at his home in Yonkers.



Reply interest in Zianisan led us to work aug of un last their to brung alund ? a un led content to brung alund ? a member to antique of animon Roth to member of an enientation of the animal confusion of animals of anima

The Central Conference of American Rabbis, the organized body of

American Reform Rabbis, was founded in 1889. It's founder was Isaac M. Wise,
one of the leading Reform Rabbis of his day. He also founded the Hebrew Union

College for the training of Rabbis. He, as well as his colleagues of those early
days, were strongly anti-nationalist or anti-Zionist and they set the tone for

American Reform Judaism for the next two generations.

In fact, their conferees in Germany, where Reform Judaism originated in the early nineteenth century, had already set the tone. They confidently proclaimed that Germany was their Fatherland -- a rather one-sided proclamation. They needed no other homeland. The early Reformers were riding the high tide of nineteenth century liberalism, but they failed to note the dangerous shoals of nineteenth century nationalism, the trends towards the centralizing state, and the threat to the Jewish minority of the fast deploying class struggle of their day. Especially dangerous was this oversignt in a land like Germany, which was only just then recovering, after two centuries, from the physical and spiritual devastations of wars of religion, among a people periodically swayed by waves of hysterical religiosity, hysterical metaphysics and hysterical politics, whose foremost religious leader in the sixteenth century could indulge in an anti-Semitism which was matched only by the anti-Semitism of the foremost political leader of Germany in the twentieth century.

The Jawish people produced the Jewish religion, but people and religion are not synonymous terms. The Jewish religion -- and I use the term in its customary sense, for I do not believe that a clever neology -- the use of a word in a new and unsanctioned sense -- is equivalent to a new theology -- is a colossal and world-revolutionizing concourse of spiritual ideas unfolding itself in the life of a people of a particular character and temperament, but the Jewish religion does not exhaust the full content of the Jewish people.

It was Judah Halevi who declared "If there had been no Jews there would have been no Torah, and the Jews did not derive their high estate from Moses, but Moses derived his high estate from the Jews."

Jewish life possessed in its great epochs a classic balance, and the aim of religious leaders today should be to restore it. Many tributaries flow into the historic channel of Jewish life. In recent years some zealous and mostly uninformed partisans have attempted to reduce Jewish life to what is only a fraction of itself -- to race or nationalism or folkways or theologic abstractions. Quite unconsciously they are all falsifying Jewish life. It is a mark of decadence in the diaspora that so many of our people have lost the sense of the classic harmony in Jewish life and are attempting to substitute a part for the whole.

It is the total program of Jewish life and destiny which the religious leaders of our people should stress today -- the religious and moral values, the universal concepts, the mandate of mission, as well as the Jewish people itself and all its national aspirations. Thus the strength and security of our life will

The "old guard" was so outraged at the adoption of this resolution, that which was attended by only 33 labels it called a conference and organized what subsequently became the Council of American Judaism, a notorious anti-Zionis: group from which the Rabbinic constituentcy steadily withdrew, leaving it almost entirely in the hands of super-patriotic laymen whose chief interest was not Judaism but anti-Zionism.

The Central Conference of American Rabbis, in the critical years of the last quarter of a century, during which time the battle for the establishment of the State of Israel was waged, and its foundations were laid, maintained a positive, constructive and most helpful attitude. Many of its members were leading champions in the struggle.

Jewish "intellectuals" have always been a problem to the Jewish people.

As a rule they are supercilious fringe-Jews, victims of a conscious or unconscious escapism. They entertain neither love nor reverence for their people's heritage. They have cut their moorings and are adrift.

The term "intelligentsia", like the terms "proletariat" and "peasant", is really applicable not to the structure of American society. They belong, or belonged, to the social stratifications of the Old World. When men speak of the Jewish "intellectuals" in the United States, they have in mind, presumably, Jewish authors, journalists, artists, philosophers, professors, etc., not necessarily all the educated, college-bred Jewish men and women of whom there are legion in our country.

Quite a number of this small group/alienated "intellectuals" -- if and when they treat Iews and Judaism in their literary productions -- do sc slightingly, disparagingly and at times with acid and scorn. Some of them do so ou: of ignorance. But a goodly number of them hail from old-fashioned Jewish homes and from a distinctly Jewish environment where they received orthodox Jewish training.

But they have removed themselves from positive Jewish life because they believe that there are sweeter pastures elsewhere -- higher rewards, both social and monetary. To belong to a distinguishable and, at times, disfavored minority group is too difficult a burden to bear. It is only after these intellectuals become disillusioned, when they discover that the rewards which they anticipated are not forthcoming, that some of them return to the welcoming fold of Israel, a bit saddened and embittered and a bit over-zealous....

From time to time these intellectuals are polled by some enterprising pariodical as to their attitude towards the Jew and Judaism and the results are, of course, largely negative. These findings are then interpreted to mean that there is a sharp trend among all educated Jews in the United States away from Judaism and the Jewish people, and toward non-religion and assimilation.

Back in 1926, the Menorah Journal presented several such intellectuals in a survey of the Jewish cultural and religious scene in the United States.

One was Professor Horace M. Kallen, another was Elliot E. Cohen, managing editor of the Menorah Journal and in later years editor of Commentary, and the third was Henry Hurwitz, the founder and editor of the Menorah Journal.

These three "did a job" on American Judaism, the American rabbi and the Jewish theological schools in the United States. Their composite portrait of American Jewish life was one of uttor inadequacy, and worthlessness. There was but one hope for American Israel and that was to be found in an adequately financed and endowed Menorah movement. ...

Especially free-wheeling and gross was the essay of Elliot E. Cohen, whose particular bete noire was the American rabbi, but whose distaste for Judaism generally was no less notorious: Among the rare pearls of wisdom with which his article was adorned were:

The Elders of Zion myth is a by no means distant cousin of the Jewish mission myth.

The little respect our culture receives is that paid to a people who stumbled (sic!) on some spiritual ideas capable of being incorporated, in a greatly improved form of course, in the culture of the West.

Lacking wisdom, our leaders take refuge in speech. Good Watsonian behaviorists, they discover the springs of thought in the voice box.

Speeches and sermons are born of the air and destined to vanish with the breath that gave them birth. But they the rabbis are guilty certainly of a too weak acquiescence in the degradation of the rabbinical function to that of a spoke sman -i.e. mouthpiece, of the ignorance, ambitions and fears of the influential Jewish laity.

Mr. Hurwitz requested me to write a rejoinder to these articles. "Let me repeat again most sincerely and urgently, my invitation to you to write an article for the Menorah Journal, as soon as may be, to present the situation as you see it in answer to Kallen's and Cohen's articles. . . . You will be doing the Journal a very great service -- I believe you will be doing the cause of Judaism in America a great service -- if you will present your analysis of the situation, your description of what the rabbinate and the synagogue have so far had to build up in this country, and what your re their future service should be. May I count on you for this?" I finally to write and and anticle. consented. My article, "Why Do the Heathen Rage", was accepted by the Menorah Journal. Galley proofs were submitted to me which I corrected and returned. The article was announced for publication in the Journal, and at a-public meeting in Cleveland , Mr. Hurwitz made an announcement of the forthcoming publication of my article as an indication of the broadmindedness and fairness of the Menorah Journal.

On the eve of my departure for Europe in July of that year, I was informed by Mr. Hurwitz that the article would not be published.

New York, which published it in four installments, beginning with the issue of July 23, 1926.

Mr. Surwitz attempted to justify the suppression of my article in a letter which he sent to the <u>Jewish Tribune</u> apon my return from Europe.

I. real-hie letter and replied to it in the <u>Tribune</u> of August 20th.

and expression of the Menorah Journal. Of that the reader must judge. I am, however, again compelled to refresh Mr. Hurwitz's memory. Upon receipt of my article, he wrote that he was glad to get it "and glad even after reading it." This was in April. Four or five weeks later, during which time the editor undoubtedly had sufficient time to acquaint himself with the palpable deficiencies of the article, he sent me the galley proofs. Shortly thereafter, at a public meeting, he announced its forthcoming publication. In June, then, the article still measured up to the exacting standards of the Menorah Journal. In July it failed utterly. . . .

One must be a dour fellow indeed not to chuckle at the literary vagaries of this distinguished editor.

Thirteen years after I penned my critique of the Menorah Journal,
Rabbi Milton Sternberg, the noted Rabbi of the Park Avenue Synagogue of
New York, felt constrained to write a similar critique of the Commentary
Magazine, a monthly journal of Jewish life, letters, and opinion, sponsored
by the American Jewish Committee. Its editor at that time was the same
Elliott E. Cohen, who brought to his new post the same aversion to
Judaism and Rabbis, and the same predilection for secularist themes and
writers, Only this time he proposed literary Counterfactor had a touch
here and there of the pornographica...

"I doubt," wrote Rabbi Sternberg, "whether either the Editors cont the inner communications Committee representation of the American Jewish Committee are aware of the extent and bitterness of the hostility they have awakened in broad sectors of American Jewry, especially among informed and committed Jews.,, My complaint is against the spirit which animates it as disclosed in these circumstances, that the magazine has that it has consistently given distorted presentation to certain others, no less consistently given distorted presentation to certain others, no less condition; and, finally, that all too frequently it takes on an air of condescension and Superchangues towards matters Jewish, including historic Jewish sanctities, and of officiousness toward Jewish sanctities."

The Menorah Journal lacked a positive Jewish program and content.

It made much of Jewish "culture" and catered to the Jewish intellectual, but the culture was secularist in character, avoiding any positive identification with Judaism as a religion. Nor was it Hebraic in character or outright in its "nationalist" identification. It was neither Zionist nor anti-Zionist. Towards the end it became the mouthpiece of the American Council for Judaism. . . . "Culture, with us," to quote Emerson, "ends in headsche.". . . "

The <u>Journal</u> ceased being a monthly quite early. It became a bimonthly, then a quarterly, and finally a semi-annual periodical. The Menorah
movement, of which I was at first a member of its Board of Governors and
had assisted it financially from time to time, likewise began to disintegrate
quite early. It is not possible to launch a vital movement of Jewish
renaissance in the Diaspora which is purely secular in character. In my
rejoinder, "Why Do the Heathen Rage," I commented on this fact:

Religion was the sole reason why the Jew persisted in maintaining his identity in the world. I have searched high and low in Jewish literature to discover evidences that the Jew struggled to remain a Jew admidst adverse circumstances in order that he might develop, to quote Mr. Harwitz in his article, "Watchman, What of the Day?", a great "synagogue architecture, mural paintings and frescoes, and sculpture in wood and brass, works in silver, gold and other metals . . . and the old signboards of Polish Jewry." I find nowhere that the Jew objected to intermarriage with other peoples (a practice which would of course have destroyed him) on the ground that the resultant racial admixture would produce less gifted musicians or scientists or writers. There was but one reason throughout the ages: "Lest he will turn away thy son from following Me, that they may serve other gods."

The Jew persisted in racial uniqueness in order to preserve the

Commission was appointed by the British Government under the chairmanship of Earl Peel, "to ascertain the underlying causes of the disturbances,
to inquire into the manner in which the Mandate for Palestine is being implemented in relation to our obligations as Mandatory toward the Arabs and
the Jews respectively; and to ascertain whether upon a proper construction
of the terms of the Mandate, either the Arabs or the Jews have any legitimate
grievances upon account of the way in which the Mandate has been, or is being
implemented; and if the Commission is satisfied that any such grievances are
well-founded, to make recommendations for their removal and for the prevention of their recurrence".

The Commission submitted its report in July, 1937. It was comprehensive, fair and sympathetic. It proposed the termination of the Mandate and the division of Palestine into three parts - a Jewish State, an Arab State, and a British Mandated Territory, which would include Jerusalem, Bethlehem, and Nazareth with a corridor from Jerusalem to the coast, and an enclave near Aqaba (as well as, templarily, Tiberias, Acre, and Haifa). The area of the new Jewish State would be equal to about one-fifth of Palestine west this was to be the Jordan. It recommended partition "as the only method we are able to propose for dealing with the root of the problem". On the principle of "Half a loaf is better than no bread", it though the solution sound and just. Without working out the scheme of partition in full detail, it made the above general recommendations on the territorial division of the country.

2/

In August, 1939, I attended the twenty-first Zionist Congress in Geneva.

It met under the shadow of the White Paper which had been issued by the British government the preceding May and which deleared that no more than seventy-five thousand immigrants inclusive of twenty-five thousand refugees, would be admitted over the five years, and that thereafter no further Jewish immigrations would be permitted unless the Arabs agreed. This was a complete repudiation of the obligations which Great Britain had assumed under the terms of the Mandate for the Jewish National Homeland.

The delegates to the Congress were, of course, bitter. All were united in rejecting the White Paper. Some advocated passive resistance—complete non-cooperation with the government. Others urged active resistance, to the new policy which had been announced by the British government. There were a few who called for armed struggle against the government.

Dr. Chaim Weizmann, who was the object of some violent attacks because the diplomatic failure was charged up to him-pleaded for the use of peaceful methods only in contracting the White Paper. I sided with him, and defended his position on the floor of the Congress. I was soundly denounced for the position which I took. The Laborite delegates especially did not approve of my position, nor did all the members of the American delegation. Many of them favored illegal interpretation.

We were on the eve of a second World War. In fact, within two weeks of the opening of the Congress, the war actually broke out. The war would be conducted against the deadliest enemy of our people—the Nazis. Great Britain would be the chief adversary of the Nazis in this struggle. As Jews, we were deeply involved in the outcome of the coming struggle. If the Nazis won, not only would Palestine be lost to us, but the Jewish people would also be lost. In a Nazi domirated world there would be no room for the Jewish people or for a Jewish Palestine any more than there would be room for any free people. Great Britain would be fighting not alone for its own survival and for the survival of the free world, but also for our survival. We should, therefore, not resort

to measures which would gravely embarrass her in her war efforts. His was my position

To be sure we could not accept the White Paper. Its proposals were contrary to law and unjustifiable on moral grounds. We must, nevertheless, I argued, continue our cooperation with the Mandatory Government—in order to avert chaos in Palestine. We cannot fight the British government with violence, even if we wish to. And we do not wish to, unless driven to desperation. A change is bound to take place. For the present we must continue our work under the brutal regime imposed upon us by a government which would not continue in office indefinitely.

In covering my address before the Ziomist Congress, the "New York Times" of August 20th reported:

"In an impassioned speech frequently interruped by hecklers,
Rabbi Abba Hillel Silver of Cleveland, Chio, appealed today to the delegates
attending the twenty-first session of the World Zionist Congress here to do
nothing that might bring the Jews in Falestine into conflict with the British
Government.

"Dr. Silver defended the political policies of Dr. Chaim Weizmann, President of the World Zionist Organization. Conceding that Dr. Weizmann may have been 'too yielding on occasion,! Dr. Silver declared that it was not Dr. Weizmann who stood today as a discredited leader, but the British Colonial Secretary, Malcolm MacDonald. 'Dr. Weizmann is clear to us today in the hour of defeat than at any time in the past,' Dr. Silver said. 'It is Mr. MacDonald who stands as a destroyer of covenants and a breaker of mandates.'

"'I make bold to say,' Dr. Silver declared, 'that the British will not long tolerate that their government shall remain branded with the brand of betrayal.'

"He added that he was persuaded that new proposals regarding Palestine were certain to emerge before long.

"That being so, Dr. Silver said, 'I am constrained to propose to the delegates to this congress that they do nothing which might bring the Jewish people into conflict with the Mandatory government. We are not yet confronted with finality.'...

"Dr. Silver told the conference that he was not a pacifist and that he was not obsessed with any 'appeasement' idea, but held that some day the Jews might have to pass to an attitude of resistance. He said it was a task of wise leader—ship to prepare for that day and store up a war chest.

"'I am not unmindful of the plight of our poor refugees who are trying to get into Palestine, he went on, but I am worried about the possibility of our making a colossal blunder at a time when circumstances do not warrant out taking such action.

"Asked by one questioner what he would do bout immigration, Dr. Silver replied:

"I propose that we exert ourselves to the maximum within the limits of the White Paper. There is a possibility of bringing in tensof thousands of Jews within those limits. We have been considering in the United States a plan to bring in immediately the twenty-five thousand that the White Paper permits along with ten thousand allowed to enter this year—a total of thirty-five thousand."

Before many years would pass, I would be accused by Zionist leaders and others of being a dangerous extremist and activist. As can been seen from the above, In 1939, I was certainly among the most moderate. To this day I wonder whether I was wrong then...

The Congress rejected the White Paper but refused to go along with those of its delegates who advocated non-cooperation with the British Government.

David Ben-Gurian succinctly formulated the official Zionist line-"To fight the White Paper as though there were no War, and to fight the War as though there were no White Paper." This, as one can readily see, was not along line

"I appeal to you to go forward as the emissaries of that faith, courage and vision which have not been dimmed in two thousand years! "

I served as Chairman of the United Palestine Appeal for six years, relinquishing the position in January, 1944, to concentrate on the work of the American Zionist Emergency Council.

I also served as Feneral Chairman of the Cleveland Jewish Welfare Find for six 7 cours. (1935-41). Clevland, I am proved to state, now leads the enter comments in the constructions to the United Jewish Offerd.

leading our nation. I brownett was the hon-town

"Religion which has so much at stake in this war -- in face, the whole classic Judaco-Christian tradition is at stake -- is hoping, though it has no assurance -- that this war, coming so soon after the last, will sober the human race so that it will forsake the dynical and brutal materialism which has led it from one Golgotha to another, and will restore to respectability the eternal human ideals and the spiritual values which alone give significance to human life. Religion hopes that this war will mark the end of the persecutions of races and minorities, the exploitation of hates, the total submergence of the individual to the state and the narrow nationalism which have made international life ugly, mean and cruel. Religion is hoping that the hard tasks of peace will evoke at least some of those virtues which fighting free men evidence in war -- courage, resoluteness, self-sacrifice and unity. For we shall have need of an even higher order of spiritual fortitude, of faith and vision, after the war, if we are to conserve those values for which our country is fighting today, and for which brave men will die."

Franklin D. Rossevelt was the war-time leader of our nation. In many ways I admired him greatly. We was the elequent spokesman of some of the the very ideals which conspired men of good-will in those searching and critical years. I had voted for him twice, but

\_\_\_\_ Sn March 31, 1940, addressing my congregation on a subject which was then widely discussed, "A Third Term for President Roosevelt" I stated:

"There are citizens who do not favor a third term for President Roosevelt, not because they are his foes -- because they are his friends -the friends of the things he stands for. These people greatly admire him. They fully appreciate the monumental services which he has rendered this country during these last seven years .... They do not question his true-American and humanitarian motives, his bonest grasp of realities, and his courage to fare these realities. They remember, and they refuse to forget that Mr. Roosevelt, in 1933, took over a bankrupt nation which had gone through the disaster of twelve years of boom and depression. He came in as the head of a people in panic, a people whose industry had been stalled, whose farms were languishing, a people prostrate not only materially, but even more so spiritually. They remember that Mr. Roosevelt succeeded in restoring their confidence and hope. He set about rebuilding what had been shaken and OL destroyed. We set about caring for the millions of impoverished and inemployed who we've victims of a twelve-year financial joy-ride under Republican sponsorship. They remember that he tried to rally the nation around a thorough-going program of planned economic and social reconstruction, of a long-range program to meet the evils of the se-called economic cycle.

"He sought to give greater purchasing power to the people -- to the worker, to the farmer, not only to as to raise their standard of living, but also in an effort to circumvent the evil of periodic overproduction in this country which menaced our industrial stability. They remember that to this day, Mr. Roosevelt has not permitted the unemployed to starve, and has kept millions of our people from thoughts and acts of desperation. They bear in mind with gratitude the fact that he established measures of social security,



security for the aged and for the unemployed.

"They remember that Mr. Roosevelt endeavored to establish laws which would protect labor against exploitation, and to build up machinery for the amicable adjustment of employer and employee relationships.

"They remember that he was responsible for the enactment of laws to curb the evils of gambling on the stock exchange and the exploitation of the investing public. All these measures he attempted to achieve within the framework of the system of private enterprise. And through the enactment of these measures, he succeeded in averting a violent political and economic upheaval in our land.

"He has worked and is still working not alone for peace, but for a just peace.

"And yet, because of their very admiration for him, these friends of Mr. Roosevelt, and whom I am one, would urge him not to stand for re-election. The Third Term, my friends, is not a law, but a tradition, a tradition which reflects the political views of the American people, a custom even more powerful than a law. It is a custom which has not been violated in one hundred fifty years, and during these one hundred fifty years there have been critical times, some of them more critical than the present."

"It is particularly important in these days, when the indispensibleone-man rule is exalted in so many parts of the world at the expense of the
democratic faith in laws and institutions rather than in persons, that it
should not be repudiated."

After the two parties met in convention and Mr. Roosevelt was nominated by the Democratic Party for a third term, I was asked by The Cleveland Press whether I would wish to express myself on the nomination of Mr. Roosevelt or on Mr. Wendell L. Willkie, who was nominated by the Republicans.



In reply, I stated: "My conviction as to the third terms remains unchanged and so does my admiration for the process and achievements of President Roosevelt. What I expressed in my March address represents a conviction. Only one consideration would have warranted a change of attitude, of the opposition candidate, in this case Mr. Willkie, would have been an isolationist, representing a foreign policy at sharp variance with the return and courageious one which President Roosevelt has been presenting. In which case, the vital interests of civilization, now being threatened, would have outweighed any other considerations. Fortunately, Mr. Willkie has expressed himself clearly and unequivocably his plan of tendering maximum aid, short of war, to Great Britain."

The Republican National Committee made extensive use of my statement. That whiteh was entirely proper. I was asked to become active in the campaign. I declined on the ground that it was an un practice of wind not to participate in any political campaign.

I received a warm note from Mr. Willkie: "Dear Rabbi Silver:

"I am most appreciative of your statement released in the Cleveland Press of Tuesday, August 6, announcing your support of my candidacy for President of the United States.

"As one of the outstanding Jewish rabbis in the country, as well as a noted liberal and leader in the Zionist movement, I am proud to have your support, and I am deeply grateful for all you are doing in my behalf.

Cordially and sincerely,

Wendell L. Willkie"

Politics aside, I really admired this man whose vision in the worst of wan

reached out to the "One World", and whose deep humanity touched the hearts of men.

In 1944, when President Roosevelt ran for a fourth term, I again voted for the Republican candidate, is. Thomas Dewey. This was looked upon as heresy and was deeply resented by the Jews and Zionists, when were completely sold on F. D.R. There were prominent Zionists, close to the Administration, who felt that my Republicanism would prove embarrassing to the Movement in Washington. My troubles in the Zionist Emergency Council in the the next few weeks, to which I will refer later on, are in the main traceable to this fact.

RI

Early in the year 1942, I received an invitation from Dr. Weizmann to visit England in behalf of the Palestine Appeal Campaign. I accepted the finitiation and, travelling by way of Bermuda and Lisbon, by Glipper, I arrived in England on March 9th and was met by a committee headed by Dr. Weizmann in the Paddington Station. I stopped at the Dorchester Hotel and after dinner that eveing I walked out into my first blackout in London. It was a weird and ghostly experience. I stepped into the inderground shelter where men, women, and children were sleeping in iron cots on three tiers while passengers were waiting for their trains.

It was a very distressing time for England. It had quite recently received staggering blows in the Far East. The wounds of Malay, Singapore, Rangoon, Java, and Burma were still fresh. Those were dark days also for our people and trying days for our Movement.

While the full extent of our people's tragedy at the handaof the Nazis was as yet at known, enought was known of Nazi diabolic plans to exterminate European Jewry to fill us all with horror. Our Movement was impoled on the horns of a tragic dilemma. Jews everywhere were, of course, solidly behind Great Britain and her allies in the war against the Nazis, the common enemy of civilization. But our Epvement was compelled to resist Great Britain in Palestine because, as the Mandatory Government, it had shut the doors of Palestine against our refugees who were desperately seeking asylum from Hitler's concentration camps and gas chambers. Palestine Jews were loyally fighting in the armies of Great Britain, but the Haganah and Irgun, each in its own way, had to resist Great Britain in Palestine in the hope of saving the hapless remnants of our people from extermination.

Those were the days of the White Paper. I arrived in London just ten days after the sinking of the "Struma"—the ship which carried seven hundred Jewish refugees. It had been turned away from the shores of Palestine and had perished in the Black Sea.

Upon my arrival, I met with the representatives of the press at the central Zionist office, 77 Great Russell Street. I spoke to them of the pressing and urgent problem of our Jewish refugees, the victims of our common enemy and of Palestine which should be open and available to them, for in Palestine the Jewish people had an internationally accredited legal status for which they had to thank Great Britain.

"The Balfour Declaration was a product of great political vision and statesmanship and emanated from the deep humanity of the fine spiritual forever remain grateful to this act of statesmanship, of humanity and friendship.

"Unfortunately in the last twenty old years, for one reason or another difficulties were placed in the way of the full implementation of the letter and the spirit of the Balfour Declaration. White Papers made the work of rehabilitation, reconstruction and actual conversion of Palestine into a homeland for the Jewish people, increasingly more difficult. But they were hopeful that the same vision, the same humanity, the same friendship which the English people evidenced during the last war, would gain manifest itself now and in the years to come, when it must become increasingly clear to themselful people, part with the increased tragic position of European Jewry, the establishment of a National Homeland for the Jewish people in their historic home was a immediate urgency.

"The Jewish people are asking Great Britain to treat them as friends and allies. Great Britain has no more loyal friends in the world, no more loyal friends in the world, no more loyal friends in the part of the world which had become one of the most critical points in the far-flung sattles of the Witted Nations in the Near East than the Jewish people. They ask of this great people not to embarrass them in the United States by such incidents as the 'Struma' affair, by sending refugees who had from ten thousand hells in Europe to a place which they regarded as sanctuary, in concentration camps in the Holy Land, and keeping them there to languish for a year or more. We are asking this year people to treat them in a way which is in keeping with the dignity of an ancient people; to permit the level of Prior time to fight for the common cause, under British command, but under the flag of the Jewish people in Palestine."

I expected to find the war-beset and sorely troubled Jewish community of Great Britain distraught, and as far as Zionist activities were concerned, all but paralyzed. But to my great surprise, I found it unshaken in its Zionist loyalties, firm in its resolve, and generous in its response.

I visited nine cities. Some of them had been recently blitzed and badly done up. The nights were made darker by the universal blackout. Besides London, I visited Manchester, Leeds, Liverpool, Birmingham, New Castle, Southport, Harringate, Sheffield and Glasgow. It was a whirlwind tour. I addressed many meetings. Everywhere I received the same heartening impression and the

- 19 - 133

"The measures here urged constitute the essential prerequisites for the attainment of a Jewish majority and for the re-creation of the Jewish Commonwealth."

This Resolution which was eloquently seconded by Henry Monsky, was adopted by the overwhelming majority of the delegates with only four dissenting votes. This was followed by a long ovation and the singing of "Hatikvah".

Judge Proskauer presented a "statement of dissent" on the part of the American Jewish Committee. Concluding remarks were made by Dr. Wise and myself.

The audience rose and sang "The Star Spangled Banner".

I was asked to speak again at the conclusion of the last session of the last session of the last two points. Conference. This has been the only great assembly, the only great K nesia (convocation) of American Israel in the last twenty-five years... Here was revealed the Jewish mind in all its intellectual vigor and clarity. We all experienced some very high and exalted moments at this Conference, and at times I could feel almost a Yom Kipur motif... There were solemn moments; there were also great, joyous moments in the last few days that spoke of high hope and unbroken confidence in ourselves, in our destiny. I could not help recalling time and again that beautiful phrase of the greatest of our poets:

"When I think of your afflictions,
I am moved to the deepest of lamentation;
But when I dream of the return of your captivity,
I am like a harp unto your songs."